



Universal Declaration Against Gambling

Engineered Predation, Cognitive Liberty, and Policy Reform

Landry Industries Inc.

Marie Landry's Spy Shop — Publishing Division
Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada

marielandryspyshop.com · landryindustries.ca

PREAMBLE

Recognizing that the human mind possesses an inherent right to cognitive liberty, neurological sovereignty, and freedom from engineered psychological manipulation;

Acknowledging that the landscape of gambling has fundamentally shifted from localized games of chance to weaponized, data-driven algorithmic systems designed by corporate entities to systematically exploit human behavioral vulnerabilities;

Deploring the complicity of nation-states that utilize state-sanctioned lotteries and betting frameworks as a regressive tax on their most socioeconomically vulnerable populations, using public infrastructure to legitimize wealth extraction;

Convinced that digital environments, video games, and software architectures must be spaces of utility and creative expression, rather than vectors for predatory variable-reward schedules targeting children and adults alike;

The International Community hereby proclaims this Universal Declaration Against Gambling and Engineered Predation as a common standard of achievement for all peoples and nations.

Anchoring Instruments of International Law

This Declaration does not stand apart from the existing body of international human rights law; it interprets and applies that body of law to the specific phenomenon of engineered gambling and predatory behavioral design. The following instruments form its legal foundation:

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR, 1948) — though not itself a binding treaty, it is widely regarded as having attained the status of customary international law. Article 12 (protection from arbitrary interference with privacy), Article 25 (right to a standard of living adequate for health and well-being), and Article 26 (the right to education, including the moral and social development of the child) provide the foundational dignity-based framing for this Declaration.

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR, 1966) — Article 17 protects individuals from arbitrary or unlawful interference with privacy, a protection extended by the UN Human Rights Committee's General Comment No. 16 to cover the collection and use of personal data by both state and private actors. This Declaration's data-protection provisions (Article 3) are a direct application of this principle to behavioral and biometric data harvested for gambling-style monetization.

The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR, 1966) — Article 12 recognizes the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental

health. Engineered gambling mechanisms, by design, target and degrade this standard through the cultivation of compulsive, addictive behavior.

The Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC, 1989) — ratified by every UN member state but one, the UNCRC establishes the best-interests-of-the-child principle as a foundational obligation on states and, by extension, on the commercial actors operating within their jurisdictions. The integration of randomized-reward gambling mechanics into products marketed to or accessible by children represents a direct conflict with this principle, a concern increasingly echoed by national regulators.

The United Nations Guidelines for Consumer Protection (UNGCP, as revised 2015) — adopted by the UN General Assembly, the Guidelines call on member states to protect consumers from practices that are deceptive, unfair, or that exploit asymmetries of information, particularly in e-commerce and digital financial services. This Declaration's prohibition on dark patterns and asymmetric information (Articles 4 and 5) operationalizes this guidance for the algorithmic gambling context.

Where this Declaration goes beyond these instruments is in its explicit recognition of a right to **cognitive liberty** and **neurological sovereignty** — an emerging concept in legal scholarship that this Declaration argues is properly understood as an extension of the privacy, health, and dignity protections already codified above, rather than as a wholly novel category of right.

Section I: Neurological Sovereignty & Individual Rights

Article 1: The Right to Cognitive Liberty

Every human being has the right to an environment free from covert behavioral conditioning. No corporate or state entity shall utilize variable-ratio reinforcement schedules—the psychological mechanism that triggers compulsive loop behavior—to engineer financial extraction under the guise of entertainment.

Anchored in: UDHR Art. 25 (right to a standard of living adequate for health and well-being); ICESCR Art. 12 (right to the highest attainable standard of mental health).

Article 2: Protection of the Developing Mind

Children and adolescents possess an absolute right to developmental safety. The integration of gambling mechanics into non-gambling digital environments—specifically predatory “loot boxes,” pay-to-win mechanics, and psychological dark patterns in video games—constitutes a direct violation of this right and is strictly prohibited.

Anchored in: UNCRC (1989), best-interests-of-the-child principle and Art. 31 (right to play, leisure, and recreation free from commercial exploitation); UDHR Art. 26.

Article 3: Right to Financial and Data Privacy

No individual shall have their personal behavioral data, biometric responses, or spending habits tracked, analyzed, or modeled for the purpose of creating personalized predatory betting prompts or optimizing addictive retention loops.

Anchored in: UDHR Art. 12; ICCPR Art. 17 and UN Human Rights Committee General Comment No. 16 on the right to privacy.

Section II: Corporate and Platform Accountability

Article 4: The Principle of Ethical Architecture

Software engineers, platform architects, and digital designers have an ethical obligation to build transparent systems. The deliberate implementation of “dark patterns”—user interfaces designed to deceive or manipulate users into unintended financial transactions—shall be treated as fraudulent extraction.

Anchored in: UN Guidelines for Consumer Protection (2015 rev.), provisions on e-commerce, deceptive practices, and unfair contract terms.

Article 5: Prohibition of Asymmetric Information

Traditional and digital gambling entities operate on absolute mathematical asymmetry disguised as opportunity. Systems that conceal real-time losing streaks, inflate perceived near-misses, or use sensory manipulation (sound, light, haptic feedback) to mask financial loss are fundamentally deceptive and incompatible with an ethical society.

Anchored in: UN Guidelines for Consumer Protection (2015 rev.), principle of access to accurate information for informed decision-making; ICESCR Art. 12.

Section III: State Responsibility and Systemic De-escalation

Article 6: The Cessation of State Complicity

Governments must decouple public revenue from citizen exploitation. The state shall not act as a gambling proprietor, operator, or promoter. Public lotteries and state-licensed betting monopolies must be phased out and replaced by progressive, transparent fiscal policies.

Anchored in: ICESCR Art. 12, read in light of states' obligations to progressively realize the right to health, including by addressing state-created sources of harm.

Article 7: Media and Advertising Prohibitions

The promotion of gambling, sports betting apps, and predatory financial speculation platforms through mass media, public transit, and sports sponsorships shall be banned entirely. Compulsive risk-taking must never be marketed as a civic virtue, a legitimate career path, or a harmless leisure activity.

Anchored in: UNCRC Art. 17 (access to information appropriate to the well-being of the child) and Art. 31; UN Guidelines for Consumer Protection, provisions on advertising and marketing.

Section IV: Implementation and Standing

Article 8: Non-Derogation and Interpretive Priority

Nothing in this Declaration shall be construed as diminishing protections afforded under the UDHR, ICCPR, ICESCR, UNCRC, or any other applicable international or domestic instrument. Where domestic law provides lesser protection than this Declaration, this Declaration shall serve as an interpretive standard for legislative reform and judicial reasoning.

Article 9: Mechanisms of Accountability

States are encouraged to establish independent regulatory bodies empowered to audit algorithmic systems for compliance with Articles 1–7, with powers analogous to those exercised by data protection authorities under existing privacy law. Such bodies should have the authority to compel disclosure of behavioral design specifications, reward-schedule algorithms, and aggregated spending-pattern data.

Article 10: Review and Evolution

As the techniques of behavioral engineering evolve, so too must the protections set out in this Declaration. Signatory bodies and adopting jurisdictions are called upon to review and update implementing legislation at regular intervals, in consultation with independent researchers in psychology, behavioral economics, and digital ethics, free from the influence of the industries being regulated.

The Structural Core: *This declaration reclassifies gambling from a personal moral failure or a matter of “consumer choice” into what it actually is: an aggressive, engineered assault on human biology and economic stability.*

© Landry Industries Inc. — Marie Landry's Spy Shop. All rights reserved.