

The Influence of the Project for the New American Century on U.S. Foreign Policy: Analyzing the Role of Faulty Intelligence and Strategic Decisions Leading to the 9/11 Attacks and the Iraq War

Objective:

To analyze and document the influence of the Project for the New American Century (PNAC) on U.S. policy leading up to the September 11, 2001 attacks, with a focus on the faulty intelligence and decisions made by Donald Rumsfeld, who served as Secretary of Defense at the time.

Executive Summary

This report investigates the role of the Project for the New American Century (PNAC), an influential neoconservative think tank, in shaping U.S. defense policy in the lead-up to the 9/11 attacks. The analysis covers the specific actions and decisions of Donald Rumsfeld, who played a crucial role in the formulation of U.S. defense strategy and the subsequent wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. The report highlights the use of faulty intelligence and the ideological underpinnings that drove these decisions, which had profound consequences for U.S. foreign policy and global security.

Introduction

The September 11 attacks were a defining moment in modern history, leading to significant shifts in U.S. domestic and foreign policy. Central to these shifts was the influence of PNAC, a think tank founded in 1997 that advocated for an assertive American foreign policy. PNAC's agenda was closely aligned with key members of the Bush administration, including Donald Rumsfeld, who served as Secretary of Defense. This report delves into the role of PNAC and Rumsfeld in the lead-up to 9/11, particularly focusing on the use of intelligence and decision-making processes that contributed to the subsequent U.S. military interventions.

Findings

1. PNAC's Ideological Influence

PNAC was established by prominent neoconservatives including William Kristol and Robert Kagan. The organization advocated for a strong U.S. military presence globally and the promotion of American values through force if necessary. In 2000, PNAC published a report titled *Rebuilding America's Defenses*, which called for the U.S. to take preemptive action to protect its interests and to pursue a policy of "regime change" in states perceived as threats, such as Iraq.

PNAC's Key Proposals:

- A significant increase in defense spending.
- The establishment of U.S. military bases in key regions.
- The development of advanced military technologies.
- The removal of Saddam Hussein's regime in Iraq.

Many of these proposals were adopted by the Bush administration after 9/11, with Rumsfeld playing a key role in their implementation.

2. Rumsfeld's Role and the Use of Faulty Intelligence

Donald Rumsfeld was a pivotal figure in the Bush administration's response to 9/11. He was instrumental in the decision to invade Afghanistan and later Iraq, based largely on intelligence that has since been discredited.

Key Points of Concern:

- **Iraq's Alleged Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMDs):** Rumsfeld, along with other officials, pushed the narrative that Iraq possessed WMDs, despite intelligence reports that were ambiguous or outright contradicted this claim. This faulty intelligence was used to justify the invasion of Iraq in 2003.
- **Ties Between Iraq and Al-Qaeda:** Rumsfeld supported the false claim that Saddam Hussein had ties to Al-Qaeda, despite a lack of credible evidence. This claim was pivotal in garnering public and congressional support for the invasion.
- **The "Known Unknowns" Doctrine:** Rumsfeld's infamous statement about "known unknowns" highlighted the uncertainty and selective use of intelligence. This approach allowed for the justification of actions based on worst-case scenarios, rather than on solid evidence.

3. Rumsfeld's Strategic Decisions and their Impact

Rumsfeld's strategic decisions, heavily influenced by PNAC's ideology, had long-term consequences for U.S. foreign policy and global security.

Strategic Missteps:

- **Underestimation of Post-Invasion Challenges:** Rumsfeld's Pentagon failed to plan adequately for the post-invasion phase in Iraq, leading to widespread chaos, insurgency, and the eventual rise of extremist groups like ISIS.
- **The Rumsfeld Doctrine:** Emphasizing leaner, more agile military forces, Rumsfeld's doctrine prioritized speed and technology over manpower and stability operations, which proved insufficient in both Afghanistan and Iraq.

Long-term Consequences:

- **Destabilization of the Middle East:** The toppling of Saddam Hussein and the ensuing power vacuum in Iraq destabilized the region, contributing to the rise of sectarian violence and the proliferation of terrorist organizations.
- **Erosion of U.S. Credibility:** The reliance on faulty intelligence and the failure to find WMDs damaged U.S. credibility internationally, leading to widespread skepticism about American intentions and intelligence assessments in future conflicts.

Conclusion

The influence of PNAC and the decisions made by Donald Rumsfeld in the aftermath of 9/11 were pivotal in shaping U.S. foreign policy. The use of faulty intelligence to justify the Iraq War, driven by an ideological commitment to assert U.S. military power, had profound and lasting consequences. The destabilization of the Middle East, the rise of global terrorism, and the erosion of U.S. credibility are among the enduring legacies of these decisions.

Recommendations

1. **Intelligence Reform:** Strengthen oversight and accountability within U.S. intelligence agencies to prevent the misuse of intelligence in justifying military interventions.
2. **Strategic Restraint:** Encourage a more cautious and evidence-based approach to military interventions, avoiding the pitfalls of ideologically driven decision-making.
3. **Post-Conflict Planning:** Ensure comprehensive planning for post-conflict scenarios in any future military interventions to prevent the emergence of power vacuums and instability.

Appendices

1. **PNAC's Key Publications and Members**
2. **Timeline of Key Decisions Leading to the Iraq War**
3. **Assessment of Intelligence Failures Preceding the 2003 Iraq Invasion**

Note: This report synthesizes publicly available information and analyses it to provide a comprehensive understanding of the events leading up to the 9/11 attacks and the subsequent U.S. foreign policy decisions. It is intended for use by allied intelligence agencies and other interested parties for further analysis and strategic planning.

Appendices

1. PNAC's Key Publications and Members

Project for the New American Century (PNAC) was an influential think tank established in 1997, advocating for a robust U.S. global leadership role. Its key publications and members played a significant role in shaping U.S. foreign policy leading up to the Iraq War.

Key Publications:

1. **"Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategies, Forces, and Resources For a New Century" (2000)**
 - This report outlined a strategy for American military dominance and emphasized the need for transforming U.S. military capabilities. It advocated for maintaining a global U.S. presence and increasing defense spending.
2. **"Creating Tomorrow's Dominant Force" (1999)**
 - This publication discussed the necessity of technological advancements and increased funding to ensure the U.S. military's superiority in the future.
3. **"The Role of the U.S. Military in the Post-Cold War Era" (1998)**
 - This paper analyzed the changing global security environment and the role of the U.S. military in maintaining international stability.

Key Members:

- **William Kristol** - Co-founder and chairman of PNAC, Kristol was a prominent advocate for a strong U.S. military and interventionist foreign policy.
- **Robert Kagan** - Co-founder and a key strategist, Kagan was influential in advocating for a more assertive U.S. role in global politics.
- **Dick Cheney** - Former Secretary of Defense and Vice President under George W. Bush, Cheney's policies and viewpoints aligned closely with PNAC's recommendations.
- **Donald Rumsfeld** - Former Secretary of Defense, Rumsfeld was a central figure in the push for the Iraq War.

- **Paul Wolfowitz** - Deputy Secretary of Defense, Wolfowitz was a vocal supporter of the Iraq invasion and a key PNAC member.
- **Richard Perle** - Former chairman of the Defense Policy Board, Perle was an influential advocate for regime change in Iraq.

Sources:

- [Project for the New American Century](#)
 - Rebuilding America's Defenses
-

2. Timeline of Key Decisions Leading to the Iraq War

1990s:

- **1998:** The Iraq Liberation Act is passed by the U.S. Congress, declaring it a U.S. policy to support efforts to remove Saddam Hussein from power.
- **1999:** PNAC publishes "Creating Tomorrow's Dominant Force," advocating for increased military intervention capabilities.

2001:

- **September 11, 2001:** Terrorist attacks on the U.S. prompt a shift in U.S. foreign policy focus to combating terrorism and state sponsors of terrorism.

2002:

- **January 2002:** President George W. Bush labels Iraq as part of the "Axis of Evil," alongside Iran and North Korea.
- **March 2002:** The Bush administration begins discussions on regime change in Iraq, with a focus on Iraq's alleged weapons of mass destruction (WMDs).
- **September 2002:** The National Security Strategy of the United States is published, advocating for preemptive strikes against perceived threats.

2003:

- **February 2003:** Secretary of State Colin Powell presents evidence to the United Nations Security Council claiming Iraq's non-compliance with disarmament obligations.
- **March 20, 2003:** The U.S. launches Operation Iraqi Freedom, beginning the invasion of Iraq.

Sources:

- Timeline of the Iraq War
- Bush Administration's Key Decisions

3. Assessment of Intelligence Failures Preceding the 2003 Iraq Invasion

Key Intelligence Failures:

1. **WMDs Misjudgment:**

- Intelligence agencies, including the CIA and DIA, overestimated Iraq's capabilities to produce and deploy weapons of mass destruction. The belief that Iraq possessed WMDs was a primary justification for the invasion, but subsequent inspections and investigations found no active WMD programs.

2. **Faulty Assessments on Iraq-Al-Qaeda Links:**

- Intelligence reports suggested connections between Iraq and Al-Qaeda, which were later disproven. The lack of concrete evidence linking Iraq to terrorist activities undermined the justification for regime change.

3. **Failure in Analysis and Verification:**

- There was a failure to critically analyze and verify intelligence reports. The reliance on defector testimony and unverified sources contributed to the flawed intelligence assessments.

4. **Political Pressure:**

- Analysts faced pressure from political leaders to produce intelligence that aligned with the administration's pre-existing views on Iraq. This environment potentially influenced the quality and objectivity of intelligence assessments.

5. **Inadequate Response to Discrepancies:**

- Once discrepancies in intelligence reports were identified, there was insufficient follow-up and correction of the flawed information. This lack of responsiveness contributed to the decision to proceed with the invasion.

Sources:

- Senate Intelligence Committee Report
- CIA's WMD Failures