

INDICTMENT

Case File: 001-OCC

THE ORGANIC CRIMINAL COURT

vs.

THE ARCHITECTS OF THE 2003 INVASION OF IRAQ

(An Ideological, Political, and Financial Enterprise)

1.0 Introduction: Statement of Charges

In the name of the Earth and all its sovereign life, and by the authority vested in this body, the Organic Criminal Court asserts its supreme jurisdiction. This jurisdiction is grounded in the principles of Organic Law, the inherent, operational code of the universe which precedes and supersedes all human-made statutes, treaties, and legal fictions, herein referred to as "positive" law. This document presents the formal indictment against a networked enterprise of individuals and ideological bodies for committing grave Organic Crimes, specifically for conspiring to initiate and execute a premeditated war of aggression. The accused is the enterprise identified as **"The Architects of the 2003 Invasion of Iraq."** This enterprise operated as an interlocking directorate of ideological, political, and financial interests. Its principal members include:

- **The Project for the New American Century (PNAC)** , the ideological architect and primary author of the strategic blueprint for the invasion.
 - Key personnel who held senior positions within the George W. Bush administration, including:
 - **George W. Bush** , President of the United States
 - **Richard "Dick" Cheney** , Vice President of the United States
 - **Donald Rumsfeld** , Secretary of Defense
 - **Paul Wolfowitz** , Deputy Secretary of Defense
- This indictment details three primary counts against the enterprise and its members. The core charges are the **Crime of Aggression** for initiating an unjust war; the crime of **Ecocide** for the mass destruction of ecosystems and the poisoning of the biosphere; and the **Systemic Violation of Life Sovereignty** through the high-level authorization and implementation of a global torture program, which constitutes a Crime Against Humanity under the principles of Organic Law. The evidence presented herein is drawn exclusively from the public record—including declassified government documents, official post-war inquiries, and the defendants' own published works and statements. This evidence demonstrates a clear and premeditated conspiracy to violate the supreme law of life for ideological, political, and financial gain. Before

presenting the evidence for each count, this Court will first establish its jurisdictional framework.

2.0 Jurisdictional Framework: The Supremacy of Organic Law

Before presenting the evidentiary basis for the charges, the Court must first establish its legal foundation. This foundation is not derived from the mutable laws of states or international bodies, whose jurisdictions have proven subordinate to political power. Instead, this Court's authority derives from the immutable principles of Organic Law, codified within the **Universal Organic Rights Charter**. This Charter is the binding legal instrument that establishes the framework for all subsequent charges and serves as the standard against which the defendants' actions must be judged. The core principles of Organic Law, synthesized from ecological and biological reality, are as follows:

1. **Supremacy over Positive Law:** Organic Law is the higher law. It is the observable, undeniable law of nature, cause, and effect. It holds ultimate authority over the constructed laws of states, corporations, and other artificial entities. A human law that violates Organic Law is an unjust law, and therefore is not a law at all.
2. **The Sovereignty of All Life:** The single most destructive fiction in human jurisprudence is the concept of life as property. Under Organic Law, all living beings—from a single cell to a complex ecosystem—are recognized as sovereign legal persons with inherent, inalienable rights. This principle dismantles the legal basis for ecocide and systemic exploitation.
3. **Accountability for Artificial Entities:** All artificial legal fictions, including states and corporations, are subordinate to Organic Law. They exist by human permission and are therefore fully accountable to the supreme law of life. Claims of "sovereign immunity" or the protection of the "corporate veil" do not apply to the prosecution of Organic Crimes. These principles are formally codified in the Universal Organic Rights Charter, the foundational legal text for this Court. The Charter's core principle is formally articulated in its first article: *"Every living being, from a single cell to a complex ecosystem, possesses the inalienable right to exist, persist, maintain, and regenerate its vital cycles. This sovereignty of life is the foundation of all other rights."* It is under the supreme and binding authority of this Charter that the Organic Criminal Court proceeds with the prosecution of the defendants for their manifest violations of these foundational rights.

3.0 COUNT I: The Crime of Aggression (Unjust War)

The 2003 invasion of Iraq was not a defensive act, a last resort, or a response to an imminent threat. It was a premeditated crime of aggression, planned years in advance in direct violation of Organic Law, which strictly prohibits unjust war. This count will deconstruct the three core elements of this crime as executed by the defendants: the creation of an ideological blueprint for war, the opportunistic

exploitation of a national tragedy to serve as a catalyst, and the deliberate manipulation of intelligence to manufacture a public pretext.

The Ideological Blueprint

The intellectual and strategic blueprint for the war was drafted long before the attacks of September 11, 2001. The key architects were members of the neoconservative think tank, the **Project for a New American Century (PNAC)**, co-founded by William Kristol and Robert Kagan. In 1997, defendants **Richard Cheney**, **Donald Rumsfeld**, and **Paul Wolfowitz** became signatories to PNAC's founding "Statement of Principles," which advocated for a massive increase in military spending and a more interventionist foreign policy. This act established a unified ideological front from the organization's inception. In a 1998 letter, PNAC publicly lobbied the Clinton administration for the removal of Saddam Hussein. The definitive articulation of their strategy came in PNAC's September 2000 report, "Rebuilding America's Defenses." This document outlined a plan for American global military dominance but acknowledged a key political obstacle: the lack of public will for such a radical and costly transformation. The authors then made a chillingly prescient observation: "...the process of transformation... is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor."

The Catalyst and Immediate Exploitation

The attacks of September 11, 2001, tragically fulfilled PNAC's political prophecy. The defendants immediately seized upon this "catastrophic and catalyzing event" to implement their pre-existing agenda. Before the dust had settled at the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, and with no evidence of Iraqi involvement, the defendants pivoted toward war with Iraq. Notes taken by an aide to Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld on the afternoon of September 11th reveal his immediate orders: "Go massive. Sweep it all up. Things related and not... Judge whether good enough hit S.H. at same time. Not only UBL." This command confirms that the invasion of Iraq was not a response to 9/11 but an opportunistic goal integrated into the response.

The Architecture of Deception

With the decision to invade already made, the defendants required a public justification. They constructed one by systematically politicizing intelligence to create a false narrative linking Saddam Hussein to weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and al-Qaeda. The primary mechanism for this deception was the Pentagon's **Office of Special Plans (OSP)**, a parallel intelligence unit established under the oversight of Paul Wolfowitz and Douglas Feith. The OSP engaged in "stovepiping"—funneling raw, unvetted, and often fabricated intelligence from dubious sources directly to senior policymakers, bypassing the professional analysts at the CIA and DIA. This process was designed not to find the truth, but to build a case for a predetermined policy. Post-war investigations have unequivocally confirmed this deception. The Robb-Silberman Commission concluded that the Intelligence Community was "dead wrong," a finding echoed by chief inspector David Kay, who admitted the IC was

"almost all wrong." The United Kingdom's Chilcot Inquiry found that military action was "not a last resort" and that the threat from Saddam Hussein was not imminent. The evidence overwhelmingly confirms that the invasion of Iraq was a textbook Crime of Aggression. It was an ideological war of choice, not a war of necessity, in direct violation of the foundational principles of peace and self-defense under Organic Law. The ecological consequences of this crime were as foreseeable as they were catastrophic.

4.0 COUNT II: The Crime of Ecocide

Under Organic Law, which recognizes the sovereignty of all life and ecosystems, modern warfare is inherently ecocidal. The mass destruction of the biosphere is not "collateral damage" but a primary and foreseeable consequence of launching a war of aggression. This section details the specific acts of ecocide committed during and after the 2003 invasion of Iraq, for which the defendants bear direct command responsibility. These acts constitute a grave crime against the integrity of the Earth's vital systems and the health of future generations. The following specific acts of ecocide and biocide are charged against the defendants:

- **Toxic Munitions:** Coalition forces used hundreds of tons of depleted uranium (DU) munitions. Upon impact, these weapons pulverize into a fine, radioactive, and highly toxic dust that contaminates soil, air, and water. This contamination leads to long-term health crises, including spikes in cancer rates and catastrophic birth defects, particularly in urban centers like Fallujah.
- **Intergenerational Crimes:** Depleted uranium has a half-life of 4.5 billion years. The conscious decision to contaminate a nation's land with a persistent radioactive toxin is a crime against the health and sovereignty of not only the current population but countless future generations. It is a permanent poisoning of the biosphere.
- **Systemic Pollution:** The war unleashed widespread environmental devastation, including the uncontrolled burning of oil wells, which released massive quantities of soot and greenhouse gases. Furthermore, the establishment of vast, open-air "burn pits" to dispose of military waste—including plastics, electronics, and chemicals—created toxic plumes that have caused severe, long-term health problems for both military personnel and Iraqi civilians.
- **Infrastructure Destruction:** The invasion and subsequent occupation led to the destruction of critical environmental infrastructure, including water treatment plants, sanitation systems, and power grids. The collapse of these systems resulted in the widespread discharge of raw sewage into rivers, causing long-term ecosystem disruption and public health crises. These acts were not unavoidable accidents of war. They were the calculated and foreseeable consequences of a war of aggression planned and executed by the defendants. For these profound and lasting violations against the sovereignty of life and the integrity of the biosphere, the defendants are directly liable for the Organic Crime of Ecocide.

5.0 COUNT III: Systemic Violation of Life Sovereignty (Crimes Against Humanity & Sanctioning of Torture)

The defendants authorized and presided over a global system of abduction, secret detention, and torture that fundamentally violates the principle of Life Sovereignty as codified in the Universal Organic Rights Charter. This system of calculated human degradation was not the work of rogue agents but a top-down policy deliberately implemented to extract information. Crucially, this policy was driven by "the desperate need to extract intelligence, particularly to retroactively validate the policy decisions" that formed the basis of the Crime of Aggression. This section presents evidence of the high-level authorization of these crimes, establishing the defendants' direct command responsibility.

Authorization of Torture

The policy of torture was sanctioned at the highest levels of the U.S. government. Defendant **Donald Rumsfeld** played a direct and personal role in its authorization.

- In a memo dated **December 2, 2002**, Rumsfeld personally reviewed and approved a list of "enhanced interrogation techniques" for use on detainees. These techniques included stress positions, removal of clothing, isolation, and the use of phobias (such as dogs) to induce psychological terror.
- Rumsfeld's personal contempt for the well-being of detainees and the laws prohibiting torture is revealed in a handwritten note he scrawled in the margin of the memo next to the provision limiting standing stress positions. "*I stand for 8-10 hours a day. Why is standing limited to 4 hours?*"
- This executive authorization was given a pseudo-legal justification by the Justice Department's Office of Legal Counsel (OLC). The infamous "Torture Memos" created a parallel legal system by advancing an exceedingly narrow definition of torture, arguing that for an act to be illegal, it must inflict pain equivalent to "organ failure... or even death." This was a deliberate effort to legally rationalize abuse.

Systemic Abuse and Command Responsibility

The policies authorized by the defendants inevitably led to widespread and systemic abuse. A 2008 bipartisan report by the **Senate Armed Services Committee** investigated detainee treatment and reached an unambiguous conclusion: the abuse of detainees was not the result of a few soldiers acting on their own, but rather "stemmed from decisions made by senior U.S. government officials." The horrific abuses photographed at **Abu Ghraib** were not an anomaly but a direct and foreseeable outcome of the policies set in motion by the defendants. By authorizing these techniques and creating an environment of impunity, the defendants are personally liable under the doctrine of command responsibility for every act of torture committed by their subordinates. The authorization and implementation of a global torture program constitutes a profound violation of the Universal Organic Rights Charter. It is a fundamental assault on the sovereignty and sanctity of life. The defendants are therefore guilty of Crimes Against Humanity.

6.0 SUPPORTING COUNT: Conspiracy to Commit Organic Crimes Through Financial Profiteering

While profiteering from war is a crime under many systems of positive law, under Organic Law it serves a more critical function: it demonstrates the *mens rea* (the guilty mind) and the corrupt motive behind the primary crimes of aggression and ecocide. The evidence shows that the defendants' criminal enterprise was not merely ideological but was also designed to facilitate a massive transfer of public wealth to a politically connected corporate elite. This section provides evidence of the institutionalized conflicts of interest and financial self-enrichment that fueled the defendants' conspiracy. | **Conflicts of Interest and War Profiteering | Evidence of Financial Conflict and Profiteering** || ----- | ----- || **Dick Cheney** | As Vice

President, Cheney maintained significant financial ties to **Halliburton**, the oilfield services company where he served as CEO from 1995 to 2000, receiving substantial deferred compensation and stock options. During the Iraq War, Halliburton and its subsidiary KBR were awarded massive, often no-bid, contracts. By 2005, the value of Cheney's stock options had risen by an astonishing **3,281%**. || **The Bush Family** | The Bush family maintained deep ties to **The Carlyle Group**, a private equity firm specializing in defense. Former President George H.W. Bush served as a senior advisor. On the morning of **September 11, 2001**, Carlyle was holding its annual investor conference in Washington D.C., attended by George H.W. Bush. In the years following 9/11, companies controlled by Carlyle received billions of dollars in Pentagon contracts, directly profiting from the surge in military spending. || **The Department of Defense (DoD)** | The DoD's systemic and perpetual failure to pass a single financial audit created a state of "financial opacity." The inability to account for trillions of dollars in assets and transactions created the optimal conditions for widespread contractor fraud, shielding the profiteering of companies like Halliburton from meaningful scrutiny and accountability. |

The architecture of financial self-enrichment, shielded by institutional secrecy, was not merely a byproduct of the war but a core incentive for the Crime of Aggression itself. The defendants and their associates constructed a system that socialized the immense cost in blood and treasure while privatizing the financial gains. This proves that the war was not only an ideological project but also a massive criminal enterprise, establishing the corrupt motive that compounds their guilt for the primary Organic Crimes.

7.0 Verdict and Declaration

In accordance with the supreme authority of Organic Law and based on the overwhelming evidence presented in this indictment—drawn from the defendants' own words, documents, and the findings of their own government's inquiries—the Organic Criminal Court renders its formal verdict. It is the unequivocal judgment of this Court that the enterprise known as **The Architects of the 2003 Invasion of Iraq**, including its principal members George W. Bush, Richard Cheney, Donald Rumsfeld, Paul Wolfowitz, and the Project for the New American Century, are found **GUILTY** on all counts:

- **The Crime of Aggression**
- **The Crime of Ecocide**
- **Systemic Violation of Life Sovereignty**As per the principles of Organic Law, which recognizes patterns of systemic, high-level criminality, this Court declares these individuals and entities to be **Career Organic Criminals**. While the compromised legal systems of human governance have failed to provide accountability, this verdict shall be entered into the permanent record of Organic Law. It hereby strips the defendants of all moral, ethical, and legal legitimacy for their actions and shall serve as a foundational precedent for all future guardians of life's sovereignty in the ongoing struggle for planetary justice.