

Seshat’s Composites: The Optimized Binary Theoretical Framework for Programmable, High-Performance Organic Materials Using Diverse Hemp-Derived CARBON Allotropes

Marie Seshat Landry, Citizen Scientist and CEO*

Landry Industries, Organic Innovation and Sustainable Materials Division

May 30, 2025

Author Advisory: This manuscript was organized with AI assistance. It presents a purely conceptual framework representing the author’s latest and most refined theoretical understanding for a novel material system, grounded in established material science principles—notably drawing analogies from well-studied graphene-epoxy composite systems [Lodh Gadhave, 2024] and research on functional CARBON materials [Correa Kruse, 2018; Cesano et al., 2020a]—and cited literature, alongside proposed future experiments. All assertions regarding material properties and processing are, at this stage, entirely theoretical and await empirical validation. The term “CARBON” is emphasized to denote its central, diverse, and functional role derived from hemp.

Abstract

Conceptualized on May 21, 2025, by Citizen Scientist and CEO Marie Seshat Landry, Seshat’s Composites represent a paradigm-shifting theoretical platform for a novel binary composite system. This system is composed exclusively of (1) hemp oil as a curable binder and (2) a strategically “programmed,” dominant phase (targeting >50-70 wt%) of diverse hemp-derived CARBON allotropes (biochars, graphitic forms, nanosheets, fibers, activated CARBONs). This advanced binary framework is the culmination of iterative theoretical work, deliberately simplifying and enhancing prior, purely conceptual multi-component frameworks (e.g., “Diamond Composites,” Landry 2024) to achieve what is theorized as the most direct, effective, and promising path towards next-generation, carbon-dominant organic materials. Seshat’s Composites aim to leverage the extraordinary diversity and potential cost-effectiveness of hemp-derived CARBONs to enable fine-tuning of mechanical, thermal, and even advanced electronic properties, such as electronic memory devices [Chai et al., 2009; Sebastian et al., 2021]. This paper details this evolved theoretical framework, the foundational hypothesis emphasizing programmable properties through carbon-phase dominance, proposed materials preparation (initiating with “THE BLOB” concept for maximal CARBON saturation), purely theoretical performance projections, and the transformative implications

*Corresponding author: marielandryceo@gmail.com — marielandryceo.com

for scalable, functional, ultra-high-CARBON-content organic materials. Empirical validation of this optimized binary, carbon-dominant concept is the critical subsequent step towards what the author terms the Organic Revolution of 2030.

Keywords: Hemp Composites, Optimized Binary System, Carbon-Dominant Composites, Programmable Materials, Functional CARBON, CARBON Allotropes (Hemp-Derived: Biochar, Graphitic Carbons, Nanosheets, Fibers, Activated CARBONs), Ultra-High CARBON Loading, Hemp Oil, Electronic Memory Devices, Tunable Properties, Sustainable Materials, Citizen Science, Seshat’s Composites, THE BLOB, Theoretical Pre-Print.

1 Introduction: The Imperative for Carbon-Dominant Organic Materials

The pursuit of advanced materials necessitates systems that are not only sustainable and high-performing but also intelligently designed for specific functionalities and scalable manufacturing. Current advanced composites, while offering high performance, often rely on synthetic polymers and expensive, energy-intensive reinforcements (e.g., virgin carbon fibers, graphene at low wt%). This paper, authored by Citizen Scientist and CEO Marie Seshat Landry, introduces Seshat’s Composites, conceptualized on May 21, 2025. This framework presents a novel, optimized binary theoretical platform for creating next-generation organic materials where the CARBON phase is dominant. It proposes a system consisting solely of hemp oil as a minimal binder and a meticulously selected, ultra-high-loading (>50-70 wt%) mixture of diverse hemp-derived CARBON allotropes. This approach is considered a more direct, effective, and promising platform than earlier, purely conceptual multi-component systems previously considered by the author [Landry2024], and distinct from low-loading filler systems.

Hemp (*Cannabis sativa L.*) offers a transformative feedstock for an unparalleled diversity of CARBON structures—including biochars, graphitic carbons, potential nanosheets, fibrous carbons, and activated CARBONs [Das et al., 2022; Shah et al., 2022]. This rich portfolio forms the basis for “programming” material properties by strategically designing the dominant CARBON phase. Seshat’s Composites aim to leverage the cost-effectiveness of hemp-derived CARBONs for extreme reinforcement fractions, where the CARBON network itself dictates material properties, including potential for electronic memory functionalities [Pop et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020].

2 Conceptual Evolution: Towards an Optimized Binary, Carbon-Dominant Framework

The theoretical development leading to Seshat’s Composites involved an iterative refinement process, prioritizing maximized performance, sustainability, and practical processability through system simplification. Earlier conceptual explorations by the author, such as the purely theoretical “Diamond Composites” framework [Landry2024], considered multi-component systems potentially involving binders like lignin. However, further theoretical

analysis, informed by principles of composite mechanics, interfacial science, and the strategic imperative for achieving dominant CARBON loadings for transformative properties, led to a significant conceptual shift.

It was concluded by the author that a strategically simplified binary matrix—composed exclusively of hemp oil as a binder and a “programmed” phase of hemp-derived CARBON allotropes forming the bulk of the material—represents the most direct and theoretically effective platform. This carbon-dominant binary approach offers distinct theoretical advantages:

- **Maximized CARBON Loading and Performance:** Eliminating intermediate phases (like lignin) and focusing on hemp oil solely as a binder for a packed CARBON network theoretically allows for the ultra-high CARBON fractions (>50-70 wt%) necessary for the CARBON phase to dominate and dictate composite properties.
- **Simplified Interfacial Science:** The system reduces to the critical hemp oil-CARBON interface, simplifying control and optimization efforts towards achieving robust binding of the CARBON network.
- **Direct “Programming” via CARBON Phase Architecture:** The binary system allows the full focus of material design to be on the strategic selection, combination, and spatial arrangement of diverse hemp-derived CARBON forms to achieve targeted, fine-tuned properties dictated by the CARBON network itself.

Thus, Seshat’s Composites are presented as the optimized theoretical framework for creating carbon-dominant, high-performance organic materials.

3 The Seshat’s Composites Framework: An Optimized Binary CARBON-Dominant System

3.1 Core Components: Hemp Oil Binder and Programmable Hemp-Derived CARBON Network

The Seshat’s Composites system is defined by its two exclusive components:

1. **Hemp Oil Binder:** Cold-pressed hemp seed oil, or derivatives thereof, intended to act as a curable, minimal binder. Its role is primarily to adhere the CARBON particles/structures together after curing, forming a cohesive solid from the CARBON-saturated pre-mixture. Hemp seed oil is proposed as a polymerizable bio-resin, rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids (linoleic, alpha-linolenic). Its polymerization via autoxidation can be slow and variable.
2. **Programmable Hemp-Derived CARBON Network (Dominant Phase):** A strategically designed blend of various CARBON allotropes, all derived from hemp biomass. This includes, but is not limited to:

- **Hemp Biochars:** For bulk structure, thermal stability, and potentially as a base for further functionalization [Jahirul et al., 2022; Leng et al., 2021]. Hemp biochar, derived from pyrolyzed hemp biomass (300–600°C), is valued for its porosity, surface area, and as a composite filler.
- **Hemp-Derived Graphitic Carbons/Nanosheets:** For enhanced electrical and thermal conductivity, and mechanical reinforcement [Gahlot Kulshrestha, 2023; Ahmad et al., 2021]. Carbon nanosheets (CNS) are 2D nanostructures (few layers thick, 1-10 nm; micrometer lateral dimensions) derived from hemp bast fibers. Graphene-like hemp carbon is produced by high-temperature pyrolysis (800–1200°C+).
- **Hemp-Derived Fibrous Carbons:** For toughness, tensile strength, and creating interconnected networks [Sharma et al., 2021].
- **Hemp-Derived Activated CARBONS:** For porosity, high surface area applications (e.g., in sensors or specific electronic functions), or lightweighting [Rodriguez Correa Kruse, 2018; Mohan et al., 2006].
- **Hard Carbon from Hemp:** Non-graphitizable, disordered, microporous carbon, promising as anode material for sodium-ion batteries (SIBs).
- **Carbon Aerogels from Hemp:** Ultralight, highly porous (>90% porosity, 400-800 m²/g SSA) materials for oil sorbents, thermal insulation, and EMI shielding.
- **Experimental Hemp-Based Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs):** Cylindrical nanostructures with exceptional strength, electrical, and thermal conductivity. Production from hemp pyrolysis gas via CVD is an emerging area.
- **Magnetic Hemp Carbon Composites:** Incorporate magnetic nanoparticles (Fe, Ni, Co) for EMI shielding, catalysis, smart materials, and armor.

The “programming” involves selecting the types, morphologies, particle sizes, surface characteristics, and relative ratios of these CARBONS to achieve a desired macroscopic property profile in the final composite.

The generalized symbolic formulation for Seshat’s Composites is:

$$SC = H_o + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i H_{ci}$$

Where:

- SC = Final Seshat’s Composite
- H_o = Hemp Oil (binder)
- H_{ci} = Individual hemp carbon allotrope (biochar, amorphous, activated, graphitic, etc.)
- α_i = Proportionality constant representing weight % of each carbon allotrope
- n = Number of different carbon allotropes in the blend

This formulation is scalable and modular. Properties such as tensile strength, hardness, conductivity, and biodegradability can be tuned by adjusting α_i values and curing conditions.

3.2 Principle of Ultra-High CARBON Loading

A central tenet of Seshat’s Composites is the achievement of ultra-high CARBON loadings, theoretically exceeding 50 wt% and aiming for 70 wt% or higher. At such loadings, the CARBON phase is no longer a mere “filler” but forms a continuous or semi-continuous network that dictates the primary mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties of the composite. This is fundamentally different from traditional polymer composites where the polymer is the continuous matrix and low percentages of fillers are dispersed within it [Jones, 2012; Vasiliev Morozov, 2001].

4 Hypotheses for Seshat’s Composites

For the Seshat’s Composites platform, we hypothesize that:

- H1. Optimized Binary System for Ultra-High Loading:** The simplified binary system (hemp oil binder + dominant hemp-CARBON network) will accommodate extremely high loadings (theoretically >50-70 wt%) of diverse, cost-effective hemp-derived CARBON reinforcements, to the point where the hemp oil acts as a minimal binder for a carbon-saturated mass.
- H1.1: Hemp oil acts as an effective low-volume binder for densely packed hemp-derived carbons, minimizing void content in the cured composite.
 - H1.2: The viscosity and rheology of hemp oil / carbon mixtures will allow for processing at ultra-high carbon loadings without requiring excessive energy inputs.
 - H1.3: Different morphologies and sizes of hemp-derived carbon allotropes can be effectively combined to achieve maximal packing density.
- H2. Programmable Properties via CARBON Phase Architecture:** The strategic “programming” of this dominant CARBON phase—by meticulously selecting specific types, morphologies, and ratios of hemp-derived allotropes—within the cured hemp oil binder will enable predictable fine-tuning and synergistic enhancement of the composite’s properties, effectively allowing the CARBON network’s characteristics to define the material.
- H2.1: Varying the ratio and type of fibrous carbons (e.g., carbonized hemp fibers) will directly control the tensile strength and stiffness of structural composites.
 - H2.2: Incorporating graphitic carbons and nanosheets will predictably tune the electrical conductivity from insulating to semiconductive, enabling EMI shielding applications.
 - H2.3: Specific combinations of semiconducting biochars and graphitic carbons will create interfaces exhibiting resistive switching behavior suitable for electronic memory devices.
 - H2.4: The porosity of the composite can be controlled by the choice and processing of biochar and activated carbon, influencing thermal and acoustic insulation properties.

- H2.5: The hydrophobic nature of cured hemp oil will provide sufficient moisture resistance for marine applications when combined with appropriate carbon allotropes.

H3. Superior Overall Balance of Performance, Versatility, and Sustainability:

These ultra-high-CARBON-content, programmed hemp CARBON/hemp oil systems will exhibit a superior overall balance of high performance (e.g., mechanical strength akin to a “carbon beam,” high conductivity), functional versatility (e.g., tunable electronic properties for memory applications), cost-effectiveness, and sustainability compared to conventional composites and earlier theoretical multi-component concepts.

- H3.1: Seshat’s Composites will achieve specific strength and stiffness values competitive with or superior to some traditional carbon fiber composites while being significantly lighter than steel or Kevlar.
- H3.2: The life cycle of Seshat’s Composites, from cultivation to end-of-life, will result in a net carbon-negative footprint.
- H3.3: Seshat’s Composites will exhibit biodegradability of >90% over 2-5 years without releasing toxic residues.
- H3.4: The manufacturing process will be scalable and cost-effective, with a projected cost 10-50x lower than synthetic nanomaterials.
- H3.5: The materials will exhibit inherent fire resistance or can be effectively treated for fire resistance without introducing toxic chemicals.

5 Proposed Materials and Methods for Initial Empirical Validation

All projections are speculative and await empirical validation. They are based on the premise of achieving a well-bonded, ultra-high-loading, intelligently designed CARBON network. The following sections outline the conceptual materials and methods for initial theoretical validation.

5.1 Hemp Feedstock and CARBON Allotrope Production (Theoretical Considerations)

The starting point is diverse hemp biomass (stalks, hurd, fibers) [Crini et al., 2020; Johnson, 2022]. Theoretical production pathways for CARBON allotropes include:

5.1.1 Hemp Biomass Preparation

- **Source Material:** Primarily industrial-grade hemp stalks, with hurds (70-80% dry weight, rich in cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin) being cost-effective for biochar and activated carbons, and bast fibers (20-30% dry weight, high cellulose) valuable for nanosheets and carbon fibers. Seeds are the source for hemp oil.

- **Drying:** Biomass must be dried to <10-15% moisture content for efficient pyrolysis and processing.
- **Size Reduction:** Chopping/shredding to 0.5-5 cm (industrial scale) or grinding to <2mm (lab scale) for uniform pyrolysis and optimal contact with activating agents.

5.1.2 Carbon Allotrope Specific Production Protocols

Hemp Biochar Production (Lab Scale Protocol - Illustrative) **Objective:** Produce biochar from hemp hurds via slow pyrolysis in an inert atmosphere.

Materials: Dried hemp hurds (<10% moisture), Nitrogen/Argon gas (>99.99%).

Equipment: Tube furnace, quartz/alumina tube, crucibles, analytical balance, drying oven, desiccator, PPE (personal protective equipment). **Procedure:**

1. **Preparation:** Dry hemp hurds at 105°C overnight. Weigh initial hemp (e.g., 5-20g) into a clean crucible. Record weight.
2. **Furnace Setup/Purging:** Place the crucible with hemp in the tube furnace. Purge the system with N₂/Ar at a high flow rate (200-500 mL/min) for 30-60 minutes to ensure an oxygen-limited environment. Reduce gas flow to 100-200 mL/min for the pyrolysis cycle.
3. **Pyrolysis Cycle:** Heat the furnace to the target temperature (e.g., 500°C) at a controlled ramp rate (5-10°C/min). Hold at the target temperature for 1-2 hours.
4. **Cooling/Collection:** Allow the furnace to cool to <60°C under inert gas flow. Remove the crucible, weigh the biochar + crucible to calculate yield.
5. **Storage:** Store biochar airtight in a desiccator to prevent moisture absorption.
6. **Characterization (Recommended):** Proximate/ultimate analysis, pH, EC, BET Surface Area Analysis, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), X-ray Diffraction (XRD), Raman Spectroscopy.

Activated Hemp Carbon (AHC) Production (Lab Scale Protocol - Illustrative for High Surface Area) **Objective:** Produce high surface area activated carbon from hemp biochar via KOH activation.

Materials: Dry hemp biochar (<150 μ m), KOH pellets, dilute HCl (0.1-1 M), deionized (DI) water, N₂/Ar gas (>99.999%), AgNO₃ (for chloride test).

Equipment: Grinder, beakers, stirring apparatus, nickel crucibles, tube furnace, analytical balance, drying oven, filtration setup, fume hood, PPE. **Procedure (ALL KOH/HCl/activation steps in fume hood with extreme care):**

1. **Biochar Preparation:** Ensure biochar is ground to <100 μ m. Dry at 105°C overnight.
2. **Impregnation:** In a fume hood, weigh 5g of dry hemp biochar. Weigh KOH (e.g., 20g for a 4:1 KOH:char ratio; wear PPE). Mix char + KOH in a nickel crucible (dry mix or dry paste if wet-impregnated).

3. **Activation:** Place the crucible with impregnated material in a tube furnace. Purge with N₂/Ar (30-60 min at 200-300 mL/min), then lower flow (100-150 mL/min). Program furnace: Ramp to 800-850°C (5-10°C/min). Hold for 1-1.5 hours. Cool to <50°C under inert gas.
4. **Washing:** In a fume hood, transfer product to a beaker with 200-300 mL DI water. Slowly add 0.5-1 M HCl until pH 5-7 to neutralize. Stir 2-4 hours. Filter. Wash extensively with hot DI water until neutral pH and no chloride ions (AgNO₃ test).
5. **Drying:** Dry washed AHC at 105-120°C overnight to constant weight.
6. **Storage:** Store airtight. Weigh final AHC for yield.
7. **Characterization:** BET Surface Area Analysis (aiming for >1500 m²/g), SEM, XRD, Raman Spectroscopy.

Carbon Nanosheets (CNS) from Hemp Production (Lab Scale Protocol - Illustrative for HTC and Sonication) **Objective:** Produce 2D carbon nanostructures from hemp bast fibers.

Materials: Cleaned, chopped hemp bast fibers, DI water, N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) or water/SDS, ethanol.

Equipment: Teflon-lined autoclave, sonicator (probe), centrifuge, analytical balance, drying oven, filtration setup, fume hood. **Procedure:**

1. **HTC of Hemp Bast Fibers:** Prepare 2g cleaned, chopped fibers. Disperse in 40 mL DI water in a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave. Heat to 200°C for 8 hours. Cool. Filter, wash with DI water then ethanol. Dry at 70°C. This yields hydrochar.
2. **Liquid-Phase Exfoliation:** Disperse 50 mg hydrochar in 25 mL NMP (or water/SDS solution). Sonicate (probe; 100-200W, 20 kHz) for 2-4 hours, maintaining an ice bath to prevent overheating. Centrifuge (3000 rpm, 30 min) to pellet large particles. Decant supernatant (exfoliated CNS).
3. **Characterization/Use:** Characterize dispersion (UV-Vis spectroscopy, TEM, AFM). For composites, perform solvent exchange or freeze-drying, then re-dispersion in hemp oil.

Graphene-like Hemp Carbon Production (Lab Scale Protocol - Illustrative for Tension Pyrolysis) **Objective:** Produce graphitic hemp carbon fibers (HCFs) with aligned structures.

Materials: Purified hemp bast fiber tow, N₂/Ar gas, optional electrochemical cell solutions.

Equipment: Tube furnaces (multi-zone), tension rig for continuous fiber transport, winder.

Procedure:

1. **Alkaline Fiber Preparation:** Optimized alkaline treatment (e.g., 5-10% NaOH, 80-100°C, 1-2 hours) to remove lignin/hemicellulose while preserving cellulose integrity. Thorough rinsing and drying.

2. **Stabilization:** Mount purified hemp fiber tow on a rig for continuous transport under tension through a multi-zone tube furnace. Pass tow through a zone heated to 260°C (e.g., 1 hour hold) in slow air flow. Control tension and residence time.
3. **Carbonization:** Pass stabilized tow into connected tube furnaces (N₂/Ar flow, e.g., 100-200 mL/min). Set a Low-Temperature (LT) zone (ramp to 800°C) and a High-Temperature (HT) zone (ramp to 1200-1400°C, or >2000°C for graphitization). Maintain tension throughout.
4. **(Optional) Surface Treatment:** Run fiber through an electrochemical cell to functionalize the surface for improved interfacial bonding.
5. **Collection:** Collect the HCFs on a winder.

Hard Carbon from Hemp Production (Lab Scale Protocol - Illustrative for High Temperature Pyrolysis) Objective: Produce non-graphitizable hard carbon from hemp hurd for SIB anodes.

Materials: Dried hemp hurd powder (<250 μm), N₂/Ar gas.

Equipment: Tube furnace, alumina/graphite crucible, analytical balance. **Procedure:**

1. **Preparation:** Weigh 5-10g dried hemp hurd powder (<250 μm) into an alumina/graphite crucible.
2. **Furnace Setup/Purging:** Place sample in tube furnace. Purge with N₂/Ar (200 mL/min for 1 hour) to ensure inert atmosphere. Reduce flow to 100 mL/min for pyrolysis.
3. **Pyrolysis Cycle:** Ramp temperature to 1200°C (e.g., 3-5°C/min). Hold at 1200°C for 2 hours.
4. **Cooling/Collection:** Cool to <60°C (e.g., 5°C/min) under inert gas. Remove product, weigh for yield. Grind to fine powder.
5. **Characterization:** Assess microporosity, graphitic domains, and electrochemical performance (cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge/discharge).

5.2 Hemp Oil Matrix Preparation (Theoretical Considerations)

Cold-pressed hemp seed oil is the proposed binder. Its curing behavior (oxidative, thermal, potentially aided by natural, sustainable siccatives if absolutely necessary, though pure thermal/oxidative curing is preferred for strict binary adherence) needs to be understood to ensure it effectively binds the dense CARBON network without degrading the CARBONS.

5.2.1 Curing Challenges and Research Directions:

- **Curing Rate and Completeness [UNPROVEN BOTTLENECK]:** Achieving timely and complete through-cure, especially in thicker sections or at industrial production speeds, is a major challenge without accelerators.

- **Accelerators (Sicatives) [UNPROVEN NON-TOXIC CURING UNRESOLVED]:** Conventional metallic driers (cobalt, manganese) raise toxicity concerns. Development and validation of effective, benign, and economically viable bio-based catalysts or alternative curing strategies (e.g., UV, electron beam, if suitable for the system and scalable) are crucial research areas.
- **Final Polymer Properties [SPECULATIVE MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE LIKELY MODEST]:** While polymerized oils form films, their mechanical properties (e.g., strength, modulus, Tg) are generally modest compared to conventional high-performance synthetic thermosets. Applications must be chosen realistically. Long-term durability (resistance to hydrolysis, further oxidation) also needs thorough investigation.
- **Toxicity Profile:** While hemp oil itself is food-grade, any additives or unreacted monomers/byproducts from side reactions must be assessed for toxicity to maintain the overall low-toxicity claim.

5.3 Composite Fabrication: “THE BLOB” Experiment and General Methods

5.3.1 Fabrication of “THE BLOB”: A Test of Maximal CARBON Saturation (Lab Scale Protocol)

Objective: Prove the feasibility of ultra-high CARBON saturation in hemp oil and establish baseline processability.

Materials: Dry hemp biochar ($<75\ \mu\text{m}$), hemp seed oil, optionally metallic drier (e.g., cobalt naphthenate 0.01-0.2% metal on oil), mold release agent.

Equipment: Analytical balance, mixing container, spatula/stirrer, metal mold, hot press, PPE. **Procedure:**

1. **Initial Mixture:** Prepare a known quantity of hemp oil (e.g., 100g). Incrementally add a chosen hemp-derived CARBON (e.g., a basic biochar, initial ratio 1:1 by weight) to the hemp oil under high-shear mixing. For Batch One (Seshat’s Bones Biochar Edition), the target ratio is 3:1 biochar to hemp oil.
2. **Saturation Point:** Continue incremental addition of biochar until the mixture reaches a rheological limit—a thick, self-supporting paste or moldable putty where the oil appears saturated with CARBON. The CARBON content (wt%) at this point is a key metric.
3. **Molding:** Transfer this CARBON-saturated mass to a mold (e.g., flat panels, tiles, or shaped molds for MVP). For Seshat’s Bones, this involved hand-pressing.
4. **Curing:** Cure the mass (e.g., thermally, 120–150°C for 2–6 hours in a low-temp oven bake). For advanced composites, hot pressing (10–50 MPa, 80–180°C) is preferred for densification and efficient cure.

5. **Basic Assessment:** Observe surface hardening, bond integrity, color, texture. Further assessment includes physical integrity, density, hardness, and SEM of fracture surfaces.

5.3.2 General Molding Techniques and Parameters

- **Press Molding (Compression Molding):** Composite charge placed in heated mold, pressure applied (1-20 MPa), material compacted and cured. Suitable for medium-high viscosity, high carbon loadings. Advantages: Simple tooling, good for viscous materials, good surface finish. Considerations: Longer cycle times, not for complex shapes, flash trimming.
- **Hot Pressing:** Compression molding with integrated heated platens for precise temperature control. Primary method for Seshat's Composites due to thermal curing. Optimal for densification, wetting, efficient cure. Advantages: Excellent control of temperature/pressure profiles, consistent cure, low void content.
- **Autoclave Methods:** Laying up prepregs (fiber/fabric pre-impregnated resin) on mold, vacuum bagging, then curing under heat/pressure (3-7 bar) in an autoclave. Suitability: High-performance aerospace composites needing max fiber volume/min voids. Requires B-staged hemp oil prepreg. Advantages: High quality parts, excellent consolidation, complex shapes. Disadvantages: High capital cost, long cycle times, energy-intensive.
- **Curing Profiles and Parameters:** Optimizing curing transforms liquid composite into rigid solid via polymerization/cross-linking. Goal: Complete, uniform cure; max properties; min stress/defects; shortest cycle time.
- **Alternative Curing Methods:**
 - **UV Curing (potential with modified binders):** Requires hemp oil with UV-curable functionalities (acrylate/methacrylate groups) and photoinitiators. Process: Modified formulation exposed to high-intensity UV light. Advantages: Fast cure (seconds-minutes), room temp operation, solvent-free. Limitations: Limited penetration depth (difficult for thick/opaque parts due to carbon), shadowing. Suitable for thin films/coatings.
 - **Electron Beam (EB) Curing (potential future prospect):** High-energy electrons (150 keV-10 MeV) directly initiate polymerization without photoinitiators. Advantages: Very rapid cure (fractions of second), room temp operation, excellent penetration depth (millimeters-centimeters), solvent-free. Limitations: High capital cost (accelerators, shielding), safety (radiation), material compatibility (degradation risk). EB curing is specialized, long-term prospect for Seshat's Composites.

5.4 Characterization Methods

Rigorous characterization is essential for empirical validation.

- **Physical and Morphological:**

- **BET Surface Area Analysis:** Measures specific surface area (m^2/g) and pore size distribution (micropores $<2\text{nm}$, mesopores $2\text{-}50\text{nm}$, macropores $>50\text{nm}$) of carbon allotropes.
- **Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM):** Reveals surface morphology, porosity, cellular structure retention, particle shape, and fracture surfaces of composites. EDS provides elemental composition.
- **Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM):** High-resolution imaging of internal structure, individual nanosheets, layer count, transparency, and defects.
- **Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM):** Direct measurement of individual nanosheet thickness (e.g., $1\text{-}5\text{ nm}$), lateral dimensions, and roughness.
- **Density and Porosity:** Standard volumetric and gravimetric methods.

- **Structural and Chemical:**

- **X-ray Diffraction (XRD):** Assesses crystallinity and mineral phases of carbons. Broad peaks indicate amorphous/turbostratic carbon; sharper peaks indicate graphitic crystallites.
- **Raman Spectroscopy:** Sensitive to carbon structures. D band (1350 cm^{-1}) indicates disorder/defects; G band ($1580\text{-}1600\text{ cm}^{-1}$) indicates graphitic domains. I_D/I_G ratio reflects disorder. 2D band for layer number/crystallinity of nanosheets.
- **Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR):** Identifies chemical bonds/functional groups, especially relevant for hemp oil polymerization and surface functionalization.
- **Elemental Analysis:** C, H, N, O, S content for overall composition and carbonization degree.

- **Thermal Properties:**

- **Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA):** Measures mass change vs. temperature/time, indicating thermal stability and decomposition behavior.
- **Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC):** Thermal analysis measuring heat flow difference; studies glass transition temperature (T_g), melting, and curing behavior of hemp oil.
- **Thermal Conductivity:** Using methods like the Transient Hot Wire method or Laser Flash Analysis.

- **Mechanical Properties (Standardized Testing):**

- **Tensile Properties:** ASTM D638, ASTM D3039.
- **Flexural Properties:** ASTM D790 (three or four-point bending tests for flexural strength, modulus, strain).
- **Compressive Properties:** ASTM D695, ASTM D3410.

- **Impact Resistance:** ASTM D256 (Izod/Charpy), ASTM D7136 (Drop Weight) for toughness.
- **Hardness Testing:** Shore, Rockwell methods.
- **Specialized Properties:**
 - **Electrical Conductivity:** Four-probe method for bulk conductivity; Hall effect measurements for charge carrier properties.
 - **Electrochemical Performance:** Cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge/discharge for battery anode applications (e.g., for hard carbon).
 - **Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) Shielding:** Using vector network analyzers to measure shielding effectiveness (reflection, absorption).
 - **Ballistic Testing:** NIJ 0101.06 (Body Armor) and NIJ 0108.01 (Protective Materials) for personal and vehicular armor; NATO STANAG 2920 (Personal Armour) and STANAG 4569 (Vehicle Protection) for military equipment interoperability. These tests involve shooting panels with specified ammunition/projectiles and evaluating penetration and backface deformation (BFD).
 - **Chemical Resistance Testing:** ASTM D543 (exposure to solvents, acids, bases, fuels, salt water; evaluate changes in weight, dimensions, appearance, mechanical properties).
 - **Fire Resistance and Flammability:** UL94, ASTM E84, Cone Calorimetry. **[UNPROVEN Hemp composites are combustible unless fire-retardant treated.]**

6 Purely Theoretical Performance Projections for Advanced Seshat's Composites

All projections are speculative and await empirical validation. They are based on the premise of achieving a well-bonded, ultra-high-loading, intelligently designed CARBON network.

6.1 Mechanical Properties (Leveraging CARBON Dominance)

With >70 wt% CARBON, forming a continuous network, mechanical properties are theorized to be dominated by the CARBON phase. This could lead to:

- **High Strength and Stiffness [SPECULATIVE CARBON BEAM LIKE]:** Potentially approaching properties of some conventional carbon fiber composites, especially if hemp-derived fibrous or sheet-like carbons are effectively incorporated and aligned [Lee et al., 2008].
- **Excellent Strength-to-Weight Ratios:** Due to the low density of CARBON and minimal (denser) oil. Projected to be up to 10x lighter than steel, 50% lighter than Kevlar.
- **Toughness and Impact Resistance:** Exceptional fracture toughness is projected.

6.2 Thermal and Electrical Properties (Exploiting CARBON Networks)

- **Tunable Electrical Conductivity:** From anti-static to highly conductive, based on the percolation and intrinsic conductivity of the chosen CARBON allotropes (e.g., graphitic carbons) [Geim Novoselov, 2007; Kumar et al., 2023]. This enables embedded electronics and stealth applications.
- **Enhanced Thermal Conductivity:** For applications requiring heat dissipation, or potentially tailored for insulation with porous CARBON structures [Balandin et al., 2008].
- **Thermal Resistance:** Expected to be fireproof, moisture-resistant, and corrosion-proof.

6.3 Potential for Functional Devices (e.g., Electronic Memory)

The strategic combination of semiconducting and conducting hemp-CARBONS within the hemp oil binder could theoretically lead to interfaces exhibiting resistive switching behavior, a known mechanism for non-volatile memory devices [Waser Aono, 2007; Zhao et al., 2024]. The “programmability” of the CARBON phase is key to tuning these electronic responses. Potential applications include bioreactivity and integrated biosensors.

7 Discussion: The Paradigm of Programmable, CARBON-Dominant, Sustainable Composites

7.1 Advantages of the Optimized Binary, High-CARBON Approach

The Seshat’s Composites framework offers several theoretical advantages:

- **Performance through CARBON Dominance:** Properties are driven by the CARBON network, not just augmented by fillers.
- **Sustainability and Cost-Effectiveness:** Utilizes abundant, renewable hemp and potentially low-cost CARBON production methods [Barbhuiya Das, 2022; Amaducci et al., 2022]. Projected cost 10-50x lower than synthetic nanomaterials.
- **Tunability:** “Programming” the CARBON phase allows for a wide range of tailored functionalities from a common material platform.
- **Simplicity:** The binary system simplifies processing and interfacial chemistry challenges compared to multi-component systems.

7.2 Theoretical Superiority and Potential Disruptions

Compared to traditional composites with low filler loadings (e.g., 1-5 wt% graphene in epoxy [Lodh Gadhave, 2024; Atif et al., 2019]), Seshat’s Composites, with >50-70 wt% CARBON, represent a fundamentally different material class. The properties are not merely enhanced by the additive but are defined by it. This could disrupt applications requiring high structural performance, integrated electronic functions, and sustainable material solutions simultaneously. The exclusion of petroleum-based polymers and complex binders like lignin further enhances its unique positioning.

7.3 Current Status (Purely Theoretical) and The Path to Validation

The Seshat’s Composites framework is, as of May 21, 2025, a highly developed theoretical construct by Marie Seshat Landry. It is based on established material science principles but requires comprehensive empirical validation. The immediate next step is the fabrication and rigorous assessment of “THE BLOB” concept to prove the feasibility of ultra-high CARBON saturation in hemp oil. Success here will pave the way for exploring the “programmable” aspects of the CARBON phase.

8 Sustainability and Global Impact: Towards an Organic Future

Sustainability is not merely a feature but the foundational principle of Seshat’s Composites. Marie Seshat Landry’s work is driven by a holistic vision that integrates cutting-edge technologies with organic, eco-friendly solutions to foster a sustainable and equitable future. This commitment is evident in every aspect of Seshat’s Composites, from material sourcing to potential end-of-life considerations.

8.1 Agronomy and Ecological Considerations of Industrial Hemp

Industrial hemp (THC < 0.3 %) is an annual bast fiber crop with several notable attributes:

- **Growth and Yield:** Rapid growth (2-4m in 90-120 days), yielding substantial biomass. **[CHALLENGE VARIABILITY IS A KEY CHALLENGE]**
- **Input Requirements:** Moderate water/nutrient needs, can outcompete some weeds.
- **Soil Health:** Taproot improves soil structure, reduces erosion. Phytoremediation capabilities. **[CHALLENGE CONTAMINANT UPTAKE IS A CONCERN]** if used for materials from contaminated soil.
- **Carbon Sequestration:** Effectively sequesters atmospheric CO₂ (estimated 9-15 tonnes CO₂/ha/cycle). **[CHALLENGE LIFECYCLE ANALYSIS IS CRITICAL]** for net carbon benefit.

- **Whole Plant Utilization Potential:** Bast fibers for carbon, hurd for lignin, seeds for oil, leaves/flowers for secondary products. **[CHALLENGE ECONOMIC VIABILITY UNCERTAIN]** for full valorization.

Author Advisory: ALL sustainability claims (carbon negativity, biodegradability, non-toxicity, circularity) are **[THEORETICAL THEORETICAL GOALS]**, not established facts for this system. They are contingent on numerous optimistic assumptions that require rigorous validation through comprehensive LCA and experimental testing.

8.2 Lifecycle Assessment (LCA) Profile: Assumptions and Uncertainties

A theoretical LCA profile suggests potential benefits, but these are contingent on many assumptions.

- **Carbon Footprint:** Achieving “strongly carbon negative” **[UNPROVEN HIGHLY OPTIMISTIC TARGET]** depends on ideal farming, highly efficient processing (largely unproven for these specific pathways at scale), long product life, and ideal end-of-life. Manufactured with net-negative carbon emissions projected.
- **Biodegradability and Ecotoxicity:** “Benign degradation” **[UNPROVEN MUST BE PROVEN]** needs empirical verification (ASTM/ISO standards). Ecotoxicity of all degradation products is unknown. Projected >90% biodegradation over 2-5 years with no toxic residue.
- **Renewability and Resource Efficiency:** Relies on efficient whole-plant valorization, which is not yet standard practice for hemp at this integrated level.
- **Toxicity (Production, Use, Disposal):** “Negligible to Zero” **[SPECULATIVE ASPIRATIONAL GOAL]** requires proof that no harmful residuals, byproducts, or combustion products exist. Projected to be 100% non-toxic.
- **Circularity:** “Full circularity” **[UNPROVEN VERY DIFFICULT TO ACHIEVE]** is contingent on the technical and economic viability of multiple complex end-of-life pathways, plus infrastructure.

8.3 Ethical Considerations and Organic Certification

Seshat’s Composites aims for an ethical, sustainable material future via the Universal Declaration of Organic Rights (UDOR), circular economy, OSINT blockchain traceability, and organic certification. Principles upheld:

- Non-Toxic Materials/Environments.
- Ecological Regeneration/Carbon Sequestration.
- Material Circularity/Waste Valorization.

- Local Community Benefit.
- Open Access to Knowledge.
- Biodiversity Support.
- Material Honesty/Transparency.

8.4 Market Outlook

Global demand for advanced, sustainable composites is rapidly growing:

- **Hemp-Based Composites:** USD 8.01B (2024), projected USD 68.22B by 2030 (CAGR 35.8%)
- **Carbon Nanomaterials:** USD 5.83B (2024), projected USD 66.15B by 2034 (CAGR 27.5%)
- **Aerospace & Defense Composites:** USD 29.4B (2024), growing to USD 83.5B by 2033
- **Advanced Composites Overall:** USD 45.52B (2024), reaching USD 99.51B by 2032 (CAGR 10.36%)

These sectors are strongly aligned with NATO modernization and green transition priorities.

9 Challenges and Future Directions: A Long and Iterative Journey

The theoretical framework and foundational concepts of Seshat's Composites are robust, but the path forward involves addressing several critical challenges and defining clear future directions to achieve their full potential.

Author Advisory: This roadmap outlines a necessary but highly challenging and resource-intensive R&D program. Success at any stage is not guaranteed, and timelines are estimates at best.

9.1 Known Unknowns and Foreseeable Major Hurdles

This section highlights only some of the foreseeable major hurdles. Unforeseen scientific, technical, and economic challenges are highly probable. This theoretical concept is at a very early stage (TRL 1-2 at best).

- **Empirical Validation:** Moving from theoretical models to tangible, consistently performing materials requires extensive experimental work, testing, and refinement. **[CHALLENGE VALIDATION IS PARAMOUNT AND ABSENT.]**
- **Cost Competitiveness:** A primary make-or-break factor. **[CHALLENGE A PRIMARY MAKE-OR-BREAK FACTOR.]**

- **Scalability of ALL Components:** Including sourcing, processing, and manufacturing. **[CHALLENGE MAJOR LOGISTICAL AND ENGINEERING HURDLE.]**
- **Regulatory Acceptance and Standardization:** Especially for highly regulated sectors. **[CHALLENGE LONG, COSTLY, UNCERTAIN PROCESS.]**
- **Market Perception and Education:** Essential for adoption.
- **Interfacial Adhesion Optimization:** Paramount for composite performance. **[CHALLENGE FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCE CHALLENGE.]**
- **Consistency of Natural Raw Materials:** Inherent variability of hemp.
- **Scalable and Sustainable Lignin Extraction:** A global research challenge (though lignin is now excluded from the primary binder, it remains a co-product).
- **Controlled Polymerization of Hemp Oil:** Needs benign, efficient solutions.
- **Long-Term Durability and Weathering Resistance:** Unknown.
- **Fire Retardancy:** Crucial for many applications, difficult for bio-based materials. **[CHALLENGE MAJOR SAFETY AND REGULATORY HURDLE.]**

9.2 Roadmap to Scale (Phase 1 Development)

The immediate future involves a Phase 1 plan (0–12 months) to optimize carbonization parameters for hemp fibers, produce high-tensile-strength carbon composites, develop bio-based epoxy resin blends, and establish scalable manufacturing protocols.

- **Prototype Fabrication:** Scheduled to begin Q3 2025. No physical objects or samples are available to date, though full-scale pilot fabrication is planned pending collaboration or funding.
- **Ballistic and Environmental Testing:** Execute ballistic and environmental testing to validate performance against standards like NIJ 0101.07 and NATO STANAGs. This will also involve validating thermal stability and electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding.
- **Pilot Field Deployments:** Following successful testing, the focus will shift to pilot field deployments and qualification for defense contracts.
- **Dual-Use Commercialization:** Continued exploration of dual-use civilian applications and commercialization pathways, including licensing technology to manufacturers and pursuing strategic partnerships.
- **Advanced Research:** Ongoing research will delve into more complex aspects, such as the theoretical integration and practical formulation of HDCNS into various matrices, optimization of nanosheet synthesis, and interfacial engineering.

- **Open Innovation Ecosystem:** Continue to foster a collaborative global research environment through open-source initiatives, publications, and open-source material databases and software.
- **Integration with AI:** Leveraging AI tools like Autonomous Scientific GPTs to accelerate scientific experiments and analysis.

Future research must be guided by rigorous techno-economic analysis and a willingness to abandon unviable paths.

10 Conclusion: A Promising Theoretical Path Requiring Rigorous Investigation

Author Advisory: This conclusion reiterates that Seshat's Composites are a **[THEORETICAL -2 THEORETICAL CONCEPT ONLY]**. All claims of potential and promise are speculative and contingent upon overcoming numerous, significant, and fundamental scientific and engineering challenges. This document is an invitation for critical inquiry, not a statement of proven technology.

Seshat's Composites, as conceptualized in this document, offer a compelling theoretical pathway towards a new class of materials with the *potential* for an exceptionally high degree of sustainability. The vision of leveraging industrial hemp for all primary components—carbon and oil—to create materials that are regenerative, designed for non-toxicity, and fully circular is ambitious and aligns with pressing global needs. **[CHALLENGE VISION VS. REALITY GAP IS LARGE.]**

However, this white paper underscores that the journey from this theoretical framework to practical, impactful materials is laden with significant scientific, engineering, and economic challenges. The hypothesized synergies, performance characteristics, and sustainability benefits all require extensive empirical validation. Key hurdles include achieving robust interfacial adhesion, ensuring consistent material properties from inherently variable natural feedstocks, developing efficient and benign processing methods for all components and the final composite, and demonstrating long-term durability and safe end-of-life management. **[CHALLENGE VALIDATION IS PARAMOUNT AND ABSENT.]**

The outlined roadmap provides a structured approach for tackling these challenges, emphasizing fundamental research, iterative development, and multi-faceted testing. Success is not guaranteed **[UNPROVEN SUCCESS IS HIGHLY UNCERTAIN]**, but the pursuit of such advanced bio-based material systems is crucial for fostering innovation in sustainable technology.

Landry Industries presents this grounded exposition to stimulate critical discussion, further research, and collaborative efforts. It is by acknowledging the difficulties alongside the vision that the scientific and industrial communities can work pragmatically towards realizing the true potential of materials like those theorized for Seshat's Composites, contributing to a more sustainable and circular material future. **[THEORETICAL THIS IS A CALL FOR RESEARCH, NOT A PRODUCT ANNOUNCEMENT.]**

References

- [Al-Salem et al., 2023] Al-Salem, S. M., et al. (2023). A comprehensive review of the pyrolysis process: from carbon nanomaterial synthesis to waste treatment. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 169, 105809. doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2022.105809
- [Ahmad et al., 2021] Ahmad, Z., et al. (2021). A Review on Properties and Environmental Applications of Graphene and Its Derivative-Based Composites. *Polymers*, 13 (8), 1261. doi:10.3390/polym13081261
- [Amaducci et al., 2022] Amaducci, S., et al. (2022). Industrial Hemp – A review of economic potential, carbon sequestration, and bioremediation. Portland State University Maseeh College of Engineering and Computer Science Technical Reports.
- [Atif et al., 2019] Atif, R., et al. (2019). Mechanical, Thermal, and Electrical Properties of Graphene-Epoxy Nanocomposites—A Review. *Polymers*, 11 (2), 280. doi:10.3390/polym11020280
- [Balandin et al., 2008] Balandin, A. A., et al. (2008). Superior Thermal Conductivity of Single-Layer Graphene. *Nano Letters*, 8 (3), 902-907. doi:10.1021/nl0731872
- [Barbhuiya Das, 2022] Barbhuiya, S., Bhusan Das, B. (2022). A comprehensive review on the use of hemp in concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 341, 127857. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.127857
- [Cesano et al., 2020a] Cesano, F., et al. (2020a). 10 years of frontiers in carbon-based materials: carbon, the “newest and oldest” material. The story so far. *Frontiers in Materials*, 7, 624931. doi:10.3389/fmats.2020.624931
- [Chai et al., 2009] Chai, Y., et al. (2009). Resistive Switching of Carbon-Based RRAM with CNT Electrodes for Ultra-Dense Memory. 2009 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM), 1-4. doi:10.1109/IEDM.2009.5424343
- [Crini et al., 2020] Crini, G., Lichtfouse, E., Wilson, L.D., Morin-Crini, N. (2020). Conventional and non-conventional adsorbents for wastewater treatment. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 18, 1923–1955.
- [Das et al., 2022] Das, P., et al. (2022). The Emerging Hemp Industry: A Review of Industrial Hemp Materials and Product Manufacturing. *Materials*, 15 (17), 5974. doi:10.3390/ma15175974
- [Gahlot Kulshrestha, 2023] Gahlot, S., Kulshrestha, V. (2023). Plastic waste to functional carbon nanomaterials/graphene : a review. *Essential Chemistry*, 1 (1), 1-13. doi:10.1080/27684403.2023.2182470
- [Geim Novoselov, 2007] Geim, A. K., Novoselov, K. S. (2007). The rise of graphene. *Nature Materials*, 6 (3), 183-191. doi:10.1038/nmat1849

- [Johnson, 2022] Johnson, R. (2022). Hemp as an Agricultural Commodity. Congressional Research Service.
- [Jones, 2012] Jones, R. M. (2012). Mechanics Of Composite Materials (2nd ed.). Taylor Francis.
- [Jahirul et al., 2022] Jahirul, M.I., et al. (2022). Pyrolysis technologies for biochar production in waste management: a review. *Clean Energy*, 6 (4), 507-530. doi:10.1093/ce/zkac039
- [Landry2024] Landry, M. S. (Citizen Scientist and CEO). (2024). Diamond Composites: A Theoretical Framework for Hemp-Based Bionanocomposites (An Earlier Conceptual Exploration). Zenodo. doi:10.5281/zenodo.15380279
- [Lee et al., 2008] Lee, C., Wei, X., Kysar, J. W., Hone, J. (2008). Measurement of the Elastic Properties and Intrinsic Strength of Monolayer Graphene. *Science*, 321 (5887), 385-388. doi:10.1126/science.1157996
- [Leng et al., 2021] Leng, L., et al. (2021). Co-pyrolysis of biomass and plastic waste into carbon materials with environmental applications: a critical review. *Green Chemistry*, 23 (18), 6700-6725. doi:10.1039/D1GC02061A
- [Lodh Gadhave, 2024] Lodh, F. P., Gadhave, R. V. (2024). Reinforcing Effect of Graphene in Epoxy Adhesives: Review. *Open Journal of Composite Materials*, 14 (1), 60-70. doi:10.4236/ojcm.2024.141005
- [Mohan et al., 2006] Mohan, D., Pittman, C. U., Steele, P. H. (2006). Pyrolysis of Wood/Biomass for Bio-oil: A Critical Review. *Energy Fuels*, 20 (3), 848-889. doi:10.1021/ef0502397
- [Pop et al., 2018] Pop, E., et al. (2018). Carbon nanomaterials for non-volatile memories. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 3, 18027. doi:10.1038/natrevmats.2018.27
- [Rodriguez Correa Kruse, 2018] Rodriguez Correa, C., Kruse, A. (2018). Biobased Functional Carbon Materials: Production, Characterization, and Applications—A Review. *Materials*, 11 (9), 1568. doi:10.3390/ma11091568
- [Sebastian et al., 2021] Sebastian, A., et al. (2021). Resistive Switching Random-Access Memory (RRAM): Applications and Requirements for Memory and Computing. *Chemical Reviews*, 121 (4), 2628-2695. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00836
- [Shah et al., 2022] Shah, F. A., et al. (2022). Hemp as A Sustainable Carbon Negative Plant: A Review of Its Properties, Applications, Challenges and Future Directions. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 16 (2), 1-12.
- [Sharma et al., 2021] Sharma, S., et al. (2021). Multi-Functional Carbon Fibre Composites using Carbon Nanotubes as an Alternative to Polymer Sizing. *Composites Science and Technology*, 201, 108516. doi:10.1016/j.compscitech.2020.108516

- [Vasiliev Morozov, 2001] Vasiliev, V. V., Morozov, E. V. (2001). Mechanics and Analysis of Composite Materials. Elsevier Science.
- [Wang et al., 2020] Wang, T., et al. (2020). Carbon-based memristors for resistive random access memory and neuromorphic applications. *Photonics Research*, 8 (1), 87-100. doi:10.1364/PRJ.8.000087
- [Waser Aono, 2007] Waser, R., Aono, M. (2007). Nanoionics-based resistive switching memories. *Nature Materials*, 6 (11), 833-840. doi:10.1038/nmat1967
- [Zhao et al., 2024] Zhao, L., et al. (2024). Emerging materials for resistive switching memories: Prospects for enhanced sustainability and performance for targeted applications. *APL Materials*, 12 (3), 030901. doi:10.1063/5.0182585