

LANDRY INDUSTRIES RESEARCH CONSORTIUM · TECHNICAL PUBLICATION



WICKED THINKING FRAMEWORK

WTF 9.0

OMDARE

The Omni-Modal Deterministic Autonomous Research Ecosystem

A COMPLETE SYNTHESIS · VERSIONS 1.0 THROUGH 9.0

PRIMARY INVESTIGATOR

Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry
ORCID: 0009-0008-5027-3337

ORGANIZATION

Landry Industries Inc.
*Marie Landry's Spy Shop · Moncton, NB,
Canada*

MATERIALS SCIENCE

OSINT · HUMINT · FININT

NEURO-SYMBOLIC AI

SCIENTIFIC METHOD

STRATEGIC RATIONALISM

AUTONOMOUS RESEARCH

DETERMINISTIC AI

"Truth, properly scoped and properly verified, is not a probabilistic approximation. It is a recoverable fact. The purpose of WTF 9.0 is to build the system that recovers it."

RELEASE

2025-2026

DOCUMENT REF

LI-WTF-9.0-OMDARE-001

CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

Table of Contents

PREAMBLE	Abstract & Keywords	3
	Executive Summary	4
PART I	Origins: Versions 1.0 - 5.1	5
	WTF 1.0 — Foundational Critical Thinking	5
	WTF 2.1 — The Six-Axis OSINT/HUMINT Method	6
	WTF 3.0/4.0 — Synthetic Adversarialism	7
	WTF 5.0/5.1 — Forensic Accountability & PSDP	8
PART II	WTF 6.0 — The New Scientific Method	9
	I·M·A·E Model Architecture	9
	Multi-INT Intelligence Fusion Layer	10
	Six-Step Scientific Operationalization	12
PART III	WTF 7.0/8.0 — Adversarial Verification	15
	The Multi-Agent Verification System (MAVS)	15
	The 1=1=1=1 Law of Immutable Truth	17
	Bayesian Confidence Score (BCS)	18
PART IV	Infrastructure: SUPER-GEMS & OMDARE	19
	SUPER-GEMS — Modular Persona Engine	19
	OMDARE — Autonomous Research Super-Architecture	20
	Model Context Protocol (MCP)	21
	Modular Document Patching (MDP)	21
	Metadata-Interoperability-Exchange (MIE)	21
PART V	Seshat's Axioms & Strategic Philosophy	22
	Seshat's Axiom: 1=1=1	22
	The Landry Hallucination-Free Protocol (LHFP)	23
	Precautionary Escalation Protocol (PEP)	23
PART VI	WTF 9.0 — Master Framework Architecture	24
	Phase 1: Persistent Contextual Ingestion	24
	Phase 2: Neuro-Symbolic Hypothesis Generation	25
	Phase 3: The Adversarial Crucible	26
	Phase 4: Deterministic Execution & Reporting	27
CODA	Strategic Implications & Future Outlook	28
	Organic Revolution of 2030 Integration	28

ABSTRACT

The Wicked Thinking Framework (WTF) 9.0 represents the culmination of a sustained research program in deterministic artificial intelligence and structured epistemology, developed by Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry and the Landry Industries Research Consortium. Beginning with foundational critical-thinking heuristics in version 1.0, the framework evolved through eight architecturally distinct variants to arrive at the Omni-Modal Deterministic Autonomous Research Ecosystem (OMDARE): a fully autonomous, neuro-symbolic research engine capable of generating, verifying, and publishing bit-perfect factual intelligence without probabilistic hallucination. This document presents the complete synthesis of all WTF variants, detailing their unique mechanisms, the Multi-Agent Verification System (MAVS), the $1=1=1$ Law of Immutable Truth, Bayesian Confidence Scoring, the SUPER-GEMS persona engine, and the four-phase WTF 9.0 master framework. The system is applicable across scientific research, open-source intelligence analysis, forensic accountability investigations, and autonomous laboratory workflows.

Keywords:

Deterministic AI

OSINT

Neuro-Symbolic

Scientific Method

Adversarial Verification

MAVS

Bayesian Confidence

OMDARE

Strategic Rationalism

Hallucination-Free Protocol

WTF 9.0

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

From Heuristics to Autonomous Truth Engineering

The history of the Wicked Thinking Framework is the history of a conviction: that truth, properly defined, is deterministic. In an era dominated by probabilistic large language models that "hallucinate" facts with confident fluency, the WTF ecosystem stakes an opposing claim. Every factual assertion must be traceable, timestamped, and bit-for-bit identical to its verified source. Every analytical conclusion must survive an adversarial gauntlet before it is permitted to persist. Every agent in the system operates under a mandate not merely to find evidence, but to systematically fail to disprove the null hypothesis — thereby achieving the highest epistemic standard attainable.

This document is both a technical specification and a philosophical statement. It describes a methodology that has matured over nine major versions — from WTF 1.0's human-centric critical thinking discipline to WTF 9.0's fully autonomous, multi-agent research ecosystem. The framework has been validated across diverse application domains: forensic corporate auditing, materials science research (the Hempoxies program), open-source intelligence analysis, national governance frameworks, and autonomous scientific manuscript generation.

CORE PHILOSOPHICAL COMMITMENT

WTF 9.0 positions AI not as a probabilistic oracle that guesses the most likely answer, but as a deterministic scribe that retrieves, verifies, and transcribes only that which can be immutably confirmed. Where certainty ends, the system does not guess — it labels, bounds, and escalates.

Figure 1 — WTF Framework Evolutionary Timeline



Figure 1: The nine-version evolutionary arc of the Wicked Thinking Framework, from foundational human heuristics to the fully autonomous OMDARE research ecosystem.

Versions 1.0 through 5.1

WTF 1.0 — Foundational Inquiry & Logical First Principles

The inaugural version of the Wicked Thinking Framework emerged from a recognition that "wicked problems" — complex challenges defined by incomplete, contradictory, and perpetually shifting requirements — could not be approached with linear analytical tools designed for tame problems. WTF 1.0 established the cognitive discipline that would underpin every subsequent version: the rigorous deconstruction of phenomena through applied logic, without the assistance of automated systems.

The foundational premise of WTF 1.0 is that the human analyst is both the primary instrument and the primary liability of any investigative process. Cognitive biases — confirmation bias, anchoring, availability heuristic — are not merely inconveniences; they are systematic sources of factual corruption. WTF 1.0 addresses this by mandating a structured observation protocol that separates immediate empirical observation from interpretive inference at every analytical juncture.

✦ ARCHITECTURAL SIGNIFICANCE

WTF 1.0 is not superseded by later versions. It is embedded as the logical bedrock of WTF 9.0, ensuring that even the most advanced AI-driven phases are grounded in human-verified logical first principles. The human analyst retains override authority at every phase boundary.

WTF 2.1 — The Six-Axis OSINT/HUMINT Method

Version 2.1 introduced the canonical "WTF? Method": an interrogative mapping tool that transforms any ambiguous research query into a precisely scoped analytical mission across six dimensional axes. This framework is directly applicable to Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT) and Human Intelligence (HUMINT) collection environments.

Figure 2 — WTF 2.1 Six-Axis Query Mapping




Figure 2: The six-axis interrogative map of WTF 2.1, forcing any research query to be dimensionalized across six epistemic planes before data collection commences.

The power of the 6-axis method lies in its mandatory completeness. An analyst cannot advance to data collection without having provided a substantive answer to all six dimensions. This prevents the most common failure mode of intelligence analysis: beginning collection before the question has been adequately defined, which inevitably leads to the accumulation of interesting but irrelevant data.

WTF 3.0/4.0 — Synthetic Adversarialism

Versions 3.0 and 4.0 represent the first strategic shift toward machine-assisted falsification. Where WTF 1.0 and 2.1 focused on structured collection and scoping, versions 3.0 and 4.0 deploy AI to actively stress-test the information environment — searching not for confirming evidence but for the systematic failure to disprove established claims.

The adversarial models introduced in WTF 3.0 operate on a fundamental epistemological principle borrowed from the philosophy of Karl Popper: a hypothesis that cannot be falsified is not a scientific claim. The "deep cognitive adversarial frameworks" of WTF 4.0 extend this by simulating the arguments of the most competent possible skeptic — not a devil's

advocate making weak objections, but a rigorous peer reviewer actively hunting for logical fallacies, methodological flaws, and cherry-picked evidence.

▲ ADVERSARIAL MANDATE

WTF 3.0/4.0 agents are programmed to assume the research hypothesis is wrong until proven otherwise. The burden of proof is inverted: evidence must survive systematic attempts at destruction, not merely accumulate in support of a narrative.

WTF 5.0/5.1 — Forensic Accountability & Persistent-State Document Patching

Version 5.0 diverged significantly from the scientific research track to address a distinct and urgent application domain: forensic corporate and state accountability. These variants were specifically designed for the auditing of state-captured records, the dismantling of systemic disinformation campaigns, and the detection of unauthorized modifications to administrative and legal documents.

The central innovation of WTF 5.0 is **Persistent-State Document Patching (PSDP)** — a protocol that treats legal and administrative records not as static documents but as stateful data objects with defined version histories. Every modification, deletion, or insertion into a record is tracked as a discrete state transition, allowing the investigator to reconstruct the complete provenance chain and identify precisely when, how, and potentially by whom a record was altered.

WTF VARIANT	PRIMARY DOMAIN	KEY MECHANISM	EPISTEMIC MODE
1.0	General Inquiry	Critical thinking heuristics, logical first principles	Human-centric
2.1	OSINT / HUMINT	6-axis query mapping (What, Where, Who, When, Why, How)	Human-augmented
3.0	Scientific Falsification	Automated AI falsification, contradiction scanning	AI-assisted
4.0	Deep Adversarialism	Deep cognitive adversarial frameworks, stress-testing	AI-adversarial
5.0	Corporate/State Forensics	Persistent-State Document Patching (PSDP), record auditing	Forensic-deterministic
5.1	Systemic Disinformation	PSDP + intelligence gap mapping, corruption topology	Forensic-deterministic

Table 1: WTF variants 1.0–5.1, their primary application domains, key mechanisms, and epistemic modes.

WTF 6.0 — The New Scientific Method & the I·M·A·E Architecture

The release of WTF 6.0, subtitled "The New Scientific Method," marked a categorical transition in the framework's architecture. For the first time, the system moved from a human-centric heuristic model to a non-linear, AI-augmented recursive ecosystem in which analytical outputs from one phase become inputs to the next, and contradictory findings trigger automatic return to earlier phases for reprocessing.

The I·M·A·E Constraint Model

At the heart of WTF 6.0 is the I·M·A·E model — a four-dimensional constraint system that operates not as a sequential checklist but as a pervasive environmental condition at every analytical node. Intelligence, Methods, AI, and Ethics do not occur in isolation; they co-constrain each other simultaneously.

Figure 3 — I·M·A·E Constraint Architecture




Figure 3: The I·M·A·E model functions as a four-dimensional constraint field. Each quadrant actively co-constrains all others; no analytical step proceeds without satisfying all four simultaneously.

Multi-INT Intelligence Fusion Layer

The Intelligence component of the I·M·A·E model integrates seven primary intelligence streams through a fusion architecture that prevents any single data source from dominating the analytical narrative. The Multi-INT approach provides a constitutional checks-and-balances system: each stream can both confirm and contradict findings from others.



Figure 4 — Multi-INT Fusion Hub Architecture



Figure 4: Seven intelligence streams converge at the Data Fusion Report hub. Divergent findings between streams trigger an automatic contradiction flag, returning the system to Phase 1 for re-scoping.

INT STREAM	ROLE IN WTF 6.0	PRIMARY SOURCE TYPES
OSINT	Broad environmental mapping, public narrative baseline	Academic papers, news, social media, public databases
HUMINT	Unstated motivations, stakeholder perspectives, tacit knowledge	Interviews, testimonies, insider reports
SIGINT	Technical communications tracking, digital footprint analysis	Network logs, metadata, communications intercepts
GEoint	Physical and virtual spatial context	Satellite imagery, GIS data, mapping systems
MASINT	Technical data, environmental anomalies, physical signatures	Sensor data, spectral analysis, material testing
CYBINT	Network infrastructure mapping, digital vulnerability analysis	Threat intelligence, vulnerability databases, packet data
FININT	Economic drivers, resource flow mapping, financial structure	Corporate filings, transaction records, economic indicators

The Six-Step Scientific Operationalization of WTF 6.0

Figure 5 — WTF 6.0 Recursive Six-Step Scientific Workflow

Figure 5: The WTF 6.0 six-step cycle. Step 6 (Conclusion) feeds back as the Trigger Moment for the next analytical cycle, creating a recursive truth-finding engine.

A comprehensive 5W+1H analysis defines "WTF is X?" — distinguishing immediate empirical facts from subjective interpretation. A versioned **Problem Definition Statement (PDS)** is created along with an initial **Assumption Log**. Spatial context is mapped via GEOINT; temporal context is established through timeline reconstruction. The Trigger Moment is precisely documented and timestamped.

02STEP 2 · WTF 6.0

Targeted Questioning — SMART & KIQ Formation

The PDS is translated into **Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound (SMART)** research questions, prioritized as **Key Intelligence Questions (KIQs)**. Each KIQ is scrutinized by the Ethics constraint (E of I·M·A·E) for potential biases, privacy violations, and ethical risks before any data collection is authorized to proceed.

03STEP 3 · WTF 6.0

Hypothesis Generation & Analysis of Competing Hypotheses

The framework advances from description to explanation through testable, empirical, and falsifiable hypotheses. The **Analysis of Competing Hypotheses (ACH)** methodology prevents premature convergence on a single explanation by mandating the parallel evaluation of multiple competing hypotheses. Each hypothesis must specify its own falsification criteria before it is admitted to the analytical pipeline.

04STEP 4 · WTF 6.0

Comprehensive Experimentation & Admiralty Code Vetting

Data collection is executed according to a predefined plan. All sources are vetted using the **Admiralty Code**: a standardized dual-axis rating system that scores each source's reliability (A-F: Completely Reliable to Cannot Be Judged) and its information's credibility (1-6: Confirmed to Cannot Be Judged). Findings are integrated into a **Data Fusion Report** that explicitly identifies convergent and contradictory signals.

05STEP 5 · WTF 6.0

Integrated Critical Analysis — Link, Trend & Red Team

Evidence is rigorously tested against hypotheses using **Link Analysis** (mapping relationships between entities), **Trend Analysis** (identifying temporal patterns), and **Red Teaming** (simulating adversarial challenge). The hypothesis with the strongest net evidence score after the adversarial process is advanced. Hypotheses that survive falsification attempts are elevated in confidence score.

06STEP 6 · WTF 6.0

Actionable Conclusion & Recursive Trigger

The final output is a synthesized narrative addressing the initial inquiry with context-tailored, probability-bounded recommendations. Because WTF 6.0 is recursive, the conclusion of one inquiry becomes the Trigger Moment of the next cycle. No conclusion is considered terminal; every answer generates new questions that re-enter the pipeline.

WTF 7.0 / 8.0 – MAVS, G-SASP & the 1=1=1=1 Law

While WTF 6.0 provided a robust scientific workflow, it harboured a critical vulnerability: its source vetting occurred only at the moment of ingestion. This created what Landry identified as a **Static Verification Ceiling** — the system had no mechanism to account for *Citation Drift*, the phenomenon by which scientific consensus shifts, papers are retracted, authors misrepresent sources, or the significance of a cited work changes dramatically after publication.

WTF 7.0 (enhanced by G-SASP, the Generative Source Attribution and Significance Protocol) and WTF 8.0 solved this foundational problem by introducing the **Multi-Agent Verification System (MAVS)** — an adversarial crucible of four specialized agents that operate in continuous parallel challenge against all system outputs before they are permitted to persist.

The Multi-Agent Verification System (MAVS)

MAVS operates on a principle of adversarial parallelism: every claim that the system generates is simultaneously attacked by four independent agents, each with a distinct mandate and a distinct detection capability. No claim survives unless it passes all four verification gates.

Figure 6 – MAVS Four-Agent Adversarial Architecture




Figure 6: The four MAVS agents operate in parallel adversarial challenge against every claim produced by the system. All four gates must be satisfied before a claim advances to the Immutable Fact Store.

The 1=1=1=1 Law of Immutable Truth



The 1=1=1=1 Law operationalizes the fundamental insight that a fact without its context, provenance, and temporal status is not a fact — it is a narrative fragment that can be weaponized to support any conclusion. By mandating that all four components be permanently attached to every factual assertion, WTF 7.0 makes hallucinated extrapolation structurally impossible within the framework's enforcement boundaries.

Bayesian Confidence Score (BCS)

WTF 7.0 replaced the qualitative High/Medium/Low reliability ratings of earlier versions with a mathematically rigorous **Bayesian Confidence Score (BCS)** — a 0-100% quantified uncertainty rating that allows the system to calculate precisely how much additional evidence is required before a claim achieves definitional certainty.

$$BCS = P(H|E) = [P(E|H) \times P(H)] / P(E)$$

VARIABLE KEY

- P(H|E)

 — Posterior probability of hypothesis given evidence
- P(E|H)

 — Likelihood of observing evidence if hypothesis is true
- P(H)

 — Prior probability of hypothesis before evidence
- P(E)

 — Marginal probability of evidence across all hypotheses

The Calibration Tracker agent applies historical accuracy multipliers to

P(H)

 , correcting for systematic overconfidence before the BCS is finalized.

BCS RANGE	CONFIDENCE LEVEL	ACTION PROTOCOL
90–100%	Definitive	Advance to Immutable Fact Store; cite without qualification
70–89%	High Confidence	Cite with explicit BCS; flag for periodic re-verification
50–69%	Moderate Confidence	Label as "Working Hypothesis"; require additional evidence collection
30–49%	Low Confidence	Return to Phase 2 (Questioning); re-examine source reliability
0–29%	Insufficient Evidence	Quarantine claim; do not advance; document as known unknown

Table 3: BCS score ranges and their corresponding action protocols within the WTF 7.0/8.0 verification pipeline.

SUPER-GEMS & OMDARE

SUPER-GEMS — The Modular Persona Engine

SUPER-GEMS (Standardized Universal Protocol for Expert-level Response — Gems) is the persona management architecture that allows a single underlying AI model to operate as a unified Intelligence Cell of specialized agents, each with its own mandate, constraints, and methodological library, without context fragmentation or instruction bleed between personas.

Figure 7 — SUPER-GEMS Persona Switching Architecture



Figure 7: SUPER-GEMS routes each task to the appropriate persona via the DIAL command system using Numeric Identifiers (N-IDs). Each persona maintains isolated SOP constraints, preventing instruction bleed between analytical roles.

OMDARE — The Autonomous Research Super-Architecture

OMDARE integrates the entire WTF ecosystem into a fully autonomous research engine. Unlike standard AI pipelines that require continuous human prompting, OMDARE maintains its own research agenda, executes multi-step analytical workflows, and produces publication-ready outputs without human intervention between analytical phases.

Figure 8 — OMDARE Integration Stack




Figure 8: The five-layer OMDARE stack, from the deterministic logical core through verification, connectivity, data integrity, and physical execution layers.

MCP

Model Context Protocol

Universal architecture allowing agents to securely discover and invoke external tools, databases, and laboratory systems without custom integration code per endpoint.

MDP

Modular Document Patching

Treats manuscripts as stateful objects. Surgical Token Patching updates only specific factual nodes, reducing computational cost by up to 99.8% versus full regeneration.

Metadata-Interoperability-Exchange

YAML-based schema delivery providing the AI with complete structural context before any document operation, preventing schema drift and structural hallucination.

Seshat's Axioms & Strategic Rationalism

The philosophical architecture of the Landry ecosystem is anchored by a concept the research team designates *Strategic Rationalism*: a systematic rejection of the probabilistic inference that underlies contemporary large language models in favour of a strict deterministic standard for every factual claim that enters the system's knowledge base.

"Contemporary AI is a probabilistic oracle. It does not know what is true — it calculates what is most likely to appear true based on the statistical distribution of its training corpus. WTF 9.0 makes a different wager: that truth, properly scoped and properly verified, is retrievable with certainty. Our system is a deterministic scribe, not a probabilistic guesser."

— Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry, Landry Industries

Seshat's Axiom: The 1=1=1 Principle



Seshat's Axiom is the foundational logical constraint of the entire WTF ecosystem. It asserts a tripartite identity between the act of retrieval, storage, and citation: at no point in the information chain may the factual content of a claim be modified, paraphrased, or extrapolated beyond its tested scope without a formal Scope Boundary Declaration that explicitly acknowledges the transition from verified fact to reasoned inference.

The name "Seshat's Axiom" is a deliberate invocation of the ancient Egyptian goddess of wisdom, knowledge, and writing — a deity specifically associated with the accurate recording of truth and the measurement of time. The axiom positions the AI system not as an intelligent creator of narratives but as a faithful scribe bound to a higher epistemic standard than mere plausibility.

The Landry Hallucination-Free Protocol (LHFP)

The LHFP operationalizes Seshat's Axiom as a system-level enforcement rule. Under LHFP, the AI is explicitly prohibited from generating any factual claim that cannot be traced to a verbatim retrieval from a verified, timestamped, and retraction-checked source. The protocol distinguishes three categories of output:

Output Category	LHFP Classification	Handling Protocol
Verbatim-retrievable fact	Type A — Fact-Constant (FC)	Stored in Immutable Fact Store; cited with full 1=1=1=1 provenance chain
Reasoned inference from verified facts	Type B — Narrative-Variable (NV)	Labeled explicitly as inference; BCS score attached; Scope Boundary Declaration required
Unverifiable claim or extrapolation	Type C — Prohibited	Quarantined; flagged to human oversight; never permitted to appear in final output without Type C disclosure

Precautionary Escalation Protocol (PEP)

PEP replaces binary "forbidden/permitted" content classification with a risk-tiered assessment system that applies graduated scrutiny proportional to potential harm. In the context of the Hempoxies materials science research programme, PEP governs decisions about the incorporation of synthetic additives into otherwise bio-derived composite systems.

▲ HEMPOXIES STANDING INSTRUCTION — PEP ACTIVE

Under PEP, Hempoxies must always be positioned as a forward-looking research hypothesis and development framework — not an established or validated commercial product. All performance specifications cited in WTF 9.0 outputs relating

to Hempoxies are **projected, not empirically confirmed**. Commercial viability remains unproven. Any output claiming otherwise violates the LHFP and must be quarantined.

WTF 9.0 — Neuro-Symbolic Operating System for Truth

WTF 9.0 is the terminal synthesis of all preceding versions — a neuro-symbolic operating system for truth-finding that combines the interrogative rigour of 2.1, the scientific workflow of 6.0, the adversarial verification of 8.0, and the autonomous execution capacity of OMDARE into a single, coherent, four-phase architecture.

The term "neuro-symbolic" is used precisely. The neural component handles the flexible reasoning, language generation, and hypothesis formulation that symbolic AI cannot easily replicate. The symbolic component — Seshat's Axiom, the $1=1=1$ Law, the FC/NV Bifurcation Router, and the Immutable Fact Store — enforces the rigid deterministic constraints that prevent the neural component from hallucinating beyond verified knowledge.

Figure 9 — WTF 9.0 Four-Phase Master Architecture




Figure 9: The complete WTF 9.0 four-phase architecture. Data flows downward through phase gates; contradicted or low-BCS claims loop back via the recursive feedback channel. The final output initiates the next research cycle.

Phase 1 — Persistent Contextual Ingestion (The Foundation)

Phase 1 initializes the research environment by performing a comprehensive ingestion and classification of all available contextual information. Every datum entering the system is immediately routed through the **FC/NV Bifurcation Router**, which applies Seshat's Axiom to classify it as a Fact-Constant (Type A), Narrative-Variable (Type B), or Prohibited (Type C) before it is permitted to influence any downstream process.

Figure 10 — FC/NV Bifurcation Router




Figure 10: The FC/NV Bifurcation Router classifies every datum entering the system into one of three categories before downstream processing commences.

Phase 2 — Neuro-Symbolic Hypothesis Generation (The Engine)

Phase 2 activates the neural reasoning engine — constrained at every step by the symbolic guardrails established in Phase 1. Research questions are formulated using the **FINER** criteria (Feasible, Interesting, Novel, Ethical, and Relevant), mapped

across the six axes of WTF 2.1, and subjected to ACH analysis to generate a structured hypothesis set with assigned BCS prior scores.

- A

FC/NV Bifurcation Routing: All Type A data is routed to the Immutable Fact Store; Type B data enters the neural reasoning engine; Type C is quarantined with documentation.
- B

FINER Query Formulation: KIQs are generated that are Feasible within available resources, Interesting to the target community, Novel relative to existing literature, Ethical under PEP constraints, and Relevant to the stated research objective.
- C

Seshat's Axiom Application: All Type A facts used in hypothesis generation are verified as bit-for-bit matches with their original archived source before they may influence hypothesis formation.
- D

ACH Parallel Hypothesis Generation: A minimum of three competing hypotheses are generated for every research question, each with explicit falsification criteria that will be tested in Phase 3.

Phase 3 — The Adversarial Crucible (The Filter)

Phase 3 is the verification gauntlet. Every hypothesis produced in Phase 2 must survive the sequential challenge of all four MAVS agents before it is elevated in confidence and advanced to Phase 4. Claims that fail any MAVS gate are returned to Phase 2 with a documented failure report specifying the exact nature and location of the evidentiary deficiency.

◉ MAVS GATE SEQUENCE

Historian → Baseline Scout → Verification Engine → Calibration Tracker. All four gates must be satisfied. A failure at Gate 1 prevents Gates 2-4 from being reached. The failed claim is returned to Phase 2 with a documented reason code. Only claims achieving $BCS \geq 70\%$ may advance to Phase 4.

Phase 4 — Deterministic Execution & Reporting (The Output)

Phase 4 transforms verified claims into actionable outputs, whether those outputs are published intelligence reports, scientific manuscripts, or directives to physical laboratory systems. Every claim in the final output carries a visible Verification State badge (BCS score, MAVS gate status, $1=1=1=1$ provenance chain, and temporal marker).

- 1

MCP-Directed Execution: Finalized Statistical Analysis Plans (SAPs) and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) are routed via MCP to appropriate execution environments — robotic laboratories, human technicians, or computational modelling systems.
- 2

Surgical Token Patching (MDP): The final report is treated as a stateful data object. New experimental results update only the specific factual nodes that have changed, preserving the integrity of all verified claims and reducing computational overhead by up to 99.8%.
- 3

Peer-Agent Audit Loop: Before final release, one SUPER-GEMS agent audits the work of all other agents, verifying that no claim in the final output lacks a complete $1=1=1=1$ provenance chain.
- 4

Final Intelligence Release: The output includes a full AI Disclosure statement, a verification state summary for every claim, and a recursive trigger statement identifying the research questions generated by the current findings for the next analytical cycle.

The Organic Revolution of 2030 & Future Outlook

The WTF 9.0 framework does not exist in isolation. It is the epistemic backbone of a broader intellectual programme — the *Organic Revolution of 2030* — that positions deterministic truth-finding as a prerequisite for the transition to a post-predatory, post-petroleum industrial model. In an information environment increasingly dominated by synthetic media, astroturfed science, and state-managed historical records, the WTF ecosystem provides a structural counter-mechanism.

Whether applied to the forensic auditing of administrative records (WTF 5.0/5.1), the autonomous generation of peer-reviewed materials science research (WTF 9.0/OMDARE), or the strategic analysis of geopolitical intelligence (WTF 2.1/6.0), the underlying commitment is identical: no claim that has not been tested, verified, timestamped, and immutably provenance-chained may be treated as fact within the Landry Industries research ecosystem.

Application Domains

DOMAIN	WTF VERSIONS APPLIED	KEY CAPABILITY DEPLOYED
Materials Science – Hemproxies	6.0 · 8.0 · 9.0	OMDARE autonomous manuscript generation; MAVS citation verification; PEP hypothesis boundary enforcement
Open-Source Intelligence	2.1 · 6.0 · 7.0	6-axis query scoping; Multi-INT fusion; BCS confidence quantification
Forensic Corporate Auditing	5.0 · 5.1 · 7.0	PSDP record tracking; Citation Drift detection; 1=1=1=1 evidence chain
Governance & Legislative Research	6.0 · 8.0 · 9.0	ACH policy analysis; MAVS source verification; PEP ethics constraint
Autonomous Scientific Discovery	9.0 · OMDARE	Full four-phase autonomous execution; MCP laboratory routing; MDP manuscript patching

The Deterministic Scribe Thesis

As AI systems become increasingly integrated into scientific publishing, legal proceedings, and governmental policy formation, the distinction between deterministic and probabilistic reasoning becomes a matter of institutional integrity, not merely technical preference. A system that generates plausible-sounding citations that do not exist, or that extrapolates beyond the tested scope of its sources, is not merely inaccurate — it is epistemically dangerous in proportion to the authority granted to its outputs.

The WTF ecosystem's neuro-symbolic architecture represents one structural response to this challenge: preserve the neural system's capability for flexible reasoning and language generation while using symbolic constraints to enforce a zero-hallucination policy on every factual claim that enters the system's knowledge base. The result is a system that can operate autonomously at research scale without sacrificing the epistemic standards that distinguish science from speculation.

 FORWARD TRAJECTORY

Future development of WTF 9.0 will focus on the expansion of the MAVS architecture to include real-time preprint server monitoring, the integration of quantum-secured provenance chains for legal applications, and the development of a fully open-source reference implementation of the FC/NV Bifurcation Router for the broader scientific community. The modular nature of the framework ensures that each new intelligence stream, analytical method, or verification protocol can be integrated without disrupting existing certified components.

"The transition to a neuro-symbolic architecture in WTF 9.0 ensures that AI remains a deterministic scribe rather than a probabilistic oracle. This distinction is critical for maintaining the 1=1=1=1 integrity required for legal, scientific, and strategic operations."

— WTF 9.0 Design Principles, Landry Industries Research Consortium

WTF Version Lineage Matrix

VERSION	PRIMARY PURPOSE	KEY MECHANISM	EPISTEMIC MODE	INTEGRATED INTO
WTF 1.0	Foundational Inquiry	Critical thinking heuristics, logical first principles	Human-centric	All versions (bedrock)
WTF 2.1	OSINT/HUMINT Analysis	6-axis query mapping (What/Where/Who/When/Why/How)	Human-augmented	6.0 · 9.0 Phase 2
WTF 3.0	Scientific Falsification	Automated AI falsification models	AI-adversarial	8.0 MAVS Red Team
WTF 4.0	Deep Adversarialism	Deep cognitive adversarial stress-testing	AI-adversarial	8.0 MAVS architecture
WTF 5.0	Corporate/State Forensics	Persistent-State Document Patching (PSDP)	Forensic-deterministic	9.0 Phase 4 MDP
WTF 5.1	Systemic Disinformation	PSDP + intelligence gap mapping	Forensic-deterministic	9.0 Phase 1 ingestion
WTF 6.0	Scientific Method OS	I·M·A·E model · Multi-INT fusion · 6-step recursion	AI-scientific	9.0 Phases 1–2
WTF 7.0	Citation Integrity	MAVS · G-SASP · 1=1=1=1 Law · BCS	Deterministic-adversarial	9.0 Phase 3
WTF 8.0	Autonomous Verification	Full MAVS orchestration · Bayesian posterior scoring	Fully autonomous	9.0 Phase 3 (complete)
WTF 9.0	Neuro-Symbolic OS	OMDARE · 4-phase · FC/NV · SUPER-GEMS · LHFP · PEP	Deterministic-autonomous	Terminal synthesis

Table A-1: Complete WTF version lineage showing each version's primary purpose, key mechanism, epistemic mode, and integration point within WTF 9.0.



Landry Industries Research Consortium

MONCTON · NEW BRUNSWICK · CANADA

"Truth, properly scoped and properly verified, is not a probabilistic approximation. It is a recoverable fact. The purpose of WTF 9.0 is to build the system that recovers it."

Primary Investigator: Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry

ORCID: 0009-0008-5027-3337

Document Reference: LI-WTF-9.0-OMDARE-001

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED – Scientific/Intelligence/AI Distribution

Released under the Landry Industries Open Research Initiative

SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

AI RESEARCH COMMUNITY

+ UNCLASSIFIED · FOR OPEN SCIENTIFIC, INTELLIGENCE & AI DISTRIBUTION · WTF 9.0 · LI-WTF-9.0-OMDARE-001 +