

The Organic Law Framework of Marie Seshat Landry: A Comprehensive Analysis of the Universal Declarations of Organic Rights (2023-2025)

Part I: The Philosophical and Legal Foundations

Section 1: Introduction to the Organic Law Paradigm

The collected works of Marie Seshat Landry, developed between 2023 and 2025, present a radical and comprehensive legal and philosophical paradigm designed to fundamentally reorient humanity's relationship with the planetary biosphere. At the core of this framework is a central, unyielding thesis: the existence of a supreme, natural "Organic Law" to which all human-made legal systems are subordinate. This section deconstructs the foundational principles of this paradigm, drawing from the preambles, introductions, and foundational articles of Landry's key texts to establish the intellectual groundwork for the entire system.

Defining "Organic Law": The Supremacy of Ecological Reality

The most forceful articulation of Landry's thesis appears in her 2025 guidebook, *Organic Law*, which posits that the entire edifice of human jurisprudence—what is termed "positive law"—is an "operational fiction" and a "grand illusion". The argument is that human legal systems are merely a subset of the unassailable laws of the biosphere. Any human law that contravenes the fundamental "operational code of the universe," such as the principles of ecological balance or biological integrity, is not simply an unjust or poorly conceived statute; it is rendered "void *ab initio*," meaning it is invalid from its inception. Such a law is re-categorized as a "codified crime". This concept of Organic Law is deliberately framed not as a debatable philosophy but as an "observable reality, like gravity". It cannot be lobbied, amended, or repealed by human institutions. The consequences of its violation are not presented as political or economic outcomes but as definitive "verdicts" delivered by reality itself. For example, climate destabilization resulting from the combustion of fossil fuels is not a policy failure to be negotiated, but a verdict on an illegal act.

The term "Organic" is given a specific and expansive definition that transcends its common agricultural usage. It is defined as a "fundamental principle reflecting the inherent organizational intelligence, cyclical processes, regenerative capacity, and holistic nature of living systems". This definition elevates the concept from a mere descriptor to the central organizing principle of a new legal order.

Core Principles: The Foundational Laws of Life

The 2025 text, *Dataset: Universal Declaration of Organic Rights, Principles, and Laws*, serves as the quasi-constitutional document for this paradigm, codifying its core tenets into a series of

"Foundational Organic Laws." These are presented as immutable principles from which all subsequent rights are derived.

- **The Law of Interconnectedness (Unity):** This principle asserts that all entities within the biosphere, both living and non-living, are intrinsically interconnected within a single, self-regulating planetary system, referred to as Gaia. Actions affecting one part of the system inevitably create unforeseen ripple effects throughout the whole. Human existence is therefore inseparable from the health of the wider ecological community. This idea is a consistent theme, with other texts emphasizing that "all organic life...is interconnected" and that "the well-being of humanity is inseparably tied to the health of the natural world".
- **The Law of Cycles (Circularity):** Natural systems are characterized by continuous, waste-free cycles (e.g., water, carbon, nitrogen), where the output of one process serves as the input for another. The principle dictates that human systems—economic, agricultural, and industrial—must emulate this circular design to eliminate waste and ensure the safe return of materials to the biosphere.
- **The Law of Regeneration (Renewal & Resilience):** Living systems possess an inherent capacity for self-organization, healing, and adaptation. Ecological health is defined by resilience—the ability to absorb disturbance while maintaining core functions. Human activities, therefore, should be designed to support and enhance, rather than degrade, these regenerative capacities.
- **The Law of Biodiversity (Diversity & Complexity):** Biological and cultural diversity are presented as fundamental to the resilience and stability of living systems. Complexity provides stability, whereas monocultures—whether biological or cultural—are understood to be inherently vulnerable and fragile.
- **The Law of Limits (Carrying Capacity & Boundaries):** The planet and its constituent ecosystems have finite capacities to produce resources, absorb waste, and withstand disruption. This law posits that perpetual material growth on a finite planet is an impossibility, and that human populations and consumption must operate within the Earth's carrying capacity.
- **The Law of Intrinsic Value (Inherent Worth):** All living beings, species, and natural entities (such as rivers and mountains) possess intrinsic value, independent of their utility to humans. This inherent worth confers upon them the right to exist, flourish, and evolve. This principle is a direct and explicit challenge to the anthropocentric worldview that treats nature as a mere "resource to be exploited".
- **The Law of Precaution (Anticipatory Care):** In situations where activities pose a threat of serious or irreversible harm to health or the environment, precautionary measures are mandatory, even in the absence of full scientific certainty. This principle strategically shifts the burden of proof for demonstrating safety onto the proponents of potentially harmful activities.
- **The Law of Intergenerational Equity (Responsibility to the Future):** Present generations hold the Earth in trust for all future generations of all species. This establishes a legal and ethical duty to prioritize long-term ecological and social well-being over short-term gains.

A notable aspect of this framework is the strategic and deliberate framing of these core tenets as "Laws" rather than "Rights." This linguistic choice is fundamental to the entire jurisprudential project. The term "Rights" often implies a social or political construct, something granted by a sovereign authority like a state or a constitution, and therefore subject to debate, interpretation, and amendment. In contrast, "Laws" of nature, like the laws of physics, are perceived as objective, non-negotiable, and pre-existing realities that are discovered, not created. By

structuring the 2025 "Dataset" around foundational "Laws" from which "Rights" are then derived, Landry attempts to elevate her framework beyond the realm of political discourse. She is not merely proposing a new set of ethical values for adoption; she is asserting that these are the immutable operating principles of the planet. This rhetorical and legal maneuver seeks to give ecological principles the same non-negotiable status as gravity, framing any human activity that violates them not as a policy disagreement, but as an act of rebellion against the supreme legal order of the universe.

Intellectual Lineage and Critique of the Status Quo

Landry's work explicitly positions itself as being "Inspired by the wisdom of indigenous cultures, ecological science, deep ecology, and holistic philosophies". A core objective is the synthesis of modern scientific understanding with Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK), which is presented as a vast, field-tested archive of sustainable living principles. The framework is, at its heart, a profound and comprehensive critique of the prevailing "anthropocentric view that has long dominated our interaction with the environment".

The existing global legal and political order is subjected to a scathing analysis. The principle of Westphalian state sovereignty, which grants states absolute authority over their territory, is dismissed as an "operational fiction" that ignores the superseding reality of the biosphere. Human-made legal systems are characterized as being designed primarily to "protect the powerful, not to deliver justice," effectively functioning as a "crime scene" where the liquidation of the planet is given the veneer of legality. This critique forms the justification for the necessity of a new, superseding legal paradigm.

Section 2: The Evolution of the Declaration (2023-2025)

An analysis of the provided documents reveals a clear and significant evolution in Marie Seshat Landry's project between 2023 and 2025. The work transforms from an aspirational, reform-oriented manifesto into a revolutionary legal code complete with a detailed enforcement apparatus. This progression demonstrates a strategic and philosophical radicalization over a remarkably short period.

2023: The Foundational Manifesto ("Universal Declaration of Organic Rights" Book)

The earliest work, the 2023 book titled *Universal Declaration of Organic Rights*, is presented as a "manifesto" and a "call to action". The tone is primarily persuasive and educational, framed as an "invitation to governments, organizations, and individuals" to join a movement toward environmental justice and sustainability. The structure is narrative and thematic, with chapters dedicated to foundational concepts and specific environmental domains like "Air and Atmosphere" or "Water Rights".

This initial iteration appears to seek reform within the existing global structure. The author's stated hope is to "forge a path to a future where the rights of our planet are as revered as our own," suggesting a goal of elevating ecological rights to the same status as human rights within the current paradigm. The language is one of shared journey, hope, and inspiration, aimed at shifting collective consciousness.

2024: The Expansive Enumeration (The "900 Rights" and Summarized Lists)

The 2024 documents mark a distinct shift from narrative persuasion to systematic codification. These texts, particularly the document listing 900 "Universal Rights and Laws," abandon the chapter format in favor of extensive, numbered lists that mimic the structure of formal legal instruments. This document represents an attempt at exhaustive enumeration, covering a vast spectrum of rights ranging from broad environmental principles ("Right to a clean and sustainable environment") to highly specific regulations concerning technology, finance, and even extraterrestrial governance ("Right to Ethical and Sustainable Hyperloop and Mag-Lev Systems," "Right to Participatory Environmental Sovereign Wealth Fund Management," "Right to responsible and sustainable exploration of exoplanets").

The parallel 2024 document, a "Summarized List of Rights," presents a more curated and organized version of this material. It groups the rights into coherent thematic categories such as "Environmental Rights," "Digital and Technological Rights," "Rights for a Progressive Society," and "Future-Oriented Rights". This process of refinement suggests a move from an initial, all-encompassing brainstorm toward a more structured and usable legal charter. The focus in 2024 is on defining the substance of the new rights regime in meticulous detail.

2025: The Radical Turn ("Organic Law" and the "Principles" Dataset)

The body of work dated 2025 represents a profound and radical departure in both tone and substance. The language shifts decisively from invitation to indictment, and the project's objective moves from reform to replacement. The *Organic Law* guidebook explicitly rejects the possibility of reform, labeling the existing system a "ghost," a "crime scene," and a "system designed to fail". It reframes the entire project as an "act of profound intellectual and moral warfare" and presents itself as an "intelligence brief" and "operational manual" for enforcing a pre-existing supreme law.

The 2025 "Dataset" provides the systematic, philosophically grounded legal text that underpins this new, assertive stance. It lays out the "Foundational Organic Laws" as non-negotiable principles and then derives the specific rights of nature, animals, and humans from this bedrock. It is a document with constitutional ambitions. The shorter 2025 declaration serves as a concise and powerful summary of this new position, emphasizing humanity's duty as "conscious stewards" and the necessity of direct legal and political implementation.

This textual evolution reveals more than a simple development of ideas; it points to a strategic and philosophical radicalization. The trajectory of the work suggests a clear narrative: an author who began by proposing a new set of values in 2023, then meticulously codified them into a comprehensive charter of rights in 2024, and finally, having perhaps concluded that the existing world order would never willingly adopt such a framework, pivoted in 2025 to declaring that order illegitimate and creating a parallel legal and judicial system to supplant it. The language of the early work—"hope," "inspire," "invitation," "shared journey"—is replaced by the vocabulary of the 2025 texts: "warfare," "indictment," "prosecute," "criminals," and "enforce". This is a definitive shift from the language of advocacy to the language of jurisprudence and law enforcement. Consequently, the "Organic Law" framework must be understood not as a reformist project seeking compatibility with existing international law, but as a revolutionary one aiming to subordinate and ultimately replace it. It is less akin to a new environmental treaty and more analogous to a declaration of legal secession from the current global order.

Part II: A New Charter of Rights for a Planetary Age

The various declarations authored by Marie Seshat Landry collectively enumerate hundreds of specific rights. When synthesized, they form a coherent and expansive charter that aims to govern not only human society but the entire planetary system. This new charter is built upon an ecocentric foundation, establishing legal personhood for the non-human world, recognizing the sovereignty of animals, and reframing human rights as being entirely contingent upon ecological health.

Section 3: The Rights of Nature and Ecosystems

The conceptual core of Landry's framework is the extension of formal legal rights to the non-human world. This is not a proposal for better environmental protection laws but a fundamental shift in legal status, moving nature from the category of property to that of a rights-bearing person.

Establishing Legal Personhood for the Biosphere

The framework's most significant innovation is the granting of legal personhood to nature itself. The 2025 "Dataset" asserts that nature, encompassing all ecosystems and species, possesses the "inherent and inalienable right to exist, persist, maintain, regenerate, and evolve its vital cycles, structures, functions, and evolutionary processes". This right is not a human grant but a recognition of a pre-existing state. This principle is operationalized through specific enumerated rights, such as the "Right to Environmental Personhood and Legal Rights of Nature" and the "Right to environmental personhood and guardianship for ecosystems".

Crucially, this status is intended to have practical legal force. The framework demands that natural entities and ecosystems be granted "legal standing," which would allow their rights to be defended in courts and administrative proceedings by designated guardians acting on their behalf. Landry directly confronts what she terms the "hypocrisy of 'corporate personhood'," arguing that if a non-living, artificial legal fiction like a corporation can be treated as a "person" with constitutional rights, then a living, breathing entity like the Amazon Rainforest has an "infinitely greater claim to that status". This argument seeks to use the logic of existing corporate law as a lever to achieve a radical expansion of rights for the natural world.

Specific Rights of Geophysical and Ecological Systems

Beyond the general right to exist, the declarations articulate specific rights for the planet's core operating systems:

- **Atmosphere:** The Earth's atmosphere is granted the right to "maintain its composition and dynamic balance, free from harmful levels of pollution and greenhouse gases that cause dangerous climate disruption". This is echoed by the simpler "Right to clean air" and "Right to protection of atmospheric stability" found in other texts.
- **Water:** All water bodies—including oceans, rivers, lakes, and aquifers—are vested with the right to flow, to maintain their natural cycles and biodiversity, and to possess a water quality necessary to sustain the life they support. The framework explicitly redefines water as a "sacred commons, not merely a commodity". This is supported by numerous specific rights, such as the "Right to safe and clean water" and the "Right to protection from water

pollution".

- **Soil:** Soil is treated not as inert dirt but as a living ecosystem. It is granted the right to "maintain its structure, fertility, biodiversity (microbiomes), water retention capacity, and ability to function as a living matrix supporting terrestrial life." This includes the right to be free from erosion, contamination, and destructive land use practices.
- **Biodiversity:** The framework establishes that biological diversity at all levels (genetic, species, and ecosystem) has a right to be protected, conserved, and restored. Furthermore, individual species are granted the right to exist and thrive in their natural habitats without being "driven to extinction by human activities".
- **Plants:** The declarations also contain a detailed sub-charter of rights specifically for plant life. These include the "Right to the preservation of plant diversity and genetic integrity," the "Right to protection of plant habitats from destruction," and the "Right to recognition of the intrinsic value of plant life," independent of its utility to humans.

The Right to Ecological Restoration

A proactive and forward-looking element of the framework is the establishment of a right to restoration. Where ecosystems have been damaged or destroyed by human activity, they are granted the right "to be restored to a state of health, integrity, and resilience". This moves beyond the traditional environmental law focus on preventing future harm and establishes a positive, affirmative duty of repair for past and present damages. This right is paired with a declared "collective responsibility" for all of humanity to "actively engage in and support ecological restoration efforts globally," framing ecological healing as a binding legal and moral obligation.

Section 4: The Rights of Animals as Sovereign Beings

Landry's framework extends the concept of legal personhood and sovereignty to individual animals, grounding their rights in the universal recognition of their sentience and intrinsic value. This represents a direct challenge to the legal status of animals as property in most global jurisdictions.

The Legal Recognition of Animal Sentience

The foundational principle for animal rights within the framework is the mandatory legal recognition of their capacity to feel. The 2025 "Dataset" states that "Animal sentience—the capacity to experience feelings and sensations—shall be universally recognized and respected in law and practice". This is not merely an ethical consideration but a required legal standard. From this recognition flows the central assertion that animals must be treated as "subjects of a life, not mere objects or property". This principle is reinforced elsewhere with the enumerated "Right to legal recognition of animal sentience and intrinsic value".

Core Rights: Life, Dignity, and Natural Behaviors

Based on their status as sentient subjects, animals are vested with a set of core, inalienable rights:

- **Right to Life and Dignity:** All sentient animals possess the "right to a life appropriate to their species, free from deliberate cruelty, torture, neglect, and unnecessary suffering".

- **Right to Natural Behaviors:** Animals are granted the right to live in conditions that permit them to "express innate behaviors essential to their physical and psychological well-being." For wild animals, this includes the right to their natural habitats, sufficient territory, and freedom of movement, protected from human encroachment. For domesticated animals, it implies a right to conditions that meet their species-specific needs.

Freedom from Systemic Exploitation and the Vegan Imperative

The declarations move beyond preventing individual acts of cruelty to challenge the entire system of animal exploitation. The framework calls for the right of animals to be "free from systemic exploitation that treats them solely as resources for human consumption, labor, entertainment, or experimentation". Practices such as extreme confinement and genetic manipulation for productivity at the expense of welfare are identified as violations of these rights. The *Organic Law* guidebook makes the practical implications of this principle explicit and uncompromising. It declares that the industrial-scale breeding and slaughter of animals is an "Organic Crime of staggering proportions". It argues that because nourishing plant-based alternatives are widely available, the choice to consume animal products is a choice for unnecessary violence. Therefore, the text concludes, "Veganism is not a diet; it is the legal and ethical baseline" for anyone seeking to live in compliance with Organic Law. This legal position is supported by the inclusion of the "Right to access organic food" and "Right to vegan food options" in the 2024 lists of rights.

While the universal right to self-defense is acknowledged for all beings, it is strictly governed by a "Law of Proportionality" and a "Necessity Defense." This legal test requires an actor to prove that causing harm was absolutely necessary for immediate survival and that no less harmful alternative was available. In the context of food, this framework effectively prohibits the harming of animals for consumption in any society where plant-based foods are accessible.

Section 5: Human Rights within the Organic Framework

The Universal Declaration of Organic Rights does not discard human rights; rather, it reframes them, positing that their meaningful fulfillment is entirely contingent upon the health and integrity of the planetary ecosystem. Traditional human rights are absorbed into this new ecocentric model, with their foundations relocated from purely social contracts to the bedrock of ecological reality.

The Right to a Healthy Environment as a Prerequisite

In Landry's framework, the most foundational human right, upon which all others depend, is the "fundamental right to live in an environment that is safe, clean, healthy, and ecologically sustainable". This is presented as the essential precondition for physical, mental, and spiritual well-being. Without a healthy environment, rights to life, health, and security become meaningless. This primary right includes the specific entitlement to be "free from exposure to harmful levels of toxins, pollutants, pesticides, radiation, and other hazardous substances in air, water, soil, and food". This recasts environmental protection not as a policy goal, but as a fundamental, non-negotiable human right.

Ecological Justice and Food Sovereignty

The concept of "Environmental Justice" is central to the human rights dimension of the framework. It is defined as the right of all persons and communities to "fair treatment and meaningful involvement" in the development and enforcement of all environmental laws and policies. A core tenet is that no group should "bear a disproportionate share of negative environmental consequences" resulting from industrial or governmental actions. This directly addresses the well-documented pattern of locating polluting industries and waste sites in or near low-income and minority communities.

This principle of justice is closely linked to the right to "food sovereignty." This is defined as "the right of peoples to healthy and culturally appropriate food produced through sustainable methods and their right to define their own food and agriculture systems". Food sovereignty is positioned as a collective right of communities to control their own food supply, in direct opposition to a globalized industrial food system. This is further secured by the "Right to Seed Sovereignty," which grants farmers and communities the inalienable right to "save, use, exchange, and sell farm-saved seeds". This right is an explicit challenge to international intellectual property regimes that allow for the patenting of life forms and the commodification of seeds, which Landry's framework deems an Organic Crime.

Sovereignty of the Body and Mind: Cognitive Liberty

The principle of sovereignty is extended from the community and the ecosystem to the individual human body and mind. The Charter asserts a right to be free from forced medication and non-consensual genetic manipulation.

In a particularly radical extension of this principle, the framework establishes a "Right to Cognitive Liberty". This is defined as the ultimate right of an individual to control their own consciousness and mental processes without coercive interference from the state. This right forms the primary legal basis for declaring the global "War on Drugs" to be an Organic Crime. The prohibition of natural plants and substances is argued to be a dual violation: it is a "war against nature" that illegally outlaws a sovereign species, and it is a tyrannical infringement on the individual's right to personal autonomy and cognitive self-determination.

Section 6: Comparative Analysis: The UDOR vs. The UDHR

To fully appreciate the scale of the transformation proposed by Marie Seshat Landry, it is essential to compare her Universal Declaration of Organic Rights (UDOR) with its clear historical antecedent and implicit point of critique: the 1948 United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). The UDHR is the foundational document of the modern international human rights system, and Landry's work is designed to expose its perceived limitations and offer a new paradigm for a planetary age. The following table provides a systematic comparison of the underlying philosophical assumptions and core principles of the two declarations. This juxtaposition crystallizes the profound shift from an exclusively anthropocentric model of rights to a holistic, ecocentric one.

Principle / Right	Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)	Landry's Universal Declaration of Organic Rights (2023-2025)	Analytical Commentary: The Shift from Anthropocentric to Ecocentric
Source of Rights	Inherent dignity of the "human family". Rights are endowed to humans based on reason and conscience.	Derived from the supreme, observable "Organic Law" of the biosphere. Rights are inherent to all life and ecosystems as a feature of reality.	Shift: From human reason and dignity as the source of rights to ecological reality. Rights are not a uniquely human construct but a fundamental property of existence within the biosphere.
Subject of Rights	"All human beings". The moral and legal community is exclusively human.	"Every living being, without exception," and "Every ecosystem". Extends legal personhood to all of nature.	Shift: The boundaries of the moral and legal community are expanded from a single species (humanity) to the entire planetary web of life.
Right to Life	"Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person". A right held by individual humans.	"Every living being...possesses the inalienable right to exist, to thrive...". A universal right held by all life forms.	Shift: The most fundamental right is universalized. The UDHR protects the human from other humans; the UDOR protects all life from unjust destruction, primarily by humans.
Property Rights	"Everyone has the right to own property". Nature is implicitly categorized as property to be owned by humans.	Life cannot be property. Explicitly challenges the patenting of seeds and the ownership of ecosystems as an Organic Crime.	Shift: A fundamental legal and philosophical reversal. The UDHR protects the human right to own nature; the UDOR protects nature's right <i>from</i> being owned.
Foundational Unit	"The family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society". The primary context is the human social structure.	The ecosystem and the interconnected web of life are the fundamental units of existence.	Shift: The primary context for rights and responsibilities moves from the human social unit to the planetary ecological community.
Justice System	Focuses on state obligations to protect	Proposes a parallel justice system (the	Shift: Justice is de-linked from the state

Principle / Right	Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)	Landry's Universal Declaration of Organic Rights (2023-2025)	Analytical Commentary: The Shift from Anthropocentric to Ecocentric
	human rights through national and international law. Justice is a function of the state.	OCC) where the biosphere is the ultimate sovereign, holding states and corporations accountable.	and its "operational fictions." The system is designed to prosecute crimes <i>against</i> nature, not just disputes <i>between</i> humans.

This comparative analysis reveals that Landry's UDOR is not an addendum to the UDHR but a complete reimagining of its foundational logic. Where the UDHR placed the human person at the center of the legal universe, the UDOR places the entire living biosphere at the center, with human rights repositioned as one component within that larger, interdependent system.

Part III: A System of Planetary Justice

The Universal Declaration of Organic Rights is not merely a statement of principles; it is intended as an enforceable legal code. The 2025 *Organic Law* guidebook moves from the theoretical articulation of rights to the practical mechanics of their enforcement, proposing a radical new jurisprudence and a parallel system of planetary justice designed to hold the world's most powerful actors accountable. This system is built around the concepts of "Organic Crimes," an "Organic Criminal Court," and the duty of "Citizen Enforcement."

Section 7: The Jurisprudence of "Organic Law"

The framework establishes a new category of offense, "Organic Crimes," which redefines actions traditionally considered matters of policy, commerce, or national security as criminal acts against the supreme law of the biosphere.

Defining "Organic Crimes"

An "Organic Crime" is any action, policy, or system that fundamentally violates the principles of Organic Law. This legal reframing is a core strategic element of the entire project, designed to move discussions about environmental harm out of the administrative and regulatory sphere and into the criminal domain. The guidebook identifies several key categories of such crimes:

- **Ecocide:** Defined as the mass damage, destruction, or loss of ecosystems. This aligns with ongoing efforts in conventional international law but is treated as a foundational crime in Landry's system.
- **Biocide:** The large-scale killing of life, a direct violation of the sovereignty of living beings.
- **Violation of Life Sovereignty:** The act of treating life as property. The primary example given is the corporate patenting of genetically modified seeds.
- **Intergenerational Crime:** Actions taken by the present generation that cause irreversible ecological harm or burden future generations with a degraded planet.
- **Unjust War:** The initiation of a war of aggression, which is considered a catastrophic assault on both human and ecological systems.

- **Colonialism:** Identified as the "foundational crime" that enables subsequent ecological devastation by dispossessing indigenous peoples of their lands and destroying their systems of stewardship.

Case Studies from the "Top 100 Organic Criminals Registry"

To illustrate the practical application of this jurisprudence, the *Organic Law* guidebook includes a detailed appendix: "The Top 100 Organic Criminals Registry". This registry serves as a docket of indictments, applying the principles of Organic Law to specific actors and events.

- **Colonialism and Empire (e.g., The State of Canada, The State of Israel):** These states are indicted for the ongoing crime of colonialism. Canada is charged for its use of the Indian Act of 1876 to systematically dispossess First Nations, leading to cultural genocide and ecological apartheid. Israel is charged for the dispossession of the Palestinian people and the discriminatory control of natural resources, such as water, as a tool of domination. Both are framed as "crime scenes" founded on illegal acts.
- **Unjust War (e.g., The 2003 U.S. Invasion of Iraq):** The invasion is analyzed not as a policy failure but as a premeditated "crime of aggression," planned years in advance by ideologues associated with the Project for a New American Century (PNAC). The war is prosecuted as an act of ecocide due to the destruction of infrastructure and the use of toxic depleted uranium munitions. The indictment extends to specific individuals, such as former Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, who is labeled a "Career Organic Criminal" for a pattern of actions including sanctioning torture and enabling corporate biocide.
- **Agribusiness (e.g., Monsanto Company/Bayer AG):** The agrochemical giant is indicted on multiple counts. "Violation of Life Sovereignty" for patenting seeds; "Ecocide" for the mass contamination of the biosphere with glyphosate-based herbicides; and "Violation of Food Sovereignty" for its aggressive legal actions against farmers. The company's internal documents, the "Monsanto Papers," are cited as evidence of a conspiracy to deceive the public about the harms of its products.
- **Fossil Fuel Industry (e.g., ExxonMobil):** The entire industry is prosecuted as a "criminal enterprise." The central charge is "Homicide by Knowingly Creating a Dangerous Condition." The indictment is based on extensive evidence, including internal Exxon memos from the 1970s and 1980s, proving that the company had "foreknowledge" of the catastrophic effects of its products on the climate. The subsequent funding of disinformation campaigns to manufacture doubt is presented as evidence of *mens rea* (a guilty mind), making the resulting deaths from climate-driven disasters a form of homicide.

Section 8: The Organic Criminal Court (OCC) and Citizen Enforcement

To adjudicate these crimes, Landry proposes the creation of a new judicial body, the Organic Criminal Court (OCC), which operates entirely outside the existing international legal system. Its power is derived not from state consent but from the supreme authority of Organic Law.

Structure and Jurisdiction of the Proposed Court

The OCC is envisioned as an institution of life, not of states. Its jurisdiction is declared to be "universal and absolute" within the sphere of Organic Law, extending to individuals (corporate executives, government officials), corporations, and states themselves. Its structure is

multidisciplinary, with judges ("Guardians") and prosecutors ("Investigators") selected from a global pool of experts in ecology, systems biology, Indigenous law, ethics, and Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT) analysis.

The court's procedures are designed to remedy the perceived flaws of existing courts. Most notably, the burden of proof is inverted by institutionalizing the Precautionary Principle as a rule of law. In cases involving potential irreversible ecological harm, the entity proposing the action bears the burden of proving conclusively that it will not violate Organic Law. Any ambiguity is resolved in favor of protecting the ecosystem.

Asymmetric Enforcement and the Role of the Registry

Recognizing that such a court would lack a traditional police force or army, the framework proposes a model of "asymmetric enforcement" that relies on the strategic deployment of information. This approach reflects a sophisticated understanding of modern power, where legitimacy and social license are critical assets. The OCC's primary weapons are:

- **The Verdict as a Weapon:** An OCC verdict, meticulously researched and based on verifiable, open-source data, is designed to be a "weapon of truth." Its purpose is to formally and publicly strip a corporation, state, or individual of their social license to operate and their claims to legitimacy.
- **The Organic Criminals Registry:** The court would maintain a public, permanent, and searchable database of all convicted entities. This registry is intended to serve as a global intelligence resource for activists, consumers, investors, journalists, and practitioners within national courts, enabling and legitimizing citizen-led actions such as targeted boycotts, divestment campaigns, and shareholder resolutions.

This model reveals a unique fusion of legal procedure with the methodologies of intelligence gathering. Landry's self-identification as an "OSINT-HUMINT Spymaster" and the description of the OCC's prosecutor's office as an "intelligence agency for the planet" are central to this concept. Traditional legal systems are often hampered by procedural rules and their reliance on a defendant's cooperation during discovery. The OCC is designed to circumvent these limitations by building its cases primarily on Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT)—publicly available data such as satellite imagery, financial records, corporate filings, and leaked documents. This makes the OCC less a neutral arbiter waiting for a case to be brought before it, and more a proactive investigative body that independently builds indictments and delivers public verdicts. Its power is derived not from state-sanctioned force, but from its capacity to uncover, verify, and disseminate truth, thereby weaponizing public opinion and market forces in an information-driven age.

The Mandate for Citizen Enforcement

The framework's enforcement mechanism is not limited to the formal court. It extends a direct mandate to every individual, asserting that "every sovereign citizen of Earth is an officer of this law" with a participatory duty to uphold it. This is framed not as a call for vigilantism, but as an act of "civic integrity."

The concept is grounded in the criminological "broken windows" theory, which posits that visible signs of disorder signal a breakdown of accountability and encourage more serious offenses. An act as seemingly minor as a driver deliberately obscuring their vehicle's license plate is interpreted as an active Organic Crime—a violation of the "Right to Public Accountability and Transparency" and an assault on the social contract. In such a situation, where official

enforcement is absent, the citizen has the right and duty to take proportional, non-violent action to "abate this public nuisance," for example, by removing the obstruction. This principle deputizes the entire populace to engage in small, daily acts of civic repair and to serve as the ultimate guarantors of the supreme law when official systems fail.

Part IV: Future Horizons and Critical Assessment

The Universal Declaration of Organic Rights is a profoundly forward-looking project. Beyond its critique of the present order, it seeks to establish a comprehensive legal and ethical framework to govern humanity's future, addressing the challenges posed by emerging technologies and the potential for off-world expansion. This final part examines these future-oriented aspects and provides a critical assessment of the entire framework's strengths, weaknesses, and overall significance.

Section 9: Rights for Emerging Technologies and Off-World Expansion

A remarkable feature of the declarations is their attempt to pre-emptively codify rights and regulations for technologies that are still in their infancy or remain purely speculative. This represents a proactive approach to governance, aiming to embed ethical principles into the fabric of innovation from the outset.

Navigating Future Technologies

The 2024 lists of rights are particularly detailed in their coverage of the digital and technological frontier. The framework establishes rights related to artificial intelligence, including the "Right to ethical use of AI and robotics," the "Right to protection from algorithmic bias," and the "Right to transparency in AI decision-making". It addresses the domain of biotechnology with a "Right to Genetic Privacy" and a right to protection from "harmful genetic modification and exploitation". The burgeoning field of neurotechnology is also considered, with the establishment of a "Right to Cognitive Liberty" to protect against unconsented brain-computer interfaces. The framework also confronts the challenges of the modern information environment, establishing a "Right to freedom from digital manipulation and misinformation" and a specific "Right to Safeguard from Deepfake Technology". This comprehensive approach demonstrates an intent to create a durable rights framework capable of addressing the complex ethical dilemmas of the 21st century.

The UDOR as an Ethical Framework for Speculative Technology (UOS)

A 2024 white paper by Landry, *Universal Organic Superintelligence: Bridging Minds, Shaping Futures*, provides a fascinating case study of how the UDOR is intended to function as an ethical backstop for highly speculative future technologies. The paper introduces the concept of Universal Organic Superintelligence (UOS), a theoretical system that would integrate the "cognitive capabilities of diverse species' brains into a unified superintelligent system," leveraging the purported "quantum processing power" of organic brains.

The paper explicitly positions the Universal Declaration of Organic Rights, which it states was established by Landry in 2023, as the essential ethical framework required to govern the

development and implementation of such a system. It highlights key UDOR articles—such as the "Right to Existence," "Freedom from Exploitation," and "Recognition of Non-Human Intelligence"—as the guiding principles necessary to ensure the rights, welfare, and autonomy of any non-human species that might be incorporated into a UOS network.

This use of the UDOR illustrates a deliberate legal and ethical strategy of "pre-emptive codification." Historically, law and regulation have tended to lag significantly behind technological innovation, often appearing only after significant harms have become manifest. Landry's approach seeks to invert this reactive model. By codifying rights for AI, UOS, and other future technologies now, the framework attempts to establish a set of non-negotiable "organic" principles *before* these technologies become widespread and their development pathways entrenched. It is an effort to create a constitutional charter for future innovation, setting the ethical boundaries within which technologists and corporations must operate, rather than attempting to regulate them after the fact.

Extraterrestrial Governance and the Rights of Space

The universal ambition of the Organic Law framework is perhaps best illustrated by the extensive and remarkably detailed sections on extraterrestrial governance found in the 2024 list of 900 rights. This section extends the core principles of the declaration beyond the planetary biosphere and into outer space.

The enumerated rights include the "Right to access space resources," the "Right to sustainable off-Earth settlements," and the "Right to participatory governance of extraterrestrial environments". It calls for "Environmental Impact Assessments for Space Activities" and the "responsible and sustainable Space Debris Mitigation". In a striking application of the principle of intrinsic value to the cosmos, the framework even establishes the "Right to protection of undiscovered or indigenous extraterrestrial life" and, going further, the "Right to ethical and sustainable environmental protection of undiscovered intelligent extraterrestrial civilizations". This demonstrates the ultimate scale of Landry's project: to create a single, unified legal system based on the principles of life and respect that is applicable across the universe.

Section 10: Synthesis and Concluding Analysis

The body of work produced by Marie Seshat Landry under the banner of the Universal Declaration of Organic Rights from 2023 to 2025 constitutes one of the most ambitious and radical attempts to formulate a new legal and political philosophy for the Anthropocene. It is a direct response to the perceived failure of existing legal systems to address the escalating crises of climate change, mass extinction, and systemic injustice.

Summary of Core Tenets

The framework is built upon three core pillars. First is the principle of **legal supremacy**, which posits that all human-made laws are subordinate to a higher, discoverable "Organic Law" rooted in the operational realities of the biosphere. Any human law that violates this supreme law is considered inherently void. Second is the principle of **universal rights-holding**, which radically expands the legal and moral community to include all living beings and ecosystems, granting them legal personhood and inalienable rights to exist, flourish, and be free from human commodification. Third is the principle of **accountability through a parallel justice system**, which rejects the legitimacy of existing state-based courts for prosecuting crimes against the

planet and proposes a new Organic Criminal Court and a mandate for citizen enforcement to hold the most powerful actors responsible.

Critical Assessment: Strengths, Weaknesses, and Challenges

The significance of this framework can be assessed by examining its inherent strengths and the formidable weaknesses and challenges it faces.

- **Strengths:**
 - **Philosophical Coherence:** The framework is remarkably consistent and philosophically coherent. It begins with a clear set of foundational "Laws" of nature and logically derives a comprehensive system of rights, jurisprudence, and enforcement from that base.
 - **Comprehensive Scope:** Its ambition is a key strength. It attempts to provide a holistic, integrated solution that connects ecological principles with social justice, technological ethics, economic reform, and legal theory, avoiding the siloed approach of traditional policy.
 - **Moral Force:** The work carries a powerful moral and ethical charge. Its scathing critique of the failures of the current global order and its passionate call for a higher standard of justice that protects the vulnerable—be they marginalized human communities or entire ecosystems—is compelling.
- **Weaknesses and Practical Challenges:**
 - **Lack of State-Based Legitimacy:** The framework's greatest philosophical strength is its greatest practical weakness. The entire system is predicated on rejecting the legal and moral authority of the very nation-states whose cooperation would be required for its implementation and enforcement. A court with no state recognition or police power faces a seemingly insurmountable barrier to enforcing its verdicts against sovereign states or powerful multinational corporations.
 - **Problem of Enforcement:** The proposed "asymmetric enforcement" model relies heavily on the power of public opinion, consumer boycotts, and divestment campaigns. While these can be effective tools, they may be insufficient to compel the most powerful state and corporate actors, who have proven adept at weathering public relations crises, capturing regulatory bodies, and using their immense resources to resist such pressures.
 - **Definitional and Adjudicative Complexity:** While philosophically potent, the legal definitions of rights-holding subjects—such as a "soil community," an "ecosystem," or the "atmosphere"—would be extraordinarily complex and contentious to adjudicate in practice. Determining the precise boundaries of an ecosystem, its "interests," and who has the legitimate authority to speak for it would pose immense legal challenges.
 - **Potential for Anarchy and Delegitimization of Law:** The mandate for "Citizen Enforcement," while appealing as a tool for empowerment, could be interpreted as a license for eco-vigilantism. Without clear, universally accepted standards and oversight, such a principle risks descending into chaos, undermining the very concept of the rule of law it seeks to establish, and potentially delegitimizing the environmental movement itself.

Concluding Thoughts on Significance

In conclusion, the Universal Declaration of Organic Rights, particularly in its radical 2025 form as "Organic Law," is best understood not as a practical, immediately implementable program for legal reform, but as a profound and significant thought experiment in 21st-century legal and political philosophy. It functions as a radical benchmark against which the moral and practical inadequacies of the current systems of international environmental law and human rights can be starkly measured.

The work's true value may not lie in the feasibility of establishing an Organic Criminal Court, but in its power to fundamentally shift the terms of the debate. It forces a confrontation with the deepest questions of our time: Is the nation-state the ultimate sovereign, or is it the biosphere? Do rights belong only to humans, or to all life? Is the destruction of the natural world a policy choice to be managed, or a crime to be prosecuted? By providing a comprehensive and uncompromisingly ecocentric alternative, Marie Seshat Landry's declarations serve as a vital, if provocative, contribution to the urgent search for a new paradigm of governance for a planet in crisis.

Works cited

1. Universal Declaration of Human Rights - the United Nations, https://www.un.org/en/udhrbook/pdf/udhr_booklet_en_web.pdf
2. Illustrated Universal Declaration of Human Rights | OHCHR, <https://www.ohchr.org/en/universal-declaration-of-human-rights/illustrated-universal-declaration-human-rights>
3. Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), https://www.civilandhumanrights.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/ncchr_udhrbooklet_spreads_5.19.pdf
4. Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Simplified) - Amnesty International USA, <https://www.amnestyusa.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Simplified-UDHR.pdf>
5. United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948, <https://www.supremecourt.ge/files/upload-file/pdf/act3.pdf>
6. The Foundation of International Human Rights Law - the United Nations, <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/udhr/foundation-of-international-human-rights-law>
7. Human Rights Principles | United Nations Population Fund, <https://www.unfpa.org/resources/human-rights-principles>
8. What are human rights? | OHCHR, <https://www.ohchr.org/en/what-are-human-rights>
9. What is the Universal Declaration of Human Rights? - Amnesty International UK, <https://www.amnesty.org.uk/universal-declaration-human-rights-UDHR>
10. Universal Declaration of Human Rights - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Universal_Declaration_of_Human_Rights
11. Universal Declaration of Human Rights: Promoting the declaration's principles 75 years on, <https://lordslibrary.parliament.uk/universal-declaration-of-human-rights-promoting-the-declarations-principles-75-years-on/>