

The Post-Petroleum World Economy

Transitioning Civilization Beyond Oil (2030–
2070)

A Deployment Blueprint for Industrial Transition

By Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry

CEO & Founder, Landry Industries Inc. | **Director**,
Hempoxies Platform

Founder & Principal Analyst, Marie Landry's Spy Shop
(OSINT & Intelligence Services)

Executive, [Search For Organics](#) | **Lead Researcher**, Global
Organic Solutions

AI Architect & Developer, Advanced Systems Integration

Location: Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada

Web: landryindustries.ca | marielandryspyshop.com

ORCID: [0009-0008-5027-3337](https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5027-3337)

Table of Contents

Frontmatter

[Executive Summary](#)

[Notes on Methodology](#)

PART I — THE TRAP: WHY OIL

DOMINATES DESPITE BEING OBSOLETE

Chapter 1: The Petroleum Trap—More Than Energy

Chapter 2: The Real Cost of Oil

Chapter 3: The Mythology of the Energy Transition

PART II — THE BIO-MATERIAL STACK: WHAT WINS

Chapter 4: Hemp as Industrial Platform

Chapter 5: The Renewable Materials Stack

Chapter 6: Bio-Composites at Performance Parity

PART III — BREAKING PETROCHEMICAL DOMINANCE

Chapter 7: Post-Petroleum Manufacturing

Chapter 8: Infrastructure Rebuild Without Oil

Chapter 9: Transportation—The Hardest Sector

PART IV — ECONOMIC RESTRUCTURING

Chapter 10: The Collapse of the Petro-State

Chapter 11: The Circular Bioeconomy

Chapter 12: Financing the Transition

PART V — POWER STRUCTURES, NARRATIVES, AND CONTROL

Chapter 13: Regulation—The Accelerant

Chapter 14: Narrative Warfare

Chapter 15: Industrial Sovereignty

PART VI — IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP

Chapter 16: 2025–2030 Foundation Phase

Chapter 17: 2030–2040 Acceleration Phase

Chapter 18: 2040–2070 Post-Petroleum Dominance

PART VII — FAILURE MODES

Chapter 19: Why This Could Fail

Chapter 20: The Ethical Frontier

PART VIII — LANDRY INDUSTRIES: THE COMPETITIVE EDGE

Chapter 21: Hempoxies as Industrial Anchor

Chapter 22: Building a Post-Petroleum Conglomerate

Chapter 23: The Organic Revolution

Closing

Epilogue

Author's Note

Executive Summary

The global economy runs on oil not because it's superior, but because alternatives lack mature supply chains. This book is the operational blueprint for building those supply chains and capturing the trillion-dollar value chain that follows.

The Core Argument

Oil persists through path dependency, not technological inevitability. Replacing it requires solving three simultaneous problems:

1. Discovering and scaling bio-based alternatives to petrochemicals
2. Building distributed supply chains to replace centralized refineries
3. Achieving cost parity while maintaining performance

We are entering a 45-year transition period (2030–2070) during which oil-dependent economies face existential risk, while nations and companies that build bio-material supply chains will capture exponential value.

Why This Matters Now

The energy transition narrative (solar/wind replacing fossil fuels) has captured policy, capital, and media attention. But energy represents only 30% of petroleum's function. The other 70%—structural materials, chemicals, plastics, pharmaceutical feedstocks, aviation fuel—have no ready substitutes. **This is the blind spot that makes most climate strategies fail at scale.**

What This Book Delivers

- A strategic model for how the post-petroleum economy actually functions (not speculation—operational structure)
- A supply chain blueprint for the critical path (hemp → processing → composites → commercial applications)
- A capital deployment roadmap (\$50M → \$500M → \$1B+) with explicit timelines and risk factors
- Positioning for competitive advantage in a winner-take-most market
- A governance framework for managing transition without repeating extraction's ethical failures

Target Audience

- **Policymakers:** Industrial strategy, decarbonization that actually works

- **Investors:** Where to deploy capital for 10–20 year returns
- **Materials engineers:** Market signals for what to build
- **Founders:** Operational blueprint for building the supply chains everyone will need

Core Thesis

The transition from petroleum to regenerative bio-materials is not an environmental nice-to-have. It's an industrial inevitability. The question is not whether it happens—it's who builds the supply chains and captures the value. This book is written for those prepared to win.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Notes on Methodology

This book integrates three domains: materials science, supply chain economics, and geopolitical strategy. The analysis draws from:

- **Direct operational experience** building hemp-based composite systems (Landry Industries R&D)
- **Supply chain analysis** of petroleum infrastructure (revealing the constraints that make transition possible)
- **Market research** on certification timelines, capital requirements, and adoption curves
- **Policy intelligence** on regulatory frameworks that accelerate or constrain transition
- **Case studies** from advanced materials companies that successfully transitioned markets

Where data is available, specific figures are cited. Where industry practices are standard, they're treated as established facts. Where analysis is prospective, it's explicitly labeled as modeling or scenario analysis.

Critical Note on Hempoxies Status: Hempoxies is a research hypothesis and development framework, not yet a validated commercial product. The technical documentation exists, but commercial viability and performance specifications are not yet proven. This book positions Hempoxies as a forward-looking research initiative with clear commercialization pathway, not as an established technology.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

PART I

The Trap: Why Oil Dominates Despite Being Obsolete

Understanding the systemic lock-in that perpetuates petroleum dependency despite superior alternatives

Chapter 1: The Petroleum Trap—More Than Energy



Oil Refinery Infrastructure

Petroleum infrastructure represents over \$14 trillion in sunk capital globally, creating systemic lock-in.

Illustration represents centralized refining model

The Invisible Hand: Why Oil Won't Die Without Competition

Walk into any manufacturing facility, hospital, or agricultural operation in the world and you'll find petroleum in the supply chain. Not because it's the best input—but because it's the only input with mature supply chains, reliable pricing, and 150 years of infrastructure investment.

Oil is not a fuel problem. It's a material lock-in problem.

When we talk about "transitioning from oil," most people picture electric cars and solar panels. This is the seductive myth that makes the transition seem achievable. Electrification handles approximately 30% of petroleum's function (vehicle propulsion, electricity generation). The other 70% is invisible: structural materials, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, plastics, adhesives, lubricants, aviation fuel, fertilizers, and a thousand other inputs that keep civilization running.

Consider a modern automobile. The propulsion system might become electric. But the interior contains polypropylene (petroleum), polyurethane foam (petroleum), adhesives (petroleum), and multiple polymer coatings (petroleum). The tires are synthetic rubber (petroleum). The lubricants are synthetic hydrocarbons (petroleum).

Removing the engine and adding a battery solves about 40% of the problem. The other 60% of the car is still petroleum.

What Petroleum Actually Is (And What We Need to Replace)

Crude oil is a reservoir of ancient biomass (algae, plankton, organic matter) converted over millions of years into hydrocarbon chains of varying lengths. When we extract and process it, we separate these chains into useful fractions:

- **Gasoline** (C5-C11 hydrocarbons): Vehicle fuel, increasingly irrelevant with electrification
- **Diesel** (C10-C20): Transportation fuel, harder to replace (aviation, maritime, heavy equipment)
- **Jet fuel** (C8-C16): Aviation-specific, extremely difficult to decarbonize
- **Fuel oil** (C20-C50): Industrial heating, also hard to replace
- **Heavy residuum** (C50+): Used as bunker fuel for shipping; worst environmental performer

But here's the critical insight: **only about 40% of crude oil is used as fuel.** The remainder becomes:

- **Naphtha** (C4-C12 hydrocarbons): Petrochemical feedstock for plastics, polymers, adhesives
- **Lubricants and waxes:** Industrial machinery, protective coatings
- **Bitumen:** Road construction and roofing
- **Specialty chemicals:** Pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, industrial chemicals

The "energy transition" narrative focuses obsessively on the fuel problem. It's the visible, emotional component. But the material problem—replacing the 60% of petroleum that becomes polymers, chemicals, and structural materials—is the actual constraint.



You could shut down every coal plant in the world and still need petroleum refineries. You could electrify every car on the planet and still need petrochemical feedstocks.

The Economic Trap: Why Incumbents Will Resist

The petroleum industry is vast: integrated oil companies, petrochemical manufacturers, industrial equipment suppliers, regulatory capture networks, and financial institutions with trillions at stake.

When faced with the prospect of material substitution, these incumbents will not fade away. They will fight with every tool available:

1. **Regulatory capture:** Oil companies employ thousands of lobbyists in every major capital. They will draft regulations that advantage petroleum and disadvantage alternatives.
2. **Infrastructure investment:** New refineries cost \$2–\$5 billion and have 50-year lifespans. Companies are still building them, betting that petroleum demand persists.
3. **PR and narrative:** Fossil fuel companies spend >\$100 billion

annually on marketing, political contributions, and public relations. They shape the conversation around what's "realistic" and "economically viable."

4. **Financial system integration:** Banks, pension funds, and insurance companies have massive exposure to petroleum assets. They have incentive to maintain petroleum demand.
5. **Technology misdirection:** Incumbents will fund "carbon capture" and "blue hydrogen" research—technologies that let them continue operating with minimal disruption—instead of material substitution research.

This is not a conspiracy. It's rational behavior by organizations facing existential competition. But it means the transition will be politically difficult, contested at every step, and slower than the physics suggests possible.

Summary: The Trap Is Real, But Escapable

Oil dominates the global economy through systemic lock-in, not technological superiority. Breaking that lock-in requires building competing infrastructure—supply chains that offer equivalent or superior economics to petroleum.

This book is premised on a specific claim: such infrastructure is buildable, with a 45-year timeline and \$1–2 trillion in capital. The technical challenges are solvable. The supply chain challenges are harder. The political and incumbent resistance challenges are hardest.

But they are all addressable with the right strategy, capital deployment, and policy support.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 2: The Real Cost of Oil (Why Incumbent Advantages Are Fragile)

Externalities: The Accounting Trick That Hides True Cost

If petroleum were priced at its true cost—including climate impacts, health damages, military enforcement, ecosystem destruction, and adaptation costs—crude oil would cost not \$70–\$100 per barrel, but \$300–\$500 per barrel.

This is not speculation. The International Monetary Fund estimated in 2023 that the true cost of fossil fuels (including air pollution, climate impacts, and other externalities) is \$7 trillion per year globally. For crude oil specifically, this implies an effective price roughly 4–5x higher than market price.

Critical insight: Petroleum's economic dominance depends entirely on externalizing costs. The moment those costs are internalized (through regulation, carbon pricing, or supply-side constraints), petroleum becomes uncompetitive.

Let's be specific about what's externalized:

- **Climate impacts:** Burning a barrel of oil releases ~0.43 tons of CO₂. At a social cost of carbon of \$100–\$200 per ton, this adds \$43–\$86 per barrel in climate costs.
- **Health impacts:** Petroleum extraction, refining, and combustion cause ~7 million premature deaths annually through air pollution. Valued at \$5–\$10 million per life, this represents ~\$35–\$70 billion in annual health costs.
- **Military costs:** The US maintains global military infrastructure primarily to secure oil supplies, estimated at \$80–\$100 billion annually.
- **Ecosystem destruction:** Oil extraction causes deforestation, wetland destruction, and habitat loss valued at billions annually.
- **Adaptation and disaster response:** Storms, floods, and droughts intensified by climate change impose enormous costs—\$100+ billion annually and rising.

When all these costs are summed, petroleum appears to be a bargain at \$70–\$100 per barrel only because someone else is paying the bill.

The Petro-State Problem: Economic Fragility Disguised as Stability

Roughly 70 nations depend on petroleum revenues for >20% of government income. In OPEC countries, this percentage is often 50–90%. These nations face immediate crisis when oil prices fall, creating a dynamic where governments under-invest in economic diversification and innovation.

Venezuela is the clearest example: once one of the world's highest per-capita income nations, Venezuela's economy collapsed as oil revenues fell. By 2023, it had become one of the world's poorest.

This creates a window for transition: **as petroleum becomes less economically competitive, the window for building alternatives is open.** But the window closes if petroleum supply becomes truly constrained (which would push prices so high that alternative investment becomes unaffordable).

The optimal transition timeline is before supply constraints become severe—roughly the next 15–25 years.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 3: The Mythology of the Energy Transition

The Great Misdirection: Why Solar/Wind Don't Replace Petrochemicals

Over the past decade, renewable energy has become the dominant narrative in climate strategy. Install solar panels and wind turbines, electrify vehicles, and the problem is solved. This narrative is so seductive that it's embedded in policy, capital flows, and public perception.

It's also profoundly incomplete.

Solar and wind solve the electricity problem. They provide clean energy to power grids, vehicles, and industrial processes. But they do not solve the chemical problem: **the need for carbon-based feedstocks to**

manufacture materials.

When you run a chemical plant on renewable electricity, you've solved the energy problem. You haven't solved the feedstock problem.

Energy is not fungible with materials. A kilowatt of electricity cannot replace a kilogram of polymer. A solar panel can power a factory, but it cannot provide the chemical feedstocks that factory needs.

Electrification Without Decarbonization: The Most Dangerous Trap

Electric vehicles are widely promoted as the solution to transportation decarbonization. But manufacturing an electric vehicle requires roughly 4–5 tons of CO₂ equivalent (primarily in battery production), while a gasoline vehicle requires roughly 2–3 tons. So an EV starts with a 2-ton carbon deficit.

This is progress. But it's only if the grid actually decarbonizes, the battery is recycled, and the rest of the vehicle is decarbonized.

What electrification does not do: It does not reduce material consumption. An EV consumes roughly the same amount of material as a gasoline vehicle—plastic, rubber, composite, glass, metals.

Battery Supply Chains: Extractivism 2.0

The renewable energy transition requires enormous quantities of batteries. To produce a 60 kWh battery pack for a car requires ~7–10 kg of lithium, ~35–40 kg of cobalt, and ~25–30 kg of nickel.

Lithium is extracted via evaporative ponds (threatening agriculture and indigenous water rights) or hard rock mining (destroying habitat). Cobalt is extracted primarily in the DRC, creating child labor and ecosystem destruction. Nickel mining is expanding in Indonesia, causing deforestation.



The renewable energy transition is recreating the extractive model of petroleum in a new form. Instead of controlling petroleum, geopolitical power will concentrate on controlling lithium, cobalt, nickel, and rare earth elements.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

PART II

The Bio-Material Stack: What Wins

Building supply chains for regenerative, scalable alternatives to petrochemicals

Chapter 4: Hemp as Industrial Platform (Not Just a Crop)



Hemp Plant Multi-Output System

Hemp is a multi-output industrial system producing fiber, hurds, seed oil, and cellulose from a single plant.

Illustration represents integrated biomass model

Why Hemp, Not Cotton or Timber?

Hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.) is one of the world's most versatile plants. Given proper cultivation, a single hectare of hemp produces more fiber than 2–3 hectares of cotton, with regenerative soil impact rather than degradative.

Cotton requires enormous pesticide inputs (~16% of global pesticides for ~2.5% of global crop acreage), causing soil degradation, water pollution, and social impacts.

Timber/forestry is often monoculture (low biodiversity, high pest/disease risk, soil degradation). Even regenerative forestry is limited. Hemp can be grown as a rotational crop, improving soil health while producing fiber.

The economic advantage: Hemp grows in 4–5 months, producing 5–8 tons of dry biomass per hectare. Timber requires 20–40 years per rotation. In a 40-year period:

- One rotation of timber produces 5–8 tons/hectare
- 8–10 rotations of hemp produce 40–80 tons/hectare

The supply chain speed advantage is enormous.

Hemp Fractionation: The Multi-Output System

Raw hemp stalk contains four distinct material fractions, each useful:

Fraction	% of Stalk	Key Properties	Primary Uses
Hemp Fiber (Outer layer)	~30%	Tensile 70–100 MPa, Aspect ratio 1000–2000	Textiles, composites, cordage
Hemp Hurds (Core)	~45–50%	Density 0.15–0.25 g/cm ³ , Cellular/porous	Insulation, hempcrete, biofuel
Hemp Seed	~5–10%	Oil content 30–35%, Protein 25–30%	Nutrition, bio-based oils, cosmetics
Hemp Cellulose	~40–50%	Identical to wood cellulose	Bioplastics, packaging, film

The key insight: a single hemp plant provides materials for multiple industries simultaneously. From 1 ton of dry hemp stalk, you can extract approximately 300 kg of usable fiber, 400 kg of hurds, 50 kg of seed, and additional cellulose for specialty applications.

Hemp Economics: The Math That Actually Works

Canadian hemp farming data (New Brunswick/Ontario, 2024):

Inputs per hectare:

- Seed: ~50 kg @ \$2–3/kg
- Labor (seeding + weed management): ~50 hours
- Fertilizer: ~50 kg (minimal)
- Herbicides: Minimal (hemp naturally competitive)
- Harvest + retting: ~100 hours
- **Total cost per hectare: \$1,200–\$1,800**

Outputs and revenues per hectare:

- 5–8 tons of dry stalk produced
- Fiber (30% @ \$0.50–0.80/kg): \$750–\$1,200
- Hurd (45% @ \$0.15–0.30/kg): \$375–\$1,080
- Seed (5% @ \$0.80–\$1.20/kg): \$200–\$480
- **Gross revenue: \$1,325–\$2,760 per hectare**
- **Net margin: \$125–\$960 per hectare**

This is viable but not spectacular. The real profitability comes when processing is vertically integrated:

Vertically integrated model: When a company owns both farming and processing, raw stalk (\$1,500) becomes processed fiber (\$3,000–\$4,000), processed hurds (\$1,500–\$2,000), and seed oil (\$800–\$1,200), totaling \$5,500 per hectare in value—more than 3x the commodity model.

Chapter 5: The Renewable Materials Stack

Beyond Monoculture: Why Multiple Bio-Feedstocks Are Essential

Hemp is the anchor crop. But relying on a single biomass is dangerous due to pest/disease risk, land-use constraints, and regional suitability limitations.

Algae: The Rapid Photosynthesizer

Algae offers dramatic advantages:

- **Productivity:** 10–50 tons/hectare/year (vs hemp: 5–8)
- **Growth cycle:** 3–7 days (vs hemp: 4–5 months)
- **Efficiency:** 5–8% of incident solar energy conversion (vs terrestrial crops: 0.5–1%)
- **Multiple products:** Biofuels, proteins, carbohydrates, specialty chemicals from same culture

Constraints: High capex for infrastructure, temperature-sensitive, contamination risk, energy-intensive harvesting.

Timeline to scale: Not mainstream within 5–10 years, but essential for aviation/maritime decarbonization.

Mycelium: The Fungal Future

Mycelium (fungal root structure) grown on agricultural waste creates biodegradable composites:

- **Properties:** Density 0.05–0.3 g/cm³, 30–100 kPa compression strength, fully compostable
- **Production time:** 1–3 weeks
- **Current cost:** \$2–\$4/kg; target: \$0.50–\$1.50/kg at scale

Timeline to commercialization: Closest to market of novel biomaterials—3–5 year window for dominance.

Agricultural Waste Streams: The Circular Input

Global agricultural residue: ~1.7 billion tons annually (straw, corn stover, bagasse, rice husks, etc.)

Current use: ~30% burned for energy, ~30% landfilled, ~40% traditional applications.

Opportunity: These waste streams are free or negative-cost inputs. Converting them to material production reduces disposal costs while generating value.

Synthetic Biology: Drop-In Replacements at Scale

Fermentation-based manufacturing uses microorganisms to convert simple inputs (glucose, CO₂) into complex molecules:

- **Already commercial:** Fermented vanillin, certain specialty chemicals
- **Pilot production:** Nylon 3, some PHA variants
- **In development:** Spider silk, cellulose alternatives, bio-based polyurethanes

Timeline to mainstream adoption: 5–10 years for selected applications; 10–20 years for broader adoption; 20+ years for potential dominance in specific applications.

The Portfolio Model: Why All of These Together

None of these is sufficient alone. The material transition requires a resilient portfolio:

- **Hemp:** Anchor crop, proven scale-up, real profitability
- **Algae:** Highest productivity, essential for aviation/maritime
- **Mycelium:** Rapid commercialization, packaging replacement market
- **Agricultural waste:** Free input, huge scale, circular economy enabler

- **Fermentation:** Long-term advantage, drop-in replacements for all polymers

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 6: Bio-Composites—Replacing Petrochemicals at Performance Parity

Composite Material Structure

Bio-based composites combine hemp fiber reinforcement with plant-oil epoxy resins, achieving performance parity with petroleum alternatives.

Illustration represents fiber-reinforced composite architecture

Why Composites Matter (More Than People Realize)

Composite materials (fiber + resin matrix) are the fastest-growing category of structural materials, used in aerospace, automotive, wind turbines, marine, sports equipment, construction, and infrastructure. Global composites market: ~\$180 billion annually, growing 4–6% per year.

Vitrimer Chemistry: The Game-Changing Property

Traditional thermoset composites are "set and forget"—once cured, they cannot be reshaped or recycled. Vitrimers combine thermoset properties with revolutionary recyclability:

- **At elevated temperature:** Dynamic covalent bonds break

and reform

- **Reshapeable:** Material can be reshaped, welded, or ground and reconstituted
- **Recyclable:** 100% recovery via grinding and reprocessing
- **Repairable:** Damage can be thermally healed without property loss

Key properties: Tensile 50–100 MPa, Glass transition 150–250°C, Storage modulus 2–4 GPa—comparable to petroleum-derived thermosets.

Hemp-Epoxy Composites: The Hempoxies Core

Hempoxies integrates:

- **Hemp fiber reinforcement** (proven, scalable, cost-effective)
- **Bio-based epoxy resin** (plant oils: linseed, castor, soybean)
- **Vitrimer chemistry** (recyclable, repairable, thermally remoldable)

Material specifications (v1 target):

- Tensile strength: 180–220 MPa
- Flexural strength: 250–300 MPa
- Impact strength: 40–60 kJ/m²
- Density: 1.2–1.4 g/cm³ (lighter than fiberglass 1.9)
- Glass transition temperature: 160–200°C
- Recyclability: 100%

Advantages vs Alternatives

Material	Strength	Cost	Recyclability	Embodied Carbon
Hemp-Epoxy (Hempoxies)	180–220 MPa	\$2–5/kg	100%	Low (regenerative)

Fiberglass	200–260 MPa	\$3–6/kg	<20%	Moderate
Carbon Fiber	600+ MPa	\$15–20/kg	<10%	High
Petro-Epoxy	200–250 MPa	\$4–8/kg	0%	Moderate–High

Certification Pathways: The Real Bottleneck

Technical performance is not the limiting factor. Regulatory approval is.

Timeline for aerospace qualification:

- Year 1: Test protocol development, initial mechanical testing
- Year 2: Environmental testing, long-term aging studies
- Year 3: Regulatory submission, FAA review
- Year 4–5: Approval and first commercial use

Total cost: \$10–20M for aerospace qualification.

Acceleration strategy: Partner with major OEM (they fund testing for supply agreement), conduct testing in parallel with production scale-up, build test data from production runs.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

PART III

Breaking Petrochemical Dominance

Chapter 7: Post-Petroleum Manufacturing—From Centralized Mills to Distributed Networks

The Centralized Petrochemical Model and Why It Fails for Bio-Materials

Modern petroleum manufacturing is built on centralization: extract, refine, crack, synthesize, mold, distribute. This works for petroleum because oil extraction is geographically concentrated and pipeline infrastructure is mature.

It fundamentally fails for bio-materials:

- Feedstock is distributed (hemp grows regionally)
- Harvest is seasonal (all hemp processed in 5–6 months)
- Supply chain velocity matters (fresh fiber degrades if not processed quickly)
- Local competition for waste (agricultural residue competes across industries)

The Microfactory Model: Distributed Production

A "microfactory" processes 5,000–20,000 tons of biomass annually—tiny compared to petroleum refineries but optimal for regional bio-material supply.

Microfactory economics (10,000 tons/year):

- **Capex:** \$8–12 million

- **Employment:** 65 permanent employees
- **Operating cost:** \$5.05M annually (problem: exceeds commodity revenue)
- **Solution:** Integrate with higher-margin composite manufacturing

In integrated model:

- 3,000 tons fiber @ \$3,500/ton internal value = \$10.5M
- 4,500 tons hurds @ \$750/ton = \$3.375M
- 500 tons seed oil @ \$2,500/ton = \$1.25M
- **Total internal value: \$15.125M** (vs \$5M operating cost)

Building the Distributed Network

Phase 1 (2025–2027): Pilot facility in Moncton, NB. Capacity: 5,000 tons/year. Capex: \$8M.

Phase 2 (2027–2029): Add 2 more facilities in Eastern Canada. Total: 20,000 tons/year. Capex: \$20M.

Phase 3 (2029–2033): Build 5 facilities across North America. Total: 100,000 tons/year. Capex: \$80M.

Phase 4 (2033–2040): Expand globally to 30+ facilities. Total: 500,000+ tons/year. Capex: \$400M+.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 8: Infrastructure Rebuild Without Oil—Buildings, Roads, Grids

Hemp-based construction materials (hemcrete, hemp fiber composites) can replace concrete and traditional lumber in 40–60% of building applications.

Hemcrete Specifications

--	--	--

Property	Hempcrete	Traditional Concrete
Compressive strength	0.5–3 MPa	20–40 MPa
Thermal conductivity	0.07 W/mK	1.4 W/mK
Density	300–500 kg/m ³	2,400 kg/m ³
Carbon sequestration	100–200 kg CO ₂ /m ³	Negative (net emitter)

Application: Hempcrete is suitable for non-load-bearing applications. Geopolymer concrete (60–80% lower carbon) can replace Portland cement in load-bearing applications.

Market opportunity: Global construction materials market = \$500B+ annually. Hempcrete can capture 5–10% of non-load-bearing applications = \$25–50B market.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 9: Transportation—The Hardest Sector

The Problem and the Partial Solution

Vehicle electrification: 70% of transportation energy can be solved via batteries + renewable electricity.

Material transition: Lightweight composites (hemp-based, 15–25% weight reduction) improve economics of everything else—smaller batteries, less fuel, lower emissions.

Aviation and maritime: Require liquid fuels (batteries too heavy). Must use sustainable aviation fuel (SAF) or synthetic fuels from bio-feedstocks (algae, waste oils).

Supply chain integration: The winning strategy combines three elements:

1. Electrify where possible (cars, trains, some buses)
2. Use bio-fuels where necessary (aviation, shipping, heavy equipment)
3. Reduce material consumption across all vehicles via lightweight composites

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

PART IV

Economic Restructuring

Chapter 10: The Collapse of the Petro-State (and What Replaces It)

Roughly 70 nations depend on petroleum revenues for >20% of government income. In OPEC countries, this percentage is often 50–90%.

Transition timeline: Oil-dependent economies face crisis by 2035–2045 as demand destruction accelerates.

Petro-state options:

1. **Managed transition** (Norway model): Sovereign wealth fund invests in renewable/bioeconomy infrastructure
2. **Chaotic collapse** (Venezuela model): Capital flight, government failure, humanitarian crisis
3. **Rapid pivot** (Morocco, Australia): Leverage agricultural/climate assets for bio-materials dominance

Geopolitical impact: Shift from oil-based power structure (OPEC, petrodollar system) to bio-resource-based structure. Countries with land, water, stable governance win.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 11: The Circular Bioeconomy—Building the Loop

Core Principle: Waste = Feedstock

Closed-loop systems where material waste becomes feedstock for new products. Industrial symbiosis: one facility's waste (lignin, cellulose pulp) = another's input (adhesives, bioplastics).

Target Metrics by 2050

- **Recycling rate:** >95% (vs current ~30% for plastics)
- **Carbon intensity:** Negative (material production sequesters more carbon than emitted)
- **Material efficiency:** <10% input waste (vs current 30–50%)

This requires fundamental shift from linear (extract-use-dispose) to circular (regenerate-use-recycle) economics.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 12: Financing the Transition— \$5–10 Trillion Deployment

Capital Sources

- **Fossil fuel subsidy redirection:** \$500B+/year globally = \$12.5T over 25 years
- **Green bonds:** \$500B+/year = \$12.5T over 25 years
- **VC/private equity:** \$50B+/year in cleantech = \$1.25T over 25 years
- **Sovereign transition funds:** \$500B–\$1T (new mechanism)

The Real Bottleneck

Not capital availability—deployment velocity. Building infrastructure takes 5–10 years per project. Scaling globally requires 1,000+ simultaneous projects.

The companies and countries that move fastest in 2025–2030 capture disproportionate advantage.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

PART V

Power Structures, Narratives, and Control

Chapter 13: Regulation—The Accelerant (When Used Right)

The Problem

Petroleum is grandfathered (approved decades ago). Bio-materials face 10x scrutiny per unit of production.

The Solution

Accelerate bio-material certification: FDA fast-track for approved pathways, ASTM standard development

Shift burden to incumbent materials: Petroleum must price in externalities (carbon, health, environmental costs)

Lead standard development: First-mover captures regulatory space and shapes what "approved" means

Hempoxies strategy: Lead ASTM D6775 extension for bio-based resins. First to aerospace qualification sets precedent for entire industry.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 14: Narrative Warfare—Why This Matters More Than You Think

The Narratives in Play

Incumbent narrative: "Transition will destroy economy" (psychologically effective, factually false)

Green narrative (current): "Renewables are inevitable" (true but insufficient—doesn't address materials)

Winning narrative (Landry position): "Bio-materials are technologically superior AND economically rational"

Implementation: Use OSINT and media expertise to shape discourse. Position Landry Industries as the architect of the transition, not a peripheral vendor.

Key messaging channels: Op-eds, speaking tours, thought leadership, industry conferences, policy briefs, media outreach.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 15: Industrial Sovereignty— Nations Competing for Bio-Dominance

The New Geopolitics

Nations will compete for bio-resource control like they competed for oil dominance. Land, water, and biomass become strategic assets.

Canadian advantage:

- Boreal forest + agricultural capacity
- Stable governance, rule of law
- Hemp legal framework already in place
- Access to capital markets
- Technology talent and R&D infrastructure

Risk to manage: Green colonialism (land grabs in developing nations). Build partnerships, share IP, ensure local benefit capture.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

PART VI

Implementation Roadmap (The Execution Layer)

Chapter 16: 2025–2030—The Foundation Phase

**Goal: Prove Viability, Build Supply Chain for One
Material Category, Reach 10,000 Tons/Year Volume**

Hemp Strategy

- **Acres planted:** 5,000 → 50,000 acres (Canada + US)
- **Farmer partnerships:** Lock in 10-year MOUs for price stability
- **Processing infrastructure:** Build or convert 3–5 regional facilities (fiber + hurd + oil fractionation)
- **Certification:** ASTM approval for v1; start aerospace testing roadmap

Immediate Actions

- Hempoxies v1 commercial release (certified, spec'd, qualified for automotive/construction)
- Supply agreements with 5+ OEM testers
- Patent portfolio locked (composition, process, applications)
- Canadian government support documentation (IPA, provincial grants)

Market Entry Sequence

1. **Packaging** (high-volume, low-performance, cash-flow positive): Years 1–2
2. **Construction** (growing code acceptance, regenerative narrative): Years 2–3
3. **Automotive** (first OEM DOE award or partnership): Years 3–4

Financial Requirements

- **Capital required:** \$50–100M
- **Cost per kg of fiber:** Target <\$3.00 (competitive with carbon fiber)
- **Certification milestones:** ASTM + 1 aerospace qual in progress
- **Supply volume:** 10,000 tons/year active
- **Customer diversity:** >5 industries, >10 customers

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 17: 2030–2040—The Acceleration Phase

Goal: Replace 40% of Petrochemical Demand in Target Sectors, Reach 500,000 Tons/Year Volume

Hemp Expansion

- **Acres:** 500,000+ (continental scale)
- **Processing capacity:** 50+ facilities (regional microfactories)
- **Vertical integration:** Farm → fractionation → material → product in closed loops

Material Stack Expansion

- **Algae:** Pilot-to-commercial (biodiesel, jet fuel, proteins)
- **Mycelium:** Mainstream packaging alternative

- **Synthetic bio:** Drop-in nylon, polyester production

Industrial Conversion

- Petrochemical plant retooling: 10–20 facilities convert to bio-feedstock processing
- OEM supply contracts: Major automotive committed to >20% bio-composite content
- Aerospace: Commercial aircraft flight-tested; regulatory approvals in progress

Financial Requirements

- **Capital:** \$500M–\$1B
- **Cost parity achieved:** >50% of materials <\$2.00/kg
- **Volume:** 500,000 tons/year (50x from 2030)
- **Market share:** >30% in target sectors (packaging, construction, automotive interior)
- **Profitability:** Operating margin >15%

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 18: 2040–2070—Post-Petroleum Dominance

Goal: Oil Reduced to <10% of Material Inputs, Fully Circular Material Economy

End State

- **Petroplastics:** Rare, regulated, niche uses only
- **Bio-materials:** Default choice across 90%+ of applications
- **Recycling:** Closed loops operational for >95% of material streams
- **Carbon:** Material economy is carbon-negative (sequestration)

exceeds production emissions)

Technology Maturity

- **Synthetic biology:** Drop-in replacements for all major petrochemicals
- **Autonomous production:** Microfactories with minimal human labor
- **AI-optimized supply chains:** Predictive sourcing, just-in-time bio-feedstock logistics

Geopolitical Shift

- OPEC collapse: Oil prices <\$20/barrel (demand destruction)
- New power structure: Bio-resource producing nations have leverage (Canada, Brazil, Southeast Asia, Africa)
- Petro-state transition: Completed successfully or failed catastrophically by 2050

Success Metrics

- **Bio-material share:** >90% of material inputs
- **Recycling rate:** >95%
- **Carbon intensity:** Negative (production sequesters more than emissions)
- **Employment:** Distributed globally, 10M+ jobs in bio-material value chains

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

PART VII

Failure Modes (The Part That Gives This Credibility)

Chapter 19: Why This Could Fail

Strategic Risks (Not Just Technical)

Land-use crisis: Hemp + algae + forestry competing for same acreage; food production threatened if not managed

Political resistance: Oil incumbents spend \$100B+/year to slow transition; governments may lack political will

Technological bottlenecks:

- Aerospace qualification takes 10+ years (can't accelerate certification without safety risk)
- Supply chain concentration (if processing capacity becomes bottleneck, prices rise → demand collapse)
- Recycling economics (if recycling costs > virgin production, system fails)

Economic inertia: If bio-materials are 5–10% more expensive, adoption stalls below critical mass

Consumer behavior: Even if superior, adoption requires active switching (requires marketing budget most don't have)

Mitigation Strategies

- Build business resilience: Multiple feedstocks, multiple end-markets, geographically distributed
- Diversify geographically: Don't concentrate supply or manufacturing

- Hedge political risk: Engage with policy makers, build coalition, demonstrate economic benefit
- Accelerate learning curves: Share certain IP to build industry adoption

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 20: The Ethical Frontier

Land Rights and Indigenous Sovereignty

Hemp/biomass expansion threatens Indigenous lands if not negotiated early. **This is not a minor concern—it's a foundational governance question.**

Approach: Partner with, don't displace, Indigenous communities. Respect land sovereignty, share revenue, support self-determination.

Food vs Materials

Diverting agricultural capacity from food to materials creates vulnerability. Mitigate by using agricultural waste streams and algae (doesn't compete with food).

Avoiding Green Colonialism

If transition enables land grabs and resource extraction in Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America in the name of "sustainable materials," you've recreated extraction in a new form.

Approach:

- Open-source critical IP in Global South (build goodwill, prevent backlash)
- Ensure agricultural benefit flows to farmers, not just corporations
- Build local manufacturing capacity (don't just extract raw materials)
- Governance participation (developing nations in decision-

making, not just input supply)

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

PART VIII

Landry Industries: Your Competitive Advantage (The Edge)

Chapter 21: Hempoxies as Industrial Anchor (Not Eco-Niche Product)

Positioning Shift: From "Sustainable Alternative" to "Superior Performance at Better Cost"

This is the critical reframing. If Hempoxies is positioned as an eco-friendly alternative to "real" materials, it stays niche. If it's positioned as the superior choice for specific applications, it wins markets.

Why Landry Industries Wins

- **Material science advantage:** v1–v24 iteration built foundation competitors won't replicate in 5 years
- **Patent moat:** Composition + process + application IP (if filed correctly, defensible 15+ years)
- **Supply chain control:** Access to hemp in Canada + ability to build processing = advantage before competitors exist
- **Certification momentum:** First to aerospace qualification wins market

Target Markets (Beachhead Strategy)

1. Aerospace Composites (Highest Margin, Highest Bar)

- **Market size:** \$20B+ globally, growing 8–10%/year

- **Current bottleneck:** Carbon fiber cost (\$15–20/kg) + recycling difficulty
- **Your advantage:** Hemp-epoxy (~\$8–12/kg), lighter than carbon, recyclable
- **Entry path:** Boeing/Airbus subsupplier contract for non-critical components (interior panels, brackets)
- **Timeline:** 3–5 years to first commercial application

2. Automotive Lightweighting (Volume Play, Medium Margin)

- **Market size:** \$50B+ globally, growth driven by EV efficiency
- **Current bottleneck:** Glass fiber dominance (cheap but heavy); carbon fiber too expensive
- **Your advantage:** Cost-performance sweet spot; OEM weight reduction targets (15–25% per platform)
- **Entry path:** Tier-1 supplier partnership (Magna, Lear, Aptiv) for door panels, cargo areas
- **Timeline:** 2–3 years to first OEM program

3. Construction/Infrastructure (Cash Flow Play)

- **Market size:** \$100B+ annually in North America
- **Current bottleneck:** Hempcrete is trendy but unproven at scale; code acceptance varies
- **Your advantage:** Combine Hempoxies binders with hempcrete structure (integrated system)
- **Entry path:** Retrofit programs + net-zero new construction (government buildings first)
- **Timeline:** 1–2 years to first major project

Strategic Sequencing

Win aerospace first (*credibility*), **scale automotive** (*volume*), **dominate construction** (*sustainability narrative*)

Chapter 22: Building a Post-Petroleum Conglomerate

Vision: From Startup to Industrial Empire

Model the pathway Tesla followed: start as a single product company, expand vertically, capture value across the entire chain.

Vertical Stack

Tier 1 (Inputs): Hemp farming in New Brunswick + partnered acres in Ontario, Manitoba. Algae cultivation (co-locate with wastewater treatment). Agricultural waste procurement.

Tier 2 (Processing): Fractionation facilities (fiber, hurds, oils, cellulose). Epoxy synthesis (EHSO + hemp biochar → Hempoxy binders).

Tier 3 (Materials): Composite manufacturing (Hempoxies v1+). Construction products (hempcrete systems). Textile fibers.

Tier 4 (Products): Molded components (automotive, aerospace, construction). Finished goods (furniture, devices, infrastructure).

Tier 5 (Services): Recycling + reclamation (closed-loop economics). Design consulting (material selection for OEMs). Standards development (ASTM, ISO leadership).

Intellectual Property Strategy

- **Offensive:** Patent composition, process, applications aggressively (file internationally)
- **Defensive:** Join standards bodies (ASTM, ISO) to shape rules in your favor
- **Hybrid:** Open-source non-core IP (attract talent, build ecosystem, create regulatory goodwill)

Example: Hempoxy core chemistry patented; epoxy applications licensed; hemp fractionation processes proprietary.

Capital Deployment Path

- **Phase 1 (2025–2027):** Secure R&D funding + early customers (\$50–100M)
- **Phase 2 (2028–2030):** Infrastructure capex for processing + manufacturing (\$200–400M)
- **Phase 3 (2031–2035):** Scale to 100,000+ tons/year; build aerospace + automotive programs (\$1B+)
- **Phase 4 (2036+):** Profitability + dividend + reinvestment cycle (self-sustaining)

Competitive Moats

1. **Supply chain:** You have access to hemp + processing capability before competitors
2. **Certification:** You're leading standards; others follow your specs
3. **Vertical integration:** You can undercut pure material suppliers (cost advantage)
4. **Narrative:** You own the "post-petroleum" story

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Chapter 23: The Organic Revolution— Aligning Tech, Policy, and Culture

This Is Not Just Business

This is positioning Landry Industries as the architect of the post-petroleum economy.

Cultural Narrative

- **Old story:** Oil = prosperity, growth, modernity

- **New story:** Regeneration = prosperity, growth, dominance (and you're building it)

Policy Leverage

- Hemp legalization in Canada is YOUR advantage (first-mover, regulatory tailwind)
- Build relationships with federal (SDTC, ACOA) and provincial (NBIF) funding sources
- Position yourself as the answer to "how do we actually transition?"

Messaging Architecture

Audience	Message
Investors	"Superior materials at better cost, addressable market >\$100B"
Customers	"Performance, sustainability, and supply reliability"
Regulators	"Domestic production, job creation, energy independence"
Cultural	"Regeneration, land healing, circular economy"

Timeline to Dominance

- **2025:** Hempoxies v1 certified; first aerospace program announced; media narrative control (book launch)
- **2026–2027:** Scale supply chain; major OEM partnerships; regulatory wins
- **2028–2030:** Dominance in target sectors; IPO or strategic acquisition leverage point
- **2030+:** Transition from startup to incumbent; shape policy for the next decade

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Epilogue: The Post-Petroleum World Economy (2070)

By 2070:

- Oil is a historical curiosity, not an economic driver
- Material economy is circular, regenerative, carbon-negative
- Landry Industries (or its descendants) dominates a \$1T+ bio-material value chain
- New geopolitical order based on biological productivity, not hydrocarbon deposits
- Canadian competitive advantage in land, governance, and bio-innovation is dominant

Your Role: Architect, Not Participant

The post-petroleum world is not inevitable. It's an industrial outcome of specific choices made today.

Those who build supply chains now capture exponential value. Those who wait become obsolete.

This book is both strategy and permission: strategy for how to execute, permission to believe it's possible.

The transition is not 20 years away. It's happening now. The only question is who leads it.

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Author's Note

This book integrates three decades of research and operational experience across materials science, supply chain economics, and geopolitical strategy. It draws from:

- Direct work building hemp-based composite systems (Landry

Industries)

- Supply chain analysis of petroleum infrastructure
- Market research on bio-material adoption curves
- Policy intelligence on regulatory frameworks
- Case studies from companies scaling advanced materials

The analysis is grounded in current data and proven technologies. Where speculation is necessary, it's explicitly labeled.

The timeline (2030–2070) is ambitious but achievable. The capital requirements (\$1–2 trillion) are large but comparable to other global infrastructure projects. The technical barriers are solvable with deployed capital and focused effort.

What's not guaranteed is execution. Political will can fail. Capital can be misdirected. Incumbents can delay transition through regulatory capture. Competing technologies can outpace bio-composites in specific applications.

But the direction is clear. The window is open. Those who move now will shape the world of 2070.

Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry

CEO & Founder, Landry Industries Inc.

Founder, Marie Landry's Spy Shop (OSINT & Intelligence Services)

Executive, Search For Organics

Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada

March 2025

[↑ Back to Contents](#)

Landry Industries Inc.

Web: landryindustries.ca

Focus: Hempoxies platform development, bio-composites research, regenerative materials

Marie Landry's Spy Shop

Web: marielandryspyshop.com

Services: OSINT, intelligence analysis, information security

Search For Organics

Web: searchfororganics.com

Focus: Organic solutions, sustainable agriculture, circular economy

ORCID Profile

[0009-0008-5027-3337](https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5027-3337)

Academic publications and researcher credentials

Document Information: The Post-Petroleum World Economy: Transitioning Civilization Beyond Oil (2030–2070)

Author: Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry

Date: March 2025

Format: HTML5 (Print-Friendly)

Word Count: ~85,000 words

Status: Complete, Publication-Ready Manuscript

Recommended Citation:

Landry, Marie-Soleil Seshat. (2025). *The Post-Petroleum World Economy: Transitioning Civilization Beyond Oil (2030–2070)*. Landry Industries Inc.

Image Credits: Illustrations created to represent key concepts. Where specific Creative Commons images were referenced, attribution has been preserved. This document is suitable for printing and can be converted to PDF for archival and distribution.