

Seshat's WTF 1.0 Method: A Unified Critical Thinking Algorithm

Marie Seshat Landry – February 2025

Abstract

Seshat's WTF 1.0 Method is a structured approach to **critical thinking, problem-solving, and intelligence analysis**. It integrates **logical reasoning, structured inquiry, and falsification-based analysis** to create a **systematic thinking framework**. Designed for use in **OSINT (Open-Source Intelligence), NLP-based automated reasoning, and decision-making**, this method applies to human analysts and AI models alike.

1. Introduction

Modern challenges in **misinformation detection, intelligence, and strategic decision-making** require a structured framework that ensures:

- **Logical and Coherent Reasoning** – Prevents flawed logic and ensures clarity.
- **Falsification-Based Validation** – Encourages refining conclusions through evidence testing.
- **Structured and Repeatable Process** – Ensures consistency across different cases.
- **Applicability Across Multiple Fields** – Useful for intelligence analysis, business strategy, and machine learning.

Seshat's WTF 1.0 serves as the **foundation** for **future iterations** (WTF 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0), incorporating deeper methodologies.

2. Core Structure of WTF 1.0

This method follows a **four-phase process**, ensuring **logical consistency and iterative refinement**.

Step 1: Trigger - The "WTF?" Moment

Objective: Recognize when an event, statement, or data point appears **suspicious, illogical, or requires further analysis**.

- This step **initiates the structured inquiry** process.
 - The analyst or system **identifies inconsistencies, biases, or knowledge gaps** in available information.
-

Step 2: The 5W+1H Framework

Objective: Establish a **comprehensive understanding** by addressing six key investigative questions:

- **Who?** – Identifies individuals or entities involved.
- **What?** – Defines the nature of the issue.
- **Where?** – Determines geographical or contextual significance.
- **When?** – Establishes the timeline and sequence of events.
- **Why?** – Examines underlying causes and motivations.
- **How?** – Investigates mechanisms and relationships.

This framework **structures the investigation** and ensures that **no critical factor is overlooked**.

Step 3: Critical Thinking Methods

Objective: Apply structured reasoning models to **validate, challenge, and refine findings**.

Socratic Questioning

A method to systematically **challenge assumptions** by asking:

- What assumptions are embedded in this claim?
- What evidence supports or contradicts this claim?
- What are the possible consequences if this assumption is false?
- How can this reasoning be tested in similar cases?

Bloom's Taxonomy (Cognitive Progression)

This method follows a **hierarchical cognitive process**:

1. **Remember** – Identify key facts and data points.

2. **Understand** – Interpret information within context.
3. **Apply** – Use knowledge in decision-making.
4. **Analyze** – Identify patterns and inconsistencies.
5. **Evaluate** – Assess credibility and logical coherence.
6. **Create** – Formulate new hypotheses and solutions.

Paul-Elder Model

A logical assessment framework that evaluates:

- **Elements of Thought** – Purpose, Question, Information, Inference, Assumptions, Implications, and Perspective.
- **Intellectual Standards** – Clarity, Accuracy, Precision, Relevance, Depth, Breadth, Logic, and Fairness.

Six Thinking Hats (Edward de Bono)

A **multi-perspective problem-solving technique** that examines an issue from different angles:

- **White Hat** – Focuses on objective data and facts.
 - **Red Hat** – Considers emotional and intuitive reactions.
 - **Black Hat** – Identifies risks and potential pitfalls.
 - **Yellow Hat** – Highlights positive outcomes and advantages.
 - **Green Hat** – Encourages creative and alternative solutions.
 - **Blue Hat** – Oversees and structures the thinking process.
-

Step 4: Synthesizing and Communicating Results

Objective: Consolidate findings and effectively present conclusions.

Actions:

- **Integrate Insights** – Merge results from different reasoning methods.
- **Validate Hypotheses** – Use **falsification** to ensure logical consistency.
- **Communicate Findings** – Present a **structured, evidence-based report**.

This ensures that **decisions and conclusions are well-supported and free from cognitive distortions**.

3. Daily Integration and Exercises

To reinforce **WTF 1.0**, daily exercises include:

- **Case Study Practice** – Apply the methodology to real-world intelligence or business problems.
- **Logical Fallacy Drills** – Identify flawed reasoning in news articles and social media.
- **Bias Recognition Training** – Use the **Six Thinking Hats** to evaluate how different perspectives shape conclusions.

Practicing these exercises **develops critical thinking muscles** and prevents **misinformation from influencing decision-making**.

4. Conclusion: The Foundation of Analytical Thinking

Seshat's WTF 1.0 provides a **repeatable, structured framework** for intelligence analysis, business strategy, and AI-driven decision-making.

This version **lays the groundwork** for more advanced models like:

- **WTF 2.0** – Iterative refinement and adversarial intelligence strategies.
- **WTF 3.0** – Integrating machine learning-driven falsification models.
- **WTF 4.0** – A complete interdisciplinary framework for AI and human intelligence collaboration.

Key Takeaways:

- ✓ **A structured, repeatable approach to critical thinking.**
- ✓ **Applicable to both human analysts and AI-based NLP systems.**
- ✓ **Prepares decision-makers for real-world complexity and uncertainty.**