

RUMSFELDOLOGY 9/11 (Expanded)

Marie Seshat Landry with Gemini

May 12, 2025

*Below is **Rumsfeldology**, a structured OSINT-based report on intelligence failures around 9/11 linked to Donald Rumsfeld's pre- and post-attack posture, his PNAC advocacy of a "new Pearl Harbor," early war positioning, and a human-rights critique of the ensuing policy failures.*

1. Executive Summary

- **Scope:** Analysis of U.S. intelligence lapses before and after September 11, 2001, with a specific focus on Donald Rumsfeld's influence as Secretary of Defense. This includes his pre-9/11 strategic priorities, his role as a PNAC signatory, the exploitation of 9/11 for pre-existing agendas, and the shaping of intelligence to justify the Iraq War.
- **Objective:** To critically examine how Rumsfeld's leadership, informed by neoconservative ideology, interacted with systemic intelligence shortfalls and decision-making processes, ultimately leading to the Iraq War and its profound human-rights consequences.

2. OSINT Framework & Methodology

1. **Planning & Direction:** Defined key investigative questions:

- How did Rumsfeld's initial priorities as SecDef (Jan-Sep 2001) affect U.S. preparedness for asymmetric threats?
- What was the explicit strategy of PNAC, and how did Rumsfeld's affiliation influence post-9/11 policy?
- How was the "new Pearl Harbor" rhetoric actualized and leveraged?
- What mechanisms were used to shape intelligence regarding Iraq, specifically under Rumsfeld's purview?
- What were the direct and indirect human-rights outcomes of these decisions?

2. **Collection:** Utilized publicly available data: U.S. government reports (9/11 Commission Final Report, Senate Intelligence Committee reports), declassified documents, reputable investigative journalism (e.g., The New Yorker, PBS Frontline, ABC News, The Guardian), academic analyses, memoirs of key officials, and established archives (e.g., National Security Archive, PNAC's original website content via Internet Archive).
3. **Processing Analysis:** Employed timeline reconstruction, cross-referencing of sources (triangulation), identification of influence networks, and structured analytic techniques. This included an implicit Analysis of Competing Hypotheses (ACH) by considering, then critiquing, alternative explanations for policy shifts (e.g., genuine misinterpretation vs. deliberate shaping of intelligence).
4. **Dissemination:** This expanded report, synthesizing findings with verifiable citations, intended for policy analysts, human-rights advocates, researchers, and the public.

3. Intelligence Failures & Rumsfeld's Pre-9/11 Posture

- **Systemic Failures:** The 9/11 Commission Report exhaustively detailed “failures of imagination, policy, capabilities, and management” across U.S. intelligence and security agencies (CIA, FBI, FAA, DoD) from 1998–2001, allowing al-Qaeda's plot to proceed unimpeded (GovInfo [1], CyberCemetery [2]). These included poor information sharing, insufficient analytical focus, and inadequate resource allocation.
- **Interagency Gaps:** Persistent lack of effective coordination and information fusion between the CIA and FBI was a critical vulnerability. Known intelligence about al-Qaeda operatives, including some who later became 9/11 hijackers, was not adequately shared or synthesized into actionable warnings (Carnegie Endowment [3], 9/11 Commission Report).
- **Rumsfeld's Early Focus (Jan-Sep 2001):** Upon taking office, Rumsfeld's primary stated goal was military transformation, focusing on future-oriented, high-tech warfare and missile defense, often at the expense of addressing immediate, asymmetric threats like terrorism.
 - Reports from the period suggest Rumsfeld was initially skeptical of the urgency of the al-Qaeda threat as emphasized by outgoing Clinton officials and holdovers like Richard Clarke, the counterterrorism czar. While intelligence warnings about al-Qaeda were numerous in the spring and summer of 2001 (e.g., the August 6 PDB "Bin Laden Determined to Strike in US"), Rumsfeld's DoD was perceived by some contemporary critics as slower to adapt its operational posture to this specific threat compared to its focus on state-centric challenges and transformation initiatives (Contemporary media reports, e.g., Washington Post [8], National Security Archive [9]).
 - This focus arguably diverted attention and resources that might have otherwise been applied to more immediate counter-terrorism measures or fostered a strategic environment less receptive to the specific nature of the impending al-Qaeda attack.

4. Early War Positioning & PNAC Influence

- **PNAC's "Rebuilding America's Defenses":** The Project for the New American Century (PNAC), co-founded by individuals who would become key figures in the Bush administration (including Rumsfeld, Cheney, Wolfowitz, Perle), published "Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources For a New Century" in September 2000. This document advocated for a significantly more assertive, interventionist U.S. foreign policy, including regime change in Iraq. Crucially, it noted that the process of transformation "is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor" (Wikipedia for PNAC, referencing the original document [4]).
- **Pre-Existing Agenda for Iraq:** Even before 9/11, Rumsfeld and his Deputy Secretary Paul Wolfowitz were strong proponents of overthrowing Saddam Hussein. This predated any linkage of Iraq to the 9/11 attacks.
 - On the afternoon of September 11, 2001, Rumsfeld reportedly ordered his aides to look for evidence of Iraqi involvement, according to notes taken by aide Stephen Cambone ("best info fast. Judge whether good enough hit S.H. [Saddam Hussein] at same time. Not only UBL. [Usama bin Laden] Go massive. Sweep it all up. Things related and not.") (CBS News, based on Cambone's notes [10]).
 - The morning after 9/11, at a National Security Council meeting, Rumsfeld reportedly questioned why the U.S. wouldn't attack Iraq, not just al-Qaeda, despite CIA Director George Tenet stating there was no evidence linking Iraq to the attacks (ABC News [5], Richard A. Clarke's "Against All Enemies").

5. "New Pearl Harbor" Narrative & Intelligence Exploitation

- **From PNAC Blueprint to Policy:** The 9/11 attacks tragically provided the "catastrophic and catalyzing event" PNAC had described. Many PNAC signatories, now in key administration positions, leveraged the national trauma and desire for retribution to implement their pre-existing foreign policy agenda, framing the "Global War on Terror" in expansive terms that quickly encompassed Iraq (Responsible Statecraft [6]).
- **Office of Special Plans (OSP) & Intelligence Politicization:**
 - Under Rumsfeld's oversight, and led by Undersecretary of Defense for Policy Douglas Feith and Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Policy Abram Shulsky, the Pentagon established the Office of Special Plans (OSP).
 - The OSP operated outside traditional intelligence channels, selectively gathering, "stovepiping," and amplifying intelligence—often from unreliable Iraqi defectors (e.g., "Curveball")—that supported the administration's case for war, particularly regarding alleged WMDs and links between Saddam Hussein and al-Qaeda (The New Yorker - Seymour Hersh [7], PBS Frontline "The Dark Side" [11]).

- This office actively challenged and sought to discredit dissenting analyses from the CIA and State Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research (INR), contributing to a skewed intelligence picture presented to policymakers, Congress, and the public. The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence later found that many pre-war intelligence assessments about Iraq's WMD capabilities and links to al-Qaeda were "overstated," "not supported by the underlying intelligence," or "unsubstantiated" (Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Report [12]).

6. Human-Rights Critique & Consequences

- **Unlawful Use of Force & International Law:** The UN Charter (Article 51) permits self-defense if an armed attack occurs against a member state, but this is generally understood to apply to the state or organization responsible for the attack. The invasion of Iraq in 2003, justified by invoking 9/11 and unproven WMD threats, lacked a specific UN Security Council resolution authorizing force and was widely considered by international legal scholars to violate the prohibition on aggressive war, thereby undermining international legal norms.
- **Devastating Civilian Toll Displacement:** The Iraq War and subsequent insurgency led to immense human suffering.
 - Credible estimates of Iraqi civilian deaths directly attributable to violence post-invasion vary, but figures from sources like Iraq Body Count, the Lancet studies, and PLOS Medicine studies suggest hundreds of thousands of excess deaths. By mid-2004 alone, various counts indicated well over 100,000, with figures escalating dramatically in subsequent years of insurgency and sectarian violence (Iraq Body Count Project [13], Lancet studies on Iraqi mortality).
 - Millions of Iraqis were internally displaced or became refugees, creating a massive humanitarian crisis and destabilizing the region.
- **Systemic Torture, Abuses, and Erosion of Moral Authority:**
 - Under Rumsfeld's Defense Department, policies were implemented that led to the systemic abuse and torture of detainees at facilities like Abu Ghraib in Iraq, Guantánamo Bay, and CIA black sites. Memoranda approved by Rumsfeld authorized "enhanced interrogation techniques" that were widely condemned as torture (ACLU Archives of Rumsfeld Memos [14], Taguba Report Summary via The Guardian [15]).
 - These abuses severely damaged U.S. moral standing globally, violated international conventions (like the Geneva Conventions and the Convention Against Torture), fueled anti-American sentiment, and acted as a potent recruitment tool for extremist groups, undermining long-term security objectives.

7. Conclusions & Lessons Learned

- **Ideology-Driven Policy & Strategic Manipulation:** Rumsfeld's pre-9/11 strategic orientation, heavily influenced by PNAC's neoconservative ideology, created a predisposition to-

wards transformative military action and regime change in Iraq. The 9/11 attacks were then exploited as the “catalyzing event” to implement this agenda, with intelligence processes manipulated to fit preconceived policy goals.

- **Imperative of Intelligence Integrity & Oversight:** The Iraq War underscores the catastrophic consequences of politicizing intelligence. Robust, independent, and objective intelligence analysis, free from policy advocacy, is paramount. Stronger mechanisms for inter-agency fusion, congressional oversight, transparency, and vigorous internal challenge-and-review processes (including formal devil’s advocacy) are critical to prevent future failures.
- **Accountability for Leadership:** Decisions made at the highest levels, particularly concerning the use of force and the treatment of individuals under U.S. control, have profound and lasting consequences. A lack of meaningful accountability for those who promoted flawed intelligence or authorized abusive practices has broader implications for democratic governance.
- **Centrality of Human Rights International Law:** Future national security strategies must be deeply rooted in respect for human rights and adherence to international law. Violations not only cause immense suffering but are also strategically counterproductive, eroding legitimacy and fueling further conflict. Preventing atrocity and upholding legal norms must be core components, not afterthoughts, of policy.

“Rumsfeldology” thus reveals a critical case study in how a potent combination of ideological conviction within key leadership, particularly embodied by Donald Rumsfeld, intersected with systemic intelligence failures and strategic exploitation of a national crisis. This confluence led to the Iraq War, one of the 21st century’s most significant foreign policy blunders, resulting in grave humanitarian, legal, and geopolitical disasters whose repercussions continue to unfold.

References

- [1] [\[PDF\] The 9/11 Commission Report - GovInfo](#)
- [2] [National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States - CyberCemetery \(UNT\)](#)
- [3] [Looking for Threats in All the Wrong Places - Carnegie Endowment](#)
- [4] [Project for the New American Century - Wikipedia \(Note: original PNAC documents often archived elsewhere\)](#)
- [5] [Were 1998 Memos a Blueprint for War? - ABC News](#)
- [6] [How 9/11 enabled a preconceived vision of an imperial US foreign policy - Responsible Statecraft](#)

- [7] [Selective Intelligence - The New Yorker \(Seymour Hersh\)](#)
- [8] Placeholder for contemporary Washington Post articles from early 2001 detailing Rumsfeld's DoD transformation focus. Specific articles would be cited in a full research paper.
- [9] National Security Archive (George Washington University). [Website Link](#). (Provides numerous declassified documents, e.g., "The August 6, 2001 PDB" and related analyses.)
- [10] CBS News. "Pentagon Memos: Raw Data." (Referencing Stephen Cambone's notes). Example: [Link to CBS News documentation](#) (Note: original link may vary or be archived differently). More generally, CBS News covered these notes extensively.
- [11] PBS Frontline. "The Dark Side." (Investigative report on Cheney, Rumsfeld, and the OSP). [PBS Frontline Link](#)
- [12] U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. "Report on the U.S. Intelligence Community's Prewar Intelligence Assessments on Iraq." July 9, 2004. [Senate Report Link](#)
- [13] Iraq Body Count Project. [Iraq Body Count Link](#) (Provides ongoing, documented compilation of violent civilian deaths). (Also consider citing Lancet studies on Iraqi mortality for broader figures).
- [14] ACLU. "Searchable Database of Released Torture Documents." (Contains Rumsfeld-approved interrogation technique memos). [ACLU Torture Database Link](#)
- [15] The Guardian. "Taguba report on Abu Ghraib abuses." (Provides summaries/excerpts of the internal Army investigation by Maj. Gen. Antonio Taguba). Example: [Guardian Article on Taguba Report](#)