

LANDRY INDUSTRIES STRATEGIC INTELLIGENCE MONOGRAPH • PEACE &
SOVEREIGNTY DIVISION

The Architecture of Dominance and the Science of Sovereignty

*A Unified Forensic, Neurobiological, and Open-Source Intelligence
Treatise on Bullying Across the Human Lifespan*

Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry

Founder & CEO, Marie Landry's Spy Shop | Chief Strategist, Landry Industries

Principal Author, The Universal Declaration of Organic Rights (UDOR)

marielandryspyshop.com • landryindustries.ca • ORCID: 0009-0008-5027-3337

PROLEGOMENON

The Doctrine of Non-Predation

Bullying is not an accidental social friction; it is an organized, predatory extraction protocol. Whether manifest in the crude territoriality of a preschool sandbox, the weaponized digital

swarms of adolescent social platforms, the Machiavellian mobbing rituals of corporate executive suites, or the silent, coercive isolation of long-term care facilities, the fundamental algorithm remains unchanged: *the systematic subjugation of individual sovereignty to extract psychological, social, or economic capital.*

For decades, orthodox institutions have treated bullying as a peripheral behavioral anomaly—a developmental rite of passage for the young, or mere "personality clashes" among adults. This intellectual cowardice has cost human civilization uncounted lives, trillions in lost cognitive productivity, and widespread neurological trauma. As intelligence practitioners, citizen scientists, and advocates of post-predatory economics, we must discard sentimental platitudes and dissect bullying through hard, empirical lenses: evolutionary biology, behavioral ethology, neuroendocrinology, network theory, and open-source intelligence (OSINT) forensics.

"Predatory dynamics survive only in environments of informational asymmetry and collective cowardice. When you expose the operational architecture of intimidation, preserve immutable evidence, and anchor human value in absolute lifeform sovereignty, the tyrant's leverage collapses to zero."

— Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry

This volume unifies the forensic taxonomy of intimidation across the entire human lifecycle. It offers children, parents, educators, executives, workers, seniors, and investigators a complete defensive and reconstructive doctrine: how to recognize the onset of dominance games, protect biological and psychological sovereignty, gather forensic evidence, and construct institutional ecosystems rooted in non-predation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I: Etiology & Structural Architecture of Intimidation	Ch. 1–2
Part II: Lifespan Taxonomy: From Childhood to Elderhood	Ch. 3–7
Part III: The Neurobiology & Epigenetics of Social Injury	Ch. 8–9
Part IV: The OSINT & Evidentiary Countermeasure Protocol	Ch. 10–11
Part V: The Post-Predatory Paradigm & Organic Sovereignty	Ch. 12–13
Comprehensive Academic References & Legal Citations	Bibliography

PART I: FOUNDATIONAL DYNAMICS

1. The Evolutionary Ethology of Dominance

1.1 Evolutionary Roots: Resource Holding Potential vs. Exploitative Parasitism

In evolutionary biology, dominance hierarchies serve as an evolutionary mechanism for minimizing lethal intraspecific combat over scarce resources (food, mates, territory). In healthy social species, dominance is often correlated with *Resource Holding Potential (RHP)*—competence, strength, or social utility that provides protective cohesion to the group.^[1] However, bullying represents a pathological divergence: *exploitative social parasitism*. Rather than earning status through constructive contribution, the predatory individual creates artificial status differentials by actively depressing the physiological and social capital of targeted peers.^[2]

As documented by Olweus (1993) and refined by Volk, Camilleri, Dane, and Marini (2012), bullying is defined by three strict criteria: (1) intentionality to inflict physical, emotional, or social

harm; (2) persistence over time; and (3) an inherent or cultivated *asymmetry of power*. [1, 3] Power asymmetry does not merely mean physical bulk; it encompasses demographic majority, neurotypical supremacy, institutional seniority, digital access, or social-network central positioning.

INTELLIGENCE DOSSIER: THE DARK TETRAD ARCHITECTURE

Decades of behavioral research demonstrate that persistent perpetrators of bullying across all age brackets consistently score high on the **Dark Tetrad** personality cluster:

- **Subclinical Psychopathy:** Deficits in affective empathy, low autonomic reactivity to distress in others, high impulsivity, and cold instrumental exploitation. [4]
- **Machiavellianism:** Cynical worldview, strategic manipulateness, long-term grooming of alliances, and instrumental calculation of institutional blind spots. [5]
- **Subclinical Narcissism:** Grandiose self-concept coupled with fragile ego-defenses; reactive aggression triggered by "narcissistic injury" or the mere presence of authentic excellence in others. [6]
- **Everyday Sadism:** The derivation of intrinsic neurochemical reward (dopaminergic stimulation) from the witnessing or direct infliction of humiliation and subjugation. [7]

1.2 Typologies of Aggression: Direct, Relational, and Structural

Aggression is not monolithic. The intelligence practitioner classifies hostile behavior into three distinct modalities:

Aggression Vector	Primary Manifestations	Target Demographic	Evidentiary Signature
-------------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------

Direct / Physical	Assault, kinetic intimidation, property theft/destruction, spatial blockade.	Early childhood, primary/middle school, carceral settings, physical labor sites.	Physical injuries, property damage, overt audiovisual recordings.
Indirect / Relational	Ostracism, whisper campaigns, malicious alliance-building, reputational sabotage, proxy gaslighting.	Adolescents, academia, professional/corporate workplaces, residential communities.	Altered communication logs, sudden exclusion metrics, whisper networks, backchannel emails.
Systemic / Structural	Selective policy enforcement, weaponized audits, isolation by bureaucracy, withholding necessary tooling.	Corporate environments, public institutions, health care, eldercare institutions.	Discrepant HR performance metrics, selective rule enforcement logs, administrative paper trails.

PART II: BULLYING ACROSS THE HUMAN LIFESPAN

2. Childhood and Primary Education: The Formation of the Social Triad

2.1 The Bystander Paradigm and Participant Roles

Christina Salmivalli's seminal research dismantled the naive dyadic model (bully vs. victim) by demonstrating that classroom bullying is an institutional group process. ^[8] In any bullying theater, individuals occupy distinct functional roles:

- **The Ring构建er (Ringleader):** Originates attacks, selects targets based on vulnerability or perceived non-conformity.
- **The Assistant / Reinforcer:** Actively joins kinetic attacks or provides critical social rewards (laughter, applause, algorithmic reposts).
- **The Outsider / Passive Bystander:** Disengages, pretending ignorance, which paradoxically signals tacit consent to the perpetrator.
- **The Defender:** Actively intervenes, comforts the target, or alerts external sovereign authorities.

Crucially, neurodivergent children (those on the Autism spectrum, ADHD, Tourette's) and 2SLGBTQIA+ youth suffer disproportionate rates of victimization—frequently exceeding 60% to 75% prevalence rates in standard school settings. ^[9] In these cases, bullying is not mere teasing; it is a normative enforcement mechanism by which social groups penalize variance and police conformity.

3. Adolescence and the Cyber-Arena

3.1 The Dematerialization of Harm: Algorithmic Cruelty

In the digital sphere, intimidation transcends spatial and temporal constraints. Cyberbullying strips targets of their biological sanctuaries (the home, the bedroom), creating a state of perpetual hyperarousal. ^[10] As detailed in our OSINT investigations at Marie Landry's Spy Shop, digital harassment operates via distinct vectors:

1. **Doxxing & Swatting:** Weaponizing personally identifiable information (PII) to provoke real-world physical violence or municipal law-enforcement raids.
2. **Deepfake Synthesis & Non-Consensual Imagery:** The deployment of generative models to manufacture synthetic visual kompromat designed to destroy reputation.
3. **Distributed Denigration Swarms (Brigading):** Automated or community-mobilized mass-messaging attacks designed to overwhelm reporting algorithms and drive the target into total social erasure.

4. Academic Mobbing & Corporate Predation

4.1 Heinz Leymann's Workplace Mobbing Model

In adulthood, physical violence is largely replaced by psychological terrorization. Dr. Heinz Leymann pioneered the conceptualization of *Mobbing*: hostile and unethical communication systematically directed by one or a few individuals mainly toward one target, who is pushed into a helpless and defenseless position. ^[11] Ståle Einarsen and the Bergen Bullying Research Group expanded this through the Negative Acts Questionnaire (NAQ-R), categorizing corporate hostility into work-related bullying, person-related bullying, and physically intimidating bullying. ^[12]

In corporate environments, targets are rarely low performers. Rather, they are almost universally *high-competence, high-integrity outliers* whose ethical boundaries or exceptional capabilities threaten the mediocrity and parasitic resource control of insecure middle or senior management. ^[13]

Corporate predators execute a standardized five-phase elimination protocol:

- **Phase 1: Incipient Friction:** An initial disagreement where the target demonstrates unbending professional integrity or superior competence.
- **Phase 2: Systematic Psychological Terror:** Daily micro-invalidations, exclusion from key communications, unreasonable deadlines, task sabotage.
- **Phase 3: Administrative Complicity (HR Weaponization):** Human Resources is mobilized not to investigate facts, but to mitigate institutional liability by manufacturing an adverse paper trail (Performance Improvement Plans).
- **Phase 4: Pathologizing the Target:** The target's trauma responses (hypervigilance, distress, defensive documentation) are framed as "instability," "lack of team spirit," or "difficult personality."
- **Phase 5: Expulsion & Blacklisting:** Constructive dismissal, retaliatory termination, or severe burnout leading to career destruction.

5. Domestic, Intimate, and Elder Predation

5.1 Coercive Control and Vulnerability Exploitation

In intimate and domestic spheres, bullying manifests as *coercive control*—a strategic pattern of continuous micro-regulation of everyday life (finances, movements, social contacts, attire). ^[14] In the sunset of life, elder bullying emerges in nursing homes and family structures: subtle intimidation over inheritance, infantilization, intentional neglect, and relational aggression among institutional co-residents. ^[15] Across all ages, the predator identifies the point of lowest structural leverage and applies asymmetric pressure.

6. The Epigenetics and Neurobiology of Chronic Trauma

6.1 The Neuro-Endocrine Cascades of Subjugation

Chronic interpersonal hostility is not merely distressing; it is neurotoxic. The human central nervous system interprets prolonged social threat through identical pathways as imminent biological destruction. [\[16\]](#)

Prolonged exposure to bullying activates the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis, triggering sustained release of glucocorticoids (cortisol) and catecholamines. This leads to:

- **Hippocampal Neurogenesis Atrophy:** Elevated cortisol suppresses BDNF (Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor), leading to dendritic pruning and shrinkage of the hippocampus, directly impairing declarative memory and contextual appraisal. [\[17\]](#)
- **Amygdalar Hypertrophy & Sensitization:** The basolateral amygdala becomes hyper-responsive, trapping the individual in chronic hypervigilance, panic, and fragmented sleep architectures. [\[18\]](#)
- **Prefrontal Cortex Hypoactivation:** Executive functioning, working memory, and emotional self-regulation deteriorate under chronic social defeat, creating the illusion that the target is "incompetent."
- **Systemic Inflammation & Epigenetic Alteration:** As shown by Teicher and colleagues (2016), severe peer victimization alters DNA methylation in genes regulating serotonin transport (SLC6A4) and immune response, escalating lifelong risk of autoimmune disease, cardiovascular morbidity, and treatment-resistant Complex PTSD (C-PTSD). [\[19, 20\]](#)

6.2 Societal & Macroeconomic Costs

The macroeconomic loss driven by bullying is staggering. Data aggregated across North America

and the European Union indicates that workplace harassment and mobbing alone drain over \$300 billion annually in absenteeism, turnover, litigation, healthcare expenditures, and premature mortality. [\[13, 21\]](#) Predation is fundamentally anti-economic: it destroys high-value human capital to protect inefficient bureaucratic feudalism.

PART IV: THE LANDRY INTELLIGENCE COUNTERMEASURE PROTOCOL

7. Forensic Documentation and OSINT Countermeasures

At Marie Landry's Spy Shop and Landry Industries, we teach that sovereignty is not given; it is defended through rigorous, disciplined, asymmetric intelligence practice. When subjected to predatory aggression, emotional reacting plays into the perpetrator's script. Targets must immediately shift into *Intelligence Operative Mode*.

OPERATIONAL PROTOCOL: IMMUTABLE INCIDENT LOGGING (THE LANDRY METHOD)

Every interaction with a suspected predator or mobbing network must be logged with forensic precision according to the **Five-W Standard**:

- **When (Timestamp):** Exact date, time, and timezone.
- **Where (Geolocation & Context):** Room, meeting URL, platform, seating arrangement, spatial dynamics.
- **Who (Actors & Observers):** Primary actor, accomplices, passive bystanders, recording devices present.

- **What (Verbatim & Kinetic Record):** Direct quotes (not interpretations), exact gestures, documents altered, tasks rescinded.
- **Witness & Metadata Hash:** Cryptographic timestamping (SHA-256 hash) of digital files, emails exported in raw MIME format (`.eml` / `.msg` with full SMTP headers), backed up to air-gapped external media.

7.1 Technological Self-Defense & Digital Hygiene

Victims of cyberbullying, doxxing, or corporate surveillance must implement immediate operational security (OPSEC):

1. **Perimeter Isolation:** Immediate revocation of shared credentials, deployment of hardware security keys (FIDO2/U2F), and compartmentalization of personal identities from professional/school spaces.
2. **Evidence Preservation without Interaction:** Never delete predatory messages. Use automated, tamper-evident web archiving tools (such as Archive.today, Wayback Machine, or cryptographic screen-capture tools) before reporting content to moderators who may destroy evidence.
3. **Legal Recording Statutes:** In jurisdictions with "one-party consent" recording laws (such as Canada under Section 184 of the Criminal Code), maintain accurate, lawful voice logs of verbal altercations to counter subsequent gaslighting and perjury. [\[22\]](#)

8. Psychological Boundary Fortification

8.1 The "Gray Rock" Protocol and Tactical Disengagement

Predators require a "narcissistic supply" or emotional feedback loop to confirm their dominance. By employing the *Gray Rock* methodology, the target ceases all authentic emotional signaling in

the presence of the perpetrator. Communication is reduced to flat, factual, emotionless, non-committal monosyllables. Deprived of dopamine-rewarding reactions, the predator's behavioral reinforcement loop is extinguished, forcing them to either expose their unprovoked hostility openly or abandon the engagement.

PART V: THE POST-PREDATORY PARADIGM

9. Systemic Intervention and The Organic Future

9.1 The Failure of "Zero-Tolerance" and the Path to Restorative Sovereignty

Orthodox "Zero-Tolerance" policies in schools and corporations have proven to be an abysmal failure. ^[23] In practice, they are weaponized by Machiavellian aggressors who provoke targets into reactive outbursts and then report the victim for disciplinary sanctions. Effective intervention requires:

- **Whole-Ecosystem Restorative Accountability (The KiVa & Olweus Models):** Continuous, anonymous peer-climate auditing, empowering bystanders as an active defense network, and clear, graduated structural sanctions against perpetrators. ^[24]
- **Independent Auditing:** Decoupling HR and safety investigations from executive and administrative command chains, eliminating institutional self-protection.

9.2 The Universal Declaration of Organic Rights (UDOR)

As codified in the governance frameworks of Landry Industries, true safety across the lifespan is achievable only when society recognizes the inviolable *Organic Sovereignty* of every sentient life-form. Under the tenets of the UDOR, no entity—be it a schoolyard clique, a corporate boardroom,

a family member, or a digital swarm—possesses the legitimate authority to degrade the nervous system, dignity, or biological peace of another sovereign being.

"*The Organic Revolution is not merely about ecology and sustainable biomaterials; it is the fundamental evolution from a predatory civilizational model to an ecosystem of sovereign collaboration. To end bullying is to dismantle the architecture of human subjugation forever.*"

— Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry, Moncton, NB, Canada

Comprehensive Academic Bibliography & Legal Authorities

- [1] **Olweus, D. (1993).** *Bullying at School: What We Know and What We Can Do*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
- [2] **Volk, A. A., Camilleri, J. A., Dane, A. V., & Marini, Z. A. (2012).** Is adolescent bullying an evolutionary adaptation? *Aggressive Behavior*, 38(3), 222–238. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.21418>
- [3] **Craig, W. M., & Pepler, D. J. (2007).** Understanding bullying: From research to practice. *Canadian Psychology / Psychologie canadienne*, 48(2), 86–93.
- [4] **Hare, R. D. (1999).** *Without Conscience: The Disturbing World of the Psychopaths Among Us*. New York: Guilford Press.
- [5] **Christie, R., & Geis, F. L. (1970).** *Studies in Machiavellianism*. New York: Academic Press.
- [6] **Bushman, B. J., & Baumeister, R. F. (1998).** Threatened egotism, narcissism, self-esteem, and direct and displaced aggression: Does self-love or self-hate lead to violence? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75(1), 219–229.
- [7] **Buckels, E. E., Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2013).** Behavioral confirmation of everyday

sadism. *Psychological Science*, 24(11), 2201–2209.

- [8] **Salmivalli, C., Lagerspetz, K., Björkqvist, K., Österman, K., & Kaukiainen, A. (1996).** Bullying as a group process: Participant roles and their relations to social status within the group. *Aggressive Behavior*, 22(1), 1–15.
- [9] **Humphrey, N., & Symes, W. (2011).** Peer interaction patterns among adolescents with autistic spectrum disorders (ASDs) in mainstream secondary schools. *Autism*, 15(4), 397–419.
- [10] **Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2015).** *Bullying Beyond the Schoolyard: Preventing and Responding to Cyberbullying* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- [11] **Leymann, H. (1996).** The content and development of mobbing at work. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 5(2), 165–184.
- [12] **Einarsen, S., Hoel, H., & Notelaers, G. (2009).** Measuring exposure to bullying and harassment at work: Validity, factor structure and psychometric properties of the Negative Acts Questionnaire-Revised. *Work & Stress*, 23(1), 24–44.
- [13] **Namie, G., & Namie, R. (2009).** *The Bully at Work: What You Can Do to Stop the Hurt and Reclaim Your Dignity on the Job*. Naperville, IL: Sourcebooks.
- [14] **Stark, E. (2007).** *Coercive Control: How Men Entrap Women in Personal Life*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [15] **Bonifas, R. P. (2016).** *Bullying Among Older Adults: How to Recognize and Address an Unseen Epidemic*. Baltimore: Health Professions Press.
- [16] **McEwen, B. S. (2007).** Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: Central role of the brain. *Physiological Reviews*, 87(3), 873–904.
- [17] **Sapolsky, R. M. (2004).** *Why Zebras Don't Get Ulcers: The Acclaimed Guide to Stress, Stress-Related Diseases, and Coping* (3rd ed.). New York: Henry Holt and Co.
- [18] **LeDoux, J. (2000).** Emotion circuits in the brain. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 23(1), 155–184.
- [19] **Teicher, M. H., Samson, J. A., Anderson, C. M., & Ohashi, K. (2016).** The effects of childhood maltreatment on brain structure, function and connectivity. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17(10), 652–666.

- [20] **Herman, J. L. (1992).** *Trauma and Recovery: The Aftermath of Violence—From Domestic Abuse to Political Terror*. New York: Basic Books.
- [21] **Tehrani, N. (2012).** *Workplace Bullying: Symptoms and Solutions*. London: Routledge.
- [22] **Criminal Code of Canada**, R.S.C., 1985, c. C-46, s. 184 (Interception of Communication / One-Party Consent Exemption).
- [23] **American Psychological Association Zero Tolerance Task Force. (2008).** Are zero tolerance policies effective in the schools? An evidentiary review and recommendations. *American Psychologist*, 63(9), 852–862.
- [24] **Kärnä, A., Voeten, M., Little, T. D., Poskiparta, E., Kaljonen, A., & Salmivalli, C. (2011).** A large-scale evaluation of the KiVa antibullying program: Grades 4–6. *Child Development*, 82(1), 311–330.
-

Published by **Landry Industries Inc. & Marie Landry's Spy Shop Publishing**

Headquarters: Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada • Web: marielandryspyshop.com | landryindustries.ca

© 2026 Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry. All rights reserved. Dedicated to the advancement of Lifeform Sovereignty and the Organic Revolution.