

The Anatomy of a Preemptive War: A Forensic OSINT Investigation into the Geopolitical, Rhetorical, and Economic Mechanisms of the Iraq War (2003–2011)

The Ideological Genesis and the PNAC Paradigm (1997–2001)

The conceptual origin of the 2003 invasion of Iraq is deeply rooted in the intellectual and bureaucratic networks established in Washington, D.C., during the late 1990s, most notably represented by the Project for the New American Century (PNAC). Founded in June 1997 by neoconservative theorists William Kristol and Robert Kagan, PNAC sought to replace the post-Cold War foreign policy consensus with an aggressive framework of "benevolent global hegemony" and "military strength and moral clarity". A primary objective of this ideological project was the systematic, forcible removal of Saddam Hussein's regime in Iraq.

On January 26, 1998, core PNAC members—including future high-ranking Bush administration officials Donald Rumsfeld, Paul Wolfowitz, Elliott Abrams, John Bolton, and Richard Perle—transmitted an open letter to President Bill Clinton. The letter asserted that the long-standing policy of containment had failed, arguing that the United States could no longer rely on United Nations Security Council consensus or regional allies to disarm Iraq. Instead, they demanded a comprehensive political and military strategy to remove Saddam Hussein from power.

This early playbook was detailed with high granularity in a subsequent PNAC communication to Republican congressional leaders Newt Gingrich and Trent Lott on May 29, 1998. This document outlined a multi-phase program to dismantle the Iraqi state, establishing a provisional government, lifting economic sanctions exclusively in "liberated areas," utilizing Iraqi oil resources to finance the insurrection, releasing approximately \$1.6 billion in frozen Iraqi assets to anti-Saddam forces, and launching a targeted air campaign against the Republican Guard. This blueprint laid the exact structural foundations for the eventual execution of the 2003 invasion.

This hawkish consensus was challenged by domestic religious organizations and diplomatic bodies. On February 12, 1998, the United U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops (USCCB), led by Archbishop Theodore E. McCarrick, wrote to President Clinton warning that a unilateral military strike would be impossible to justify under just war doctrines, would gravely destabilize the Middle East, and would inflict catastrophic harm on innocent civilians already suffering under a punitive sanctions regime.

The Clinton administration resisted military invasion, choosing instead to maintain the "containment in a box" policy, which senior aides believed was successfully neutralizing Iraqi capabilities. However, hawkish lobbying intensified following a late-1998 inspections dispute. Proponents of military action argued that Iraq had previously run a covert "crash program" to develop a crude nuclear device prior to the 1991 Gulf War, employing high-explosive implosion

lenses and seeking to enrich fuel rods. Despite claims from a "northern European" intelligence source that Saddam's elite Special Security Organization was actively concealing nuclear designs, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) under Mohamed ElBaradei maintained that there was no credible evidence of active weaponization. Neoconservative planners lobbied intensely against "closing the nuclear file," asserting that the absence of evidence was merely proof of sophisticated Iraqi denial and deception.

The transition of the PNAC blueprint from abstract policy paper to state action occurred when George W. Bush assumed the presidency in 2001, bringing ten founding PNAC signatories into critical national security roles. In September 2000, PNAC published its seminal report, *Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources For a New Century*, which advocated for a massive expansion of global U.S. military preeminence. The authors famously noted that the radical transformation of the U.S. military and geopolitical posture would be a prolonged process, "absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor". The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks provided this catalyst. Within nine days of the attacks, on September 20, 2001, PNAC sent a letter to President Bush demanding that the war on terror immediately encompass the eradication of Saddam Hussein's regime, regardless of whether any evidence linked Iraq to the 9/11 attacks.

The Disinformation Machinery: OSP, the INC, and Intelligence Sabotage

To secure the political consensus required to launch a preemptive war, senior officials in the Department of Defense bypassed professional intelligence vetting by establishing alternative bureaucratic channels designed to manufacture a national security threat.

In September 2002, Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz authorized the expansion of the Pentagon's Near East/South Asia (NESA) office. This restructuring birthed a highly specialized, non-descript unit known as the Office of Special Plans (OSP), directed by Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Douglas Feith. The OSP, alongside the Policy Counterterrorism Evaluation Group (PCTEG), operated as an internal intelligence processing cell designed to "critique" standard CIA assessments. In practice, the OSP functioned as a mechanism to bypass standard verification channels, cherry-picking raw, uncorroborated reports to draft talking points for high-ranking executive branch officials.

The Department of Defense Inspector General (DOD IG) later conducted a detailed investigation into the OSP's operations, concluding that Feith's office had inappropriately performed intelligence analysis and disseminated alternative, unvetted assessments to senior decision-makers in the White House, the National Security Council, and the Office of the Vice President. These briefings contained alarming, unsupported findings:

The OSP Alternative Intelligence Assessment	Consensus View of the Professional Intelligence Community
Al-Qaeda and Ba'athist Iraq maintained a "mature, symbiotic relationship" involving cooperation in all categories.	Scant credible evidence of operational ties or shared tactical initiatives between the secular Ba'athist regime and the transnational Islamist network.
A critical meeting took place in Prague in April 2001 between 9/11 hijacker Mohammed Atta and an Iraqi intelligence officer.	High skepticism that the Prague meeting ever occurred, with independent tracking placing Atta elsewhere.

The OSP Alternative Intelligence Assessment	Consensus View of the Professional Intelligence Community
Iraq was actively manufacturing and distributing biological weapons via mobile, highly advanced laboratories.	The mobile laboratory claims originated from a single unvetted defector whose details contained major design flaws.
Iraq had successfully restarted its nuclear program and possessed active chemical and biological warheads.	International inspectors found no evidence of reconstituted weapons programs or nuclear breakout capabilities.

To maintain the credibility of this alternative narrative, the OSP engaged in systematic bureaucratic sabotage. When presenting their assessments to the CIA, OSP officials intentionally removed a slide that was highly critical of the IC's methodologies. However, when briefing the White House, they re-inserted this slide to deliberately undermine the CIA's authority and suggest that professional analysts were failing to understand the true nature of the threat. The raw material feeding this disinformation loop came from the Iraqi National Congress (INC), an opposition group in exile led by Ahmed Chalabi. The INC, funded with approximately \$49 million of U.S. taxpayer money, served as the primary conduit for defectors who fabricated descriptions of Saddam's hidden arsenals.

The most significant fabricator was Rafid Ahmed Alwan al-Janabi, codenamed "Curveball" by the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA). Curveball, a chemical engineer with poor university marks and an outstanding arrest warrant for embezzlement in Iraq, claimed to have worked with British-trained microbiologist Rihab Rashid Taha ("Dr. Germ") to construct mobile biological weapons laboratories.

Though German intelligence (the BND) repeatedly warned the CIA that Curveball was highly unstable and likely fabricating his claims to secure asylum, his testimony was integrated directly into the October 2002 National Intelligence Estimate (NIE). This flawed data subsequently formed the bedrock of Secretary of State Colin Powell's address to the United Nations on February 5, 2003.

The systemic manipulation of this intelligence was later exposed by the UK's Chilcot Inquiry, which revealed that Tony Blair had committed the UK to war ("I will be with you, whatever") eight months prior to the invasion, relying on a "Dodgy Dossier" that claimed Iraq could deploy chemical weapons within 45 minutes. The Chilcot Inquiry further noted that MI6 staff had flagged that key descriptions of Iraqi chemical storage facilities appeared to have been lifted directly from the 1996 Hollywood action film *The Rock*.

Rhetorical Deconstruction: Coded Language, Orientalism, and Dehumanization

The physical execution of the Iraq War required the systematic deployment of rhetorical and linguistic strategies designed to strip the opposing population of their humanity, thereby reducing the domestic political and moral cost of preemptive invasion. An analysis of the speeches delivered by President George W. Bush and Prime Minister Tony Blair reveals a highly calculated use of metaphorical language to justify military expansion as a moral necessity. According to the conceptual framework of the "Morality Metaphor," military conflicts are presented as simplified fairy tales of good versus evil, wherein the invading state assumes the role of a benevolent hero protecting innocent victims from an irrational, demonic threat. Bush and Blair constructed this dichotomy by dividing the world into strict "Us and Them" categories.

In this structure, Western forces represented the forces of civilization and divine justice, while Iraq and its leadership were characterized using systematic dehumanizing metaphors:

Disease and Biological Pathogen Metaphors

Saddam Hussein's regime was frequently characterized as an infectious disease or a biological anomaly. In his press conference on March 6, 2003, President Bush defended the impending invasion by stating that the United States would be "replacing this cancer inside of Iraq" with a representative government. By reducing a sovereign political regime to a malignancy or "virus," the administration framed the violence of invasion as a hygienic and therapeutic necessity, neutralizing standard moral objections to military aggression.

Animalistic and Vermin Metaphors

Political speeches and media coverage systematically reduced Iraqi actors to animalistic or pestilent states. Saddam Hussein was frequently referred to as a "rat" hiding in a hole or a "Frankenstein monster" that required eradication. This framing was echoed in North American media, which routinely structured the hunt for Iraqi insurgents as a literal animal hunt, describing the capture of combatants as "snaring prey" and the broader military operation as "rooting out" vermin or rodents.

Dualistic and Coded Dehumanization

The administration utilized coded bureaucratic and religious language to strip Iraqi civilians and combatants of their individual identity. By referring to casualties through abstract statistical terms and categorizing all resistance fighters as "faceless cowards," "enemy combatants," or "religious zealots," the linguistic output of the state made it impossible for the public to perceive the Iraqi population as sentient human beings with legitimate claims to safety and self-determination. This rhetorical strategy relied heavily on the concepts of Orientalism and Neo-Orientalism. By painting the Middle East as an inherently "dangerous," "unstable," and "medieval" space populated by individuals prone to sectarian violence and religious fanaticism, Western leaders positioned their own nations as rational, modern, and morally superior protectors. This relaxed the moral boundaries of the Western electorate, allowing them to accept the devastating physical destruction of Iraqi infrastructure and massive civilian casualties as necessary steps in a civilizing mission.

Macroeconomic Drivers: The Petrodollar, Oil Standards, and Reconstruction Windfalls

Beneath the public narratives of democratic transition and WMD disarmament lay deep macroeconomic currents related to the preservation of the global petrodollar recycling system and the direct enrichment of corporate entities through state-sponsored reconstruction. The global hegemony of the United States dollar has rested on the petrodollar system established in 1974. Under this unwritten arrangement negotiated by Henry Kissinger and King Faisal of Saudi Arabia, OPEC nations price all global crude oil transactions exclusively in U.S. dollars.

This arrangement forces every oil-importing country on earth to maintain substantial dollar

reserves to finance their energy needs. These dollars are subsequently recycled back into the United States financial system through the purchase of Treasury bonds, allowing the U.S. to sustain unprecedented national debt levels and budgetary deficits without facing currency devaluation.

In October 2000, Saddam Hussein launched a direct assault on this monetary hegemony. With the approval of the United Nations under the Oil-for-Food program, Iraq transitioned its oil export transaction currency entirely from the U.S. dollar to the European Union's euro.

By late 2002, this transition had yielded significant financial benefits for Iraq due to the rapid appreciation of the euro, prompting other oil-producing nations—such as Iran, Russia, and Venezuela—to openly consider pricing their own oil exports in euros.

If OPEC had abandoned the dollar standard, the sudden return of trillions of unneeded dollars to the United States would have triggered catastrophic inflation and a collapse of U.S. financial preeminence.

Immediately following the 2003 invasion, the Coalition Provisional Authority unilaterally abolished the euro-pricing system, mandating that all Iraqi oil sales return exclusively to the dollar standard.

The Macroeconomic Scale of the Petrodollar and Reconstruction Lifecycle	Metric / Financial Value
Estimated Cumulative Petrodollar Revenue (1974–2026)	\$50 Trillion+
Combined Gulf Sovereign Wealth Funds Assets (AUM)	\$4.5 Trillion
U.S. Federal Government Spending on Iraq War Contracts	\$138 Billion+
Total Value of Contracts Awarded to KBR (Halliburton Subsidiary)	\$39.5 Billion
Proportion of Top 100 Contracts Awarded to Foreign/Unidentified Firms	~45 Percent
Number of Key Foreign Contractors Based in Turkey	12 of 32

Alongside the preservation of currency hegemony, the military occupation of Iraq functioned as a massive wealth transfer mechanism to private defense and engineering conglomerates. The primary beneficiary was KBR, Inc. (formerly Kellogg, Brown & Root), which until 2007 operated as a subsidiary of Halliburton.

Vice President Dick Cheney, who had served as the Chief Executive Officer of Halliburton from 1995 to 2000 and departed with a \$35 million payout, continued to receive significant deferred compensation from the firm while helping direct the war policy. Under his tenure as CEO, Halliburton's offshore tax haven subsidiaries expanded from 9 to 44, allowing the firm to transition from paying \$302 million in taxes in 1998 to receiving an \$85 million tax refund in 1999.

Furthermore, during Cheney's tenure as CEO, Halliburton held active stakes in firms that signed \$73 million in contracts to sell oil production equipment directly to Saddam Hussein's regime, highlighting the highly cynical nature of the corporate-state revolving door.

Under the U.S. Army's LOGCAP III omnibus contract, KBR was awarded exclusive, non-compete, "cost-plus" contracts to provide logistical support for military operations and rehabilitate the Iraqi oil sector under the Restore Iraqi Oil (RIO) program.

Cost-plus contracts guaranteed that KBR would be reimbursed for all operational expenses,

with an additional profit margin of 2 to 7 percent added as KBR's guaranteed fee. This structure incentivized reckless spending, as higher operational costs directly translated to greater corporate profits.

Bunnatine Greenhouse, the highest-ranking civilian contracting official at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, repeatedly refused to approve these sole-source, open-ended KBR contracts, noting that they violated federal procurement laws. Greenhouse was systematically targeted, demoted, and stripped of her authority by military leaders eager to facilitate KBR's operations.

Subsequent investigations by the Center for Public Integrity revealed that over \$20 billion in war contracts went to unidentified "foreign contractors" and offshore entities, with Turkey serving as a major hub for these unaccountable corporate networks.

Post-War Disarray and the Collapse of Planning

The execution of the post-invasion occupation was characterized by a catastrophic failure of planning and a systematic refusal to heed intelligence regarding the stabilization of the Iraqi state. The UK's Chilcot Inquiry revealed that the George W. Bush administration repeatedly overrode detailed advice from British allies concerning postwar administration, including the preservation of the Iraqi security apparatus, the control of oil revenues, and the involvement of the United Nations.

Following the capture of Baghdad, the CPA under L. Paul Bremer implemented two disastrous decrees: the complete de-Ba'athification of the Iraqi civil service and the total dissolution of the 350,000-strong Iraqi military. This move instantly disenfranchised the country's Sunni administrative elite and created a massive, armed, and unemployed population that rapidly formed the core of a lethal anti-coalition insurgency.

On the ground, this planning failure resulted in widespread chaos and security vacuums. Senior military commanders admitted that they received no central guidance on how to secure urban centers, leading to unchecked looting of government ministries and historical archives. One ground commander noted that the operational instructions were so sparse that the military concluded "the best way to stop looting was just to get to a point where there was nothing left to loot".

Furthermore, as the security environment deteriorated, the physical footprint of coalition forces was completely inadequate to maintain order. When military planners realized no WMDs would be recovered, attention and resources were rapidly diverted from Iraq to the expanding conflict in Afghanistan, leaving the remaining forces severely under-resourced.

In Basra, Lieutenant General Shirreff observed that a single British battalion commander was left with fewer than 200 active troops to provide security and municipal services for an entire metropolitan population of 1.3 million people. This vacuum allowed sectarian militias to seize control of local infrastructure, setting the stage for a protracted civil war.

The Exit Mechanism: Legal Impasse, Sovereignty, and the 2008 SOFA

The termination of the U.S. military occupation in 2011 was not the result of a planned, victorious transition to democratic stability. Instead, it was legally forced by the friction of Iraqi national sovereignty and the total collapse of bilateral negotiations regarding military immunity. From the beginning of the invasion in 2003 until the end of 2008, all foreign military forces and

private security contractors operated under the protective shield of CPA Order No. 17. This decree granted all coalition personnel absolute immunity from the jurisdiction of Iraqi civil, criminal, and administrative courts, meaning that any soldier or contractor who killed an Iraqi civilian could not be arrested, detained, or prosecuted under local law.

As the UN mandate authorizing the multinational force prepared to expire on December 31, 2008, the government of Prime Minister Nouri al-Maliki faced intense domestic pressure from a highly hostile electorate, the Sadrist political bloc, and Grand Ayatollah Ali al-Sistani to reclaim sovereign authority over the country.

The resulting *U.S.–Iraq Status of Forces Agreement* (SOFA), ratified by the Iraqi Parliament in November 2008, dismantled the structural foundations of the occupation:

- **Firm Phased Withdrawal Timelines:** The agreement mandated that all U.S. combat forces withdraw from Iraqi cities and towns by June 30, 2009, with a hard, legally binding deadline for the complete withdrawal of all U.S. forces from all Iraqi territory, airspace, and waters by December 31, 2011.
- **Abolition of Contractor Immunity:** Article 12 of the SOFA stripped private military and engineering contractors of the privileges granted under CPA Order No. 17, subjecting them directly to the jurisdiction of the Iraqi criminal and civil justice systems.
- **Operational Restrictions:** The agreement prohibited U.S. forces from conducting unilateral military raids or searching Iraqi homes without prior coordination and explicit written warrants from the Iraqi judiciary. It also mandated that any prisoner held by U.S. forces must be charged within 24 hours or released to Iraqi custody.
- **Right of Cargo Search:** Iraqi authorities gained the sovereign right to inspect incoming military shipments and weapons packages destined for U.S. installations.

During 2011, the Obama administration and senior Pentagon leaders, including Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman Admiral Michael Mullen, attempted to negotiate a post-2011 bilateral agreement that would permit a residual force of several thousand U.S. troops to remain in Iraq to conduct counter-terrorism and training missions. Mullen warned the Maliki government that the logistical withdrawal was a strict "physics problem," meaning that if a political decision was not made rapidly, the physical infrastructure of the military would be forced to dismantle and exit.

The negotiations collapsed over the issue of legal immunity. The United States maintained a non-negotiable demand that any residual U.S. forces remaining in Iraq must continue to receive complete immunity from the Iraqi criminal justice system.

For the Iraqi political leadership, granting such a concession was politically impossible. Years of high-profile civilian casualties, unprosecuted contractor abuses, and unilateral special operations raids had made the issue of foreign legal immunity a symbol of neo-imperial subordination.

Grand Ayatollah Ali al-Sistani and Parliamentary Deputy Speaker Khaled al-Attiyah warned that the legislature would immediately veto any treaty that compromised Iraqi judicial sovereignty over foreign soldiers.

Faced with this legal deadlock, the Obama administration had no choice but to comply with the hard deadlines established in the 2008 agreement. The final U.S. military units crossed the border into Kuwait on December 16, 2011, and the 2008 SOFA officially expired at midnight on December 31, 2011, bringing a sovereign end to the military occupation.

Forensic Synthesis: The Working Hypothesis

By assembling these historical and structural puzzle pieces, this investigation bypasses the

official narratives of WMD deterrence and humanitarian stabilization to establish a highly consistent forensic working hypothesis of the Iraq War:

The Iraq War was a highly coordinated geopolitical operation designed by an ideological network (codified in PNAC) that capitalized on the psychological and security vacuums of the September 11 attacks to implement pre-conceived blueprints for global U.S. military hegemony and Middle Eastern regional restructuring.

To bridge the gap between their ambitious strategic objectives and the reality of international law, these actors bypassed professional intelligence checks by establishing a specialized disinformation unit within the Pentagon (the Office of Special Plans). This unit manufactured a national security threat using fabricated exile testimony and unvetted human intelligence (most notably from "Curveball" and the Iraqi National Congress) to mislead both policymakers and the public.

This preemptive campaign was facilitated by a systematic rhetorical program. Pre-war officials deployed disease, animalistic, and Neo-Orientalist metaphors to group the target population into a singular, sub-human threat, lowering the moral barriers to conflict and justifying the destruction of Iraqi state infrastructure.

Beneath this moral framework lay critical macroeconomic realities: the defense of the global petrodollar recycling standard (jeopardized by Saddam's 2000 transition to euro-denominated oil sales) and the creation of highly profitable reconstruction markets for politically connected private corporations through sole-source, cost-plus contracting.

Ultimately, this geopolitical campaign was terminated not by a victorious transition, but by the legal friction of national sovereignty. Iraq's absolute refusal to extend legal immunity to foreign forces under the terms of the 2008 Status of Forces Agreement legally evicted the U.S. military, leaving behind a highly fractured and unstable state.

Works cited

1. Project for the New American Century - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_for_the_New_American_Century
2. The 1998 Origins of the Bush Iraq War Drive - CounterPunch.org, <https://www.counterpunch.org/2003/02/19/the-1998-origins-of-the-bush-iraq-war-drive/>
3. Neocons to Clinton: Launch War on Iraq (1998), <https://www.juancole.com/2007/06/neocons-to-clinton-launch-war-on-iraq.html>
4. Beware the Iran 'Pearl Harbor' Moment - Quincy Institute for Responsible Statecraft, <https://quincyinst.org/2024/02/03/beware-the-iran-pearl-harbor-moment-2/>
5. Letter to President Clinton on Situation in Iraq, February 12, 1998 | USCCB, <https://www.usccb.org/resources/letter-president-clinton-situation-iraq-february-12-1998>
6. 11/5/98: President Clinton's Letter to the House on Iraq - state.gov, https://1997-2001.state.gov/regions/nea/981105_whletter_iraq.html
7. 11/19/98 NCI Letter to President Clinton - NCI.org, <https://www.nci.org/l/1111998.htm>
8. Rebuilding America's Defenses - Resistir.info, https://resistir.info/livros/rebuilding_americas_defenses.pdf
9. The New Pearl Harbor - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_New_Pearl_Harbor
10. Rebuilding America's Defenses 2000 | PDF | National Security | Military Strategy - Scribd, <https://www.scribd.com/doc/9651/Rebuilding-Americas-Defenses-PNAC>
11. Senate Report on Iraqi WMD Intelligence - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Senate_Report_on_Iraqi_WMD_Intelligence
12. Office of Special Plans - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Office_of_Special_Plans
13. - BRIEFING ON THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S REPORT ON THE ACTIVITIES

OF THE OFFICE OF SPECIAL PLANS PRIOR TO THE WAR IN IRAQ - GovInfo, <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CHRG-110shrg35438/html/CHRG-110shrg35438.htm> 14. The Department of Defense, the Office of Special Plans and Iraq Pre-War Intelligence - The National Security Archive, https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/NSAEBB/NSAEBB456/docs/specialPlans_45b.pdf 15. Douglas Feith - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Douglas_Feith 16. Special Plans and Double Meanings: Controversies over Deception, Intelligence, and Policy Counterterrorism - The National Security Archive, <https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/NSAEBB/NSAEBB456/> 17. The Lie Factory - Mother Jones, <https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2004/01/lie-factory/> 18. Ahmed Chalabi - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ahmed_Chalabi 19. Twenty years ago in Iraq, ignoring the expert weapons inspectors proved to be a fatal mistake | SIPRI, <https://www.sipri.org/commentary/essay/2023/twenty-years-ago-iraq-ignoring-expert-weapons-inspectors-proved-be-fatal-mistake> 20. Memo on the Chalabi File - Center for American Progress, <https://www.americanprogress.org/article/memo-on-the-chalabi-file/> 21. Curveball (informant) - Wikipedia, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curveball_\(informant\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Curveball_(informant)) 22. Iraq Inquiry - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iraq_Inquiry 23. Chilcot report: key points from the Iraq inquiry - The Guardian, <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2016/jul/06/iraq-inquiry-key-points-from-the-chilcot-report> 24. (PDF) Dehumanizing to Demonizing: Critical Discourse Analysis of George Bush's Speeches for Exploring the Influence of Dehumanizing Metaphor in Political Discourse - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359691239_Dehumanizing_to_Demonizing_Critical_Discourse_Analysis_of_George_Bush's_Speeches_for_Exploring_the_Influence_of_Dehumanizing_Metaphor_in_Political_Discourse 25. The Real Terrorist was Me: An Analysis of Narratives Told by Iraq Veterans Against the War in an Effort to Rehumanize Iraqi Civilians and Soldiers, <https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/swb/vol8/iss3/1/> 26. The Real Terrorist was Me: An Analysis of Narratives Told by Iraq Veterans Against the War in an Effort to Rehuman, <https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1035&context=swb> 27. FWU Journal of Social Sciences, Spring 2021, Vol. 15, No.1, 154-168 Dehumanizing to Demonizing: Critical Discourse Analysis of G, <https://applications.emro.who.int/imemrf/541/FWU-J-Soc-Sci-2021-15-1-154-168-eng.pdf> 28. Framing the East: An Exploration into Tony Blair's and George Bush's Speeches and Orientalism - Digital Showcase @ University of Lynchburg, <https://digitalshowcase.lynchburg.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1343&context=utcp> 29. Transcript: Bush's press conference on Iraq - The Guardian, <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2003/mar/07/iraq.usa3> 30. (PDF) Discourses of Dehumanization: Enemy Construction and Canadian Media Complicity in the Framing of the War on Terror - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/40765491_Discourses_of_Dehumanization_Enemy_Construction_and_Canadian_Media_Complicity_in_the_Framing_of_the_War_on_Terror 31. Congressional Record, Volume 148 Issue 120 (Friday, September 20, 2002) - GovInfo, <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2002-09-20/html/CREC-2002-09-20-pt1-PgS8987.htm> 32. The Dehumanization of Immigrants and Refugees - Purdue e-Pubs, https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/context/jpur/article/1365/viewcontent/07_Warnock.pdf 33. Omar El Akkad & Mohammed El-Kurd: Liberalism in a Time of Genocide, <https://themarkaz.org/omar-el-akkad-mohammed-el-kurd-liberalism-in-a-time-of-genocide/> 34. Unpacking The "Petrodollar War Theory": News Article - Independent Institute, <https://www.independent.org/article/2026/02/27/petrodollar-war-theory/> 35. From Saddam to

Maduro: The Hidden Cost of Pricing Oil Beyond the Dollar | by Sai Dheeraj Gummadi | Medium, <https://medium.com/@gsaidheeraj/from-saddam-to-maduro-the-hidden-cost-of-pricing-oil-beyond-the-dollar-2b9e373cc944> 36. The Spoils of War - National Whistleblower Center, https://www.whistleblowers.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/the_spoils_of_war.pdf 37. Petrodollar History: From The 1974 Oil Deal To Today's Shift - Capital Street Fx, <https://www.capitalstreetfx.com/daily-blog/petrodollar-history-1974-deal-shift/> 38. Iran – a threat to the petrodollar? | News - Al Jazeera, <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2005/11/3/iran-a-threat-to-the-petrodollar> 39. U.S. invasion put Iraq's oil industry back into dollar denomination - CGTN, <https://news.cgtn.com/news/2022-08-13/U-S-invasion-put-Iraq-s-oil-industry-back-into-dollar-denomination-1cspUCMyFji/index.html> 40. Petrodollar Warfare: Dollars, Euros and the Upcoming Iranian Oil Bourse - Resilience.org, <https://www.resilience.org/stories/2005-08-08/petrodollar-warfare-dollars-euros-and-upcoming-iranian-oil-bourse/> 41. Not Oil, But Dollars vs. Euros - Global Policy Forum, <https://archive.globalpolicy.org/nations/sovereign/dollar/2003/03oil.htm> 42. US invasion leaves behind economically stagnant, politically fractured Iraq - China Daily, <https://www.chinadailyhk.com/hk/article/589359> 43. Baghdad bonanza for KBR - International Consortium of Investigative Journalists - ICIJ, <https://www.icij.org/investigations/windfalls-war/baghdad-bonanza/> 44. Cheney, Halliburton and the Spoils of War - CorpWatch, <https://www.corpwatch.org/article/cheney-halliburton-and-spoils-war> 45. Iraq war boosts Halliburton profits | News - Al Jazeera, <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2004/4/28/iraq-war-boosts-halliburton-profits> 46. Halliburton contracts balloon - International Consortium of Investigative Journalists - ICIJ, <https://www.icij.org/investigations/windfalls-war/halliburton-contracts-balloon/> 47. the chilcot report: lessons for the machinery of government, <https://consoc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/The-Chilcot-Report-Lessons-for-the-Machinery-of-Government-Sep-2016.pdf> 48. The Chilcot Report: Lessons to be learnt - University of Birmingham, <https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/research/perspective/chilcot-gaskarth> 49. U.S.–Iraq Status of Forces Agreement - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/U.S._%E2%80%93Iraq_Status_of_Forces_Agreement 50. Iraq: U.S. Military Withdrawal II | Council on Foreign Relations, <https://www.cfr.org/articles/iraq-us-military-withdrawal-ii> 51. U.S.-Iraq Withdrawal/Status of Forces Agreement: Issues for Congressional Oversight - EveryCRSReport.com, <https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/R40011.html> 52. The Status of Forces Agreement and Investing in Iraq: Risky Business?, <https://mei.edu/publication/status-forces-agreement-and-investing-iraq-risky-business/> 53. U.S.-Iraq Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) | Law | Research Starters - EBSCO, <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/law/us-iraq-status-forces-agreement-sofa> 54. Renewal in Iraq - the White House, <https://georgewbush-whitehouse.archives.gov/infocus/iraq/>