

LANDRY INDUSTRIES INC. · STRATEGIC RESTITUTION SERIES

UNCLASSIFIED // PRIVATE – FOR INDIGENOUS-LED USE



EVIDENCE · PRECEDENT · REPATRIATION · SOVEREIGNTY

OPERATION

Rob The King

*A Lawful, Evidence-Driven Playbook
for Global Indigenous Restitution*

FORENSIC OSINT ♦ STRATEGIC LITIGATION ♦ LEGISLATIVE REFORM
CULTURAL REPATRIATION ♦ ECONOMIC LEVERAGE

Marie Seshat Landry

FOUNDER · MARIE LANDRY'S SPY SHOP · LANDRY INDUSTRIES INC.

MARIELANDRYSPYSHOP.COM · ORCID 0009-0008-5027-3337 · MONCTON, NEW BRUNSWICK, CANADA · 2026

IMPRINT & PROVENANCE

Operation: Rob The King — A Lawful, Evidence-Driven Playbook for Global Indigenous Restitution. First dossier edition, 2026. Authored by Marie Seshat Landry. Published by Landry Industries Inc., Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada. Distributed via marielandryspyshop.com, Zenodo, and Scribd. ORCID: 0009-0008-5027-3337.

Copyright © 2026 Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry / Landry Industries Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction for educational, legal, advocacy, and Indigenous community use is encouraged with full attribution. This work is published under the OSML-2026 epistemic framework: all factual claims are labelled as verified or projected in accordance with the Landry Hallucination-Free Protocol (LHFP) and the FC/NV (Fact-Constant / Narrative-Variable) architecture.

AI DISCLOSURE. This manuscript was drafted in collaboration with AI systems (ChatGPT, Google Gemini, and Claude) operating under the Landry Hallucination-Free Protocol. Volatile facts — case citations, court dates, repatriation outcomes, and statistical figures — were live-verified against primary and reputable secondary sources prior to finalization. Where a verification source could not be confirmed, the claim was softened, attributed conservatively, or removed. Errors and omissions remain the author’s responsibility.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER. This document is a strategic and educational framework. It is not legal advice and does not create a solicitor–client relationship. The legal precedents, statutes, and procedures described vary by jurisdiction and change over time; all litigation strategy must be directed by qualified counsel admitted in the relevant jurisdiction. Nothing herein constitutes financial advice. The author holds no government position and no contractual relationship with any state agency.

CONSENT & LEADERSHIP. This is not a manual for outsiders to act “on behalf of” Indigenous nations. Every strategy in this dossier is conditioned on the free, prior, and informed consent (FPIC) of the Indigenous Nation whose rights are at the centre of a given claim. The tools are offered to those nations and their chosen allies, to be adapted and deployed under their direction.

METHOD & NON-VIOLENCE. The “robbery” named in the title is intellectual and legal. Every tactic herein is designed to be explicitly lawful, rigorously non-violent, and grounded in open-source evidence and the colonizer’s own archives, ledgers, and courts.

FRONT MATTER • PREFACE

A Lawful, Audacious Challenge

On the deliberate provocation of the title, and the rules of engagement for everything that follows.

The title of this book is designed to provoke. Let us be clear from the outset what it means. The British monarchy — and the web of legal and political apparatus that sustains it across settler states like Canada, Australia, and New Zealand — is not merely a ceremonial relic or a tourist attraction. For generations, it has been the symbolic and legal apex of a system that enabled and continues to profit from the widescale dispossession of Indigenous peoples. From the papal bulls that were rationalized into the Doctrine of Discovery, to the legal fiction of Crown land that vests vast swathes of unceded territory in state authority, the Crown is the entity under which this historic and ongoing injustice has been perpetrated.

This book is not a call to theft or violence. It is a spymaster's playbook for the lawful seizure of legitimacy. It is a meticulous, evidence-driven guide for stripping colonial power of its moral and legal justifications through relentless, coordinated pressure. The objective is to apply legal, political, and financial leverage until structural restitution — land-back, repatriation of cultural treasures, financial reparations, and the recognition of sovereignty — becomes not just a possibility, but a political and economic inevitability.

The "robbery" we are planning is an intellectual and legal one. We will demonstrate, with irrefutable evidence, that the original theft was so vast and so foundational that the only just course of action is its reversal. We will use the colonizer's own tools — their laws, their archives, their financial ledgers, their courts — to dismantle the master's house. We have chosen this title deliberately, to reframe the conversation. The original theft was perpetrated by the Crown; our work is the lawful recovery of stolen assets. The "intelligence" we gather is not covert, but a meticulous assembly of public truth. We use the language of a spy thriller because the stakes are that high, and the strategy must be that precise.

Every strategy, every template, and every tactic detailed within these pages has been designed to be explicitly lawful, rigorously non-violent, and unwaveringly Indigenous-led. This is not a manual for outsiders to act "on behalf of" Indigenous nations. It is a resource to be used by those nations and their allies, a repository of strategies to be adapted and deployed under the direction of the communities to whom the stolen lands, treasures, and sovereignty belong. This is a manual for accountability.

Order of Operations — Contents

FRONT MATTER

—	Preface: A Lawful, Audacious Challenge	i
---	--	---

PART I • THE CASE

01	Why Rob The King? The Moral & Strategic Imperative	1
02	The Anatomy of Theft: Doctrine, Crown Land & the Wealth Divide	2
03	The Legal Levers: Precedent, Declarations & Fiduciary Duty	3

PART II • THE TRADECRAFT

04	The Dossier: Building Evidence with Forensic OSINT	4
05	The Accounting: Quantifying Unjust Enrichment & Reparations	5
06	The Return: The Playbook for Cultural Repatriation	6

PART III • THE CAMPAIGN

07	The Alliance: Architecting a Global Coalition for Justice	7
08	The Lawmaker: Drafting & Advocating for Restitution Legislation	8
09	The Megaphone: Campaign Operations & Narrative Warfare	9
10	The Transition: From Declaration to Governance	10

PART IV • THE ARSENAL

11	Case Studies & Ready-to-Use Templates	11
12	The Roadmap: A 10-Year Plan with Metrics for Success	12
13	The Code: Ethics, Security & Consent	13

APPENDICES & REFERENCE

A	The OSINT Evidence Brief Template	A
B	Model Bill: The Indigenous Land, Rights & Restitution Act	B
C	The Litigation Package	C
D	The Communications Package (Press Kit)	D
E	The Coalition Outreach Package	E

◆ Master Reference List · Further Readings · A Final Note ◆

*For the Elders who carried the maps in memory
when the maps on paper were used against them.*

*For the nations who litigated for decades
so that title would mean the land itself.*

*And for those still waiting
for what was taken to come home.*

PART ONE

I

The Case

*Why the Crown is the right target, how the theft was engineered, and
which legal levers already exist to reverse it.*

OPERATION: ROB THE KING • UNCLASSIFIED // PRIVATE

PART I · THE CASE

CHAPTER 01

Why Rob The King?

The mission centres Indigenous leadership, consent, and self-determination above all else — and reframes "robbery" as an epistemic and legal operation to reveal a foundational theft, quantify the harm, and insist on a remedy.

This playbook aims to empower Indigenous communities, their legal counsel, and the global civil society that stands with them. It is a replicable, high-impact strategy that leverages modern Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT), financial forensics, international human rights law, and targeted mass mobilization to force redress from states and institutions that have long considered themselves immune to accountability.

Foundational Facts: The Pillars of Our Case

Our strategy is not built on rhetoric, but on a bedrock of legal and historical fact. These are the load-bearing pillars of our entire operation.

01 The Doctrine of Discovery and its legacy must be understood. This collection of 15th-century papal bulls and subsequent royal charters provided the pseudo-legal and theological justification for colonial powers to claim sovereignty over the lands of non-Christian peoples. Though it may seem like ancient history, its logic — that Indigenous sovereignty was illegitimate and their lands were therefore available for the taking — was absorbed directly into the common law of nations like the United States and Canada. Modern repudiations, including the crucial 2023 statement from the Vatican itself, do not erase this history, but they do provide powerful moral and political leverage. They are an admission from the doctrine's source that the colonial project was built on a flawed and racist premise.

02 Landmark common-law decisions have already cracked the colonial foundation. The legal landscape is not what it was fifty years ago. Decades of tenacious litigation have produced critical victories. In Canada, the Supreme Court's decision in *Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia* (2014) was a seismic event, granting a declaration of Aboriginal title for the first time and confirming that it is a right to the land itself — including the right to control, manage, and benefit from its resources. In Australia, *Mabo v. Queensland (No 2)* (1992) obliterated the legal fiction of *terra nullius*. These cases are not just victories; they are keys.

03 The scale of dispossession is quantifiable and staggering. The concept of "Crown land" is the structural core of the colonial state's economy. In Canada, public lands administered as Crown land comprise the overwhelming majority of the country's area. This single fact reveals the immense political, legal, and economic stakes of the land-back movement. This is not about small, symbolic parcels; it is about challenging the ownership of the majority of the territory of an entire G7 nation.

Our Strategy in One Paragraph

The operational flow is logical and multifaceted. **First**, we expose the documented theft using forensic OSINT to build unassailable, litigation-grade dossiers. **Second**, where the law provides a path, we litigate for declarations of title or compensation for fiduciary breach. **Third**, in parallel, we litigate and negotiate for the repatriation of cultural property held in museums and collections. **Fourth**, we mobilize focused public and institutional pressure against the pillars of the colonial economy — museums, pension funds, universities, and corporations — to create a political and financial cost for complicity. **Fifth**, we draft and advocate for model legislation that creates permanent, just pathways for land-back and UNDRIP implementation. **Finally**, we support the transition to Indigenous governance with templates for co-management and sustainable development, ensuring that the return of land is durable, ecological, and economically regenerative.

WHO THIS BOOK SERVES

ACCESS • ROLES

This book is a weapon for a specific kind of fight, to be wielded by specific people. It is for **Indigenous Nations and their lawyers** on the front lines of legal battles. It is for **allied NGOs, scholars, policymakers, and campaigners** who understand their role is to support, not to lead. If you are outside these groups, consider this a reference manual for how you can offer meaningful advocacy and hold powerful institutions accountable. This is not a guide for unilateral action. The first and most important principle is consent: all actions must be undertaken with the free, prior, and informed consent of the Indigenous Nation whose rights are at the centre of the claim.

PART I · THE CASE

CHAPTER 02

The Anatomy of Theft

To dismantle a structure, you must possess its blueprint. Dispossession was not a chaotic series of unfortunate events — it was a systematic, multigenerational project with an ideology, a mechanism, and a paper trail.

It was conceived with an ideology, executed through legal and economic mechanisms, and its consequences are meticulously recorded in the ledgers of the modern world. This chapter provides that blueprint.

The Ideological Blueprint: The Doctrine of Discovery

Every great heist needs a justification, a story the perpetrators tell themselves. For the European colonial project, that story was the Doctrine of Discovery. Originating in 15th-century papal bulls like *Dum Diversas* and *Romanus Pontifex*, the doctrine gave Christian monarchs a theological and legal license to "capture, vanquish, and subdue" non-Christians, seize their lands, and reduce them to "perpetual slavery." This was more than a religious decree; it was the creation of a global hierarchy of humanity.

When this doctrine was carried across the Atlantic, it morphed into a legal principle. In the foundational U.S. Supreme Court case *Johnson v. M'Intosh* (1823), the court explicitly incorporated the doctrine into American property law, holding that European nations had assumed "ultimate dominion" over the lands of North America, and that Indigenous peoples retained only a right of "occupancy" which the colonizing sovereign could extinguish. This legal maneuver was the lynchpin of the entire colonial project. The land was, in the eyes of the colonizer's law, already the property of a distant monarch. The Vatican's formal repudiation of the doctrine in 2023 was a momentous admission, but it did not undo the centuries of law and property rights built upon its flawed foundation.

The Legal Mechanism: Crown Land & Terra Nullius

With the ideological justification in place, the colonizers needed a practical legal tool for the mass transfer of property. In Australia, this was the doctrine of *terra nullius* — the declaration that the land was empty, belonging to no one, and therefore free for the taking. This was a deliberate and cynical legal fiction, ignoring the complex societies, legal systems, and land management practices of Aboriginal peoples.

In Canada and other Commonwealth realms, the mechanism was the assertion of underlying Crown title. The Crown declared itself the ultimate owner of all land by virtue of its sovereignty; Indigenous title was, at best, a "burden" on this Crown title. This legal sleight of hand allowed the colonial government to treat entire continents as a blank slate. It created a single, centralized owner — the Crown — which could then grant or sell parcels to settlers, railroad companies, and resource

extraction corporations. Repeated over millions of square kilometres, this is how the wealth of continents was systematically transferred from Indigenous hands into the colonial state's treasury.

The Consequence: The Data of Dispossession

The result of this systematic project is not a matter of historical debate. It is a quantifiable, present-day reality. The numbers tell the story with chilling clarity — they are the receipts of the crime.

96%

OF PRIVATE U.S. AGRICULTURAL
LAND OWNED BY WHITE PEOPLE

USDA Economic Research Service, 1999

<0.5%

SHARE OF ANCESTRAL LANDS RE-
TAINED BY INDIGENOUS PEOPLES IN
SOUTHERN CANADA

Amnesty International, 2009

~10×

MEDIAN WHITE HOUSEHOLD WEALTH
VS. BLACK HOUSEHOLD (\$250,400 /
\$24,520)

U.S. Census Bureau, 2021 data (2024
release)

Cultural Disparity

The theft was not limited to land; it extended to the very soul of Indigenous cultures. While it is impossible to count every stolen mask, every sacred bundle, and every set of ancestral remains held in the vaults of colonial institutions, Interpol's reporting that hundreds of thousands of cultural objects are seized globally in a single year gives a glimpse of the scale of the illicit trade. The lack of a global database is a feature, not a bug; it is a veil of institutional secrecy that our operation is designed to pierce.

These statistics are not just background information. They are the core of our argument. In any legal case, one must prove liability and then quantify the damages. The historical and legal analysis proves liability. **This data quantifies the damages.**

PART I • THE CASE

CHAPTER 03

The Legal Levers

The law was the primary instrument of dispossession. Through decades of Indigenous struggle, it has also become a battleground where master keys have been cut.

These victories have created cracks in the colonial legal edifice. This chapter is about identifying those cracks and using them as levers to pry the entire structure apart. These are the legal tools of our operation.

Landmark Precedents: The Keys to the Courtroom

A legal precedent is a court decision that acts as a binding authority for future cases. In our work, a few key cases are not just authorities; they are master keys that have unlocked entirely new possibilities.

THE GAME-CHANGER • CANADA • 2014

Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia

The most powerful legal weapon in the Canadian arsenal. Before this case, Aboriginal title was largely theoretical. The Tsilhqot'in Nation achieved what was thought impossible: a judicial declaration of Aboriginal title over a specific, expansive territory. The Supreme Court affirmed that title is a robust, territorial right to the land itself — the right to decide how the land is used, to benefit from its resources, and to proactively manage it. The decision provides a clear, three-part test for proving title (sufficient, continuous, and exclusive occupation prior to the assertion of sovereignty) that now forms the basis of our litigation strategy.

THE FOUNDATION-SHAKER • AUSTRALIA • 1992

Mabo v. Queensland (No 2)

For two centuries, Australian law was built on the lie of *terra nullius*. The Mabo decision, brought by Eddie Mabo and other Meriam people, demolished that foundation. The High Court acknowledged that native title is a real, pre-existing right that originates from traditional laws and customs, and that it survived the assertion of British sovereignty. Mabo did not create native title; it recognized that it had always been there — a foundational model for overturning a core doctrine invented to justify dispossession.

THE RULE-CHANGER • CANADA • 1997

Delgamuukw v. British Columbia

A crucial precursor to Tsilhqot'in. While it did not result in a declaration of title, it fundamentally changed the rules of evidence in Aboriginal law. The Supreme Court ruled that oral history is a valid and essential form of evidence, equal in weight to the written documents of the colonizers. This precedent allows us to build dossiers not just from dusty archives, but from the living knowledge of the communities themselves.

International Law: UNDRIP

While domestic court precedents are our sharpest legal tools, the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) is our global moral and political compass. Adopted by the UN General Assembly in 2007, it represents the global consensus on the minimum standards for the survival, dignity, and well-being of Indigenous peoples. While direct enforceability varies by country — Canada has passed federal legislation to align its laws with UNDRIP — its political power is immense.

- ◆ **Article 26** unequivocally states that "Indigenous peoples have the right to the lands, territories and resources which they have traditionally owned, occupied or otherwise used or acquired." This is a direct refutation of the concept of Crown land.
- ◆ **Article 32** enshrines Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC): states have a positive obligation to obtain genuine consent before approving any project — mine, pipeline, or dam — that affects Indigenous lands and resources.

The Crown's Fiduciary Duty: The Legal Trap

In its relationship with Indigenous peoples, the Crown assigned itself a special role: that of a trustee, or fiduciary. This means the Crown has a legally enforceable obligation to act in the best interests of Indigenous peoples, particularly in matters concerning their lands. This duty, intended as a shield, can be turned into a sword.

Every time the Crown has authorized a logging permit, granted a mining lease, or allowed a dam to be built on unceded territory without consent and without proper compensation, it has arguably breached its fiduciary duty. This breach is a distinct cause of action. A claim for breach of fiduciary duty can be brought alongside a title claim, seeking financial damages for the economic harms caused by the Crown's mismanagement. It is a legal trap of the Crown's own making, and we will use it to demand an accounting for centuries of broken promises.

PART TWO

II

The Tradecraft

*The forensic core of the operation: building litigation-grade dossiers,
quantifying unjust enrichment, and running repatriation campaigns.*

OPERATION: ROB THE KING • UNCLASSIFIED // PRIVATE

PART II · THE TRDECRAFT

CHAPTER 04

The Dossier

The heart of any successful intelligence operation is not its audacity, but the quality of its intelligence.

A claim is only as strong as the evidence that underpins it.

This chapter provides the methodology for creating our core operational unit: the litigation-grade OSINT Evidence Brief. This is not a mere collection of documents; it is a structured, multi-layered, self-contained intelligence product designed to prove a claim with such overwhelming force that it becomes difficult to refute.

The OSINT Evidence Brief: A Step-by-Step Structure

This template provides a standardized structure for research and reporting. Each dossier — whether focused on a land claim or a cultural artifact — follows this format to ensure rigor, clarity, and strategic utility.

- 01 Cover & Summary.** The one-page entry point. Concise and powerful: the official title of the dossier, a one-paragraph summary of the core claim ("The [Nation] asserts unextinguished Aboriginal title to the 1,500 sq km territory of the Clearwater Watershed..."), and the specific remedy sought. It concludes with a provenance statement naming the preparers and the date, to establish a professional chain of custody.
- 02 Provenance & Legal History.** The narrative core. Here you become a reverse-chronological detective, constructing an unbroken chain of evidence from the present day back to the time before colonial contact. Document pre-contact use and governance; trace colonial encroachment step-by-step; analyze treaty text against oral understandings; locate the original Crown grants; digitize colonial survey maps; detail the impact of statutes like the Indian Act. Every document must be cited with its archival reference number and repository.
- 03 OSINT Datasets (The Raw Intelligence).** Organized, verifiable, and where possible machine-readable.
 - ◆ **Geospatial Intelligence.** Using GIS, layer historical evidence onto modern landscapes. Georeference 19th-century maps and overlay them on current satellite imagery. Map sacred sites, place names, and family traplines from oral history. Then overlay public-database shapefiles for every modern mining lease, timber license, and oil-and-gas permit — a map of the crime scene.
 - ◆ **Public Records Intelligence.** Scour provincial and federal registries for resource extraction permits, their dates, monetary values, and holders. Use corporate registries to identify directors and beneficial owners — vital for shareholder activism and divestment.
 - ◆ **Archival & Museum Intelligence.** For cultural property, obtain and digitize museum accession logs detailing how and when an object entered a collection. Hunt for the original collector's field notes, diaries, and shipping manifests — documents that often contain the unguarded truth about how an object was acquired.

- 04 Witness & Oral History Summary (Confidential Annex).** Treated with the highest care. Summaries of recorded testimony from Elders and knowledge keepers, each linked to a formal consent document, with notes on cultural protocol. Since *Delgamuukw*, this testimony is admissible evidence that can prove the continuous occupation required for a title claim.
- 05 Financial Forensic Summary.** A conservative, high-level calculation of the unjust enrichment derived from the territory, with the full methodology detailed in the next chapter.
- 06 Legal Theories & Remedies.** The legal battle plan, explicitly connecting evidence to argument: "The evidence of continuous occupation in Sections 2 and 4 meets the test for Aboriginal title established in *Tsilhqot'in*." It also outlines claims for breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment, and references relevant UNDRIP articles.
- 07 Recommended Next Steps.** An intelligence product is useless without a plan to act on it. Lodge a legal claim, send a repatriation demand letter, file a barrage of Freedom of Information requests, or launch a targeted public pressure campaign.

By following this rigorous process, we transform a historical grievance — often dismissed as mere political rhetoric — into a modern, actionable intelligence package ready for immediate legal or political deployment.

PART II · THE TRADecraft

CHAPTER 05

The Accounting

Restitution is not only the moral imperative to return what was stolen; it is the legal and economic imperative to account for the wealth that theft generated.

"Unjust enrichment" is a powerful legal concept. It applies when one party — here, the Crown and its corporate partners — has been enriched at the expense of another — the Indigenous Nation — under circumstances the law sees as unjust. This chapter provides a forensic methodology for calculating that enrichment and building a defensible reparations claim.

A Four-Step Forensic Process

This process is designed to be conservative, evidence-based, and transparent, producing a final number that can withstand scrutiny in a courtroom or a negotiation.

- 01 Identify and isolate the revenue streams.** Using the completed OSINT dossier as your guide, identify all major economic activities on the claimed territory since the Crown asserted control. Look for direct revenues generated from the land and its resources — the most common streams are timber (logging licenses and stumpage fees), minerals (tenures and royalties on gold, copper, coal, oil, gas), hydroelectric power, and direct land sales and leases.
- 02 Gather public financial data.** The key to this process is its reliance on the Crown's own records. You are not inventing numbers; you are holding the government to account for figures it has itself published — historical annual reports from ministries of forests, mines, and lands; official Public Accounts; Crown corporation financial statements; and historical commodity prices for calculating royalties.
- 03 Calculate historical value and convert to present-day value.** First, for each year, build a ledger of total revenue generated from the territory across all streams. Second, calculate the present-day value of that wealth. A dollar of mining revenue from 1925 is not the same as a dollar today. Using a standard economic formula — combining the cumulative rate of inflation with a conservative rate of return (e.g. the long-term bond rate) — you can calculate what that historical revenue would be worth today if it had been invested and grown over time. This conversion reveals the true, staggering scale of the economic loss.
- 04 Formulate the claim.** The final, present-day value is the foundation of your unjust enrichment claim. It is not an emotional appeal; it is a forensic accounting representing the minimum the Crown and its partners have profited from using the Nation's lands without consent. This becomes a non-negotiable starting point for reparations negotiations and can be used in court to demand equitable compensation. It transforms abstract "economic harm" into a concrete, multi-million or multi-billion dollar figure that is impossible to ignore. **It is the price tag of dispossession.**

METHODOLOGICAL DISCIPLINE (LHFP)

VERIFY · CONSERVATIVE

Every figure entering the ledger must be traceable to a published government or archival source and cited with its repository reference. Where a number cannot be verified, it is excluded rather than estimated upward. Conservatism is not weakness; a defensible floor that survives cross-examination is worth more than an aggressive figure that collapses under scrutiny.

PART II · THE TRADecraft

CHAPTER 06

The Return

The theft was not confined to land and resources. It was a theft of soul, of heritage, of identity. Repatriation is a non-negotiable pillar of restorative justice.

The vaults of museums in London, Paris, Berlin, New York, and Ottawa are filled with the material evidence of this theft: sacred masks, ceremonial regalia, carved house posts, and the ancestral remains of Indigenous peoples. This chapter provides a clear, escalating playbook for planning and executing a successful repatriation campaign.

Phase 1 — The Provenance Dossier (Intelligence)

Success in repatriation begins with quiet, meticulous intelligence work. Before any public demand, build an unassailable case proving two fundamental points:

- ◆ **Unbroken connection.** Demonstrate the object's direct and unique connection to your specific community. Cross-reference the original collector's field notes (which often name the family or clan from whom the object was taken) with community genealogies. Find archival photos of the object in ceremony. Use linguistic analysis to show that symbols correspond to your Nation's language and cosmology.
- ◆ **Lack of consent.** Demonstrate the object was acquired without the free, prior, and informed consent of legitimate authorities. Look for evidence of coercion: was it "collected" during a famine, when the community was under duress? Seized during the potlatch ban, when practicing culture was a crime? "Purchased" from someone with no authority to sell a communal treasure? The absence of a clear record of ethical consent is, in itself, powerful evidence.

Phase 2 — The Formal Approach (Diplomacy)

- ◆ **Cite international standards.** Ground your opening communication in the institution's own stated ethics. The ICOM Code of Ethics for Museums and various UNESCO conventions are your primary leverage; they state that museums have an ethical responsibility to act on repatriation requests in good faith. You are not asking for a favour; you are asking the museum to adhere to the global standards of its own profession.
- ◆ **Draft a formal request.** Send a registered letter to the museum's director and board. Polite, firm, evidence-based: state who you represent, which objects you claim, and a high-level summary of your provenance dossier. Make a clear, unambiguous request for unconditional repatriation. Avoid being bogged down in long-term loans or digital reproductions at this stage — the opening position is always full return.

Phase 3 — The Public Pressure Campaign (Asymmetric)

If the institution stalls, refuses to engage, offers an insulting compromise, or rejects your claim, you escalate — turning the museum's greatest assets, its public reputation and reliance on donors, into its greatest vulnerabilities.

- ◆ **Go public with the evidence.** Release a public-facing version of your provenance dossier. Build a simple, compelling website or social campaign that tells the story of the object and its acquisition. Share it with journalists who cover arts, culture, and human rights. Move the conversation from a private dispute into a public scandal.
- ◆ **Target the pillars of support.** The board and major donors are highly sensitive to negative publicity; address them with a polite but persistent campaign. Engage university anthropology and history departments to sign public letters of support. The annual fundraising gala is the institution's most public moment — a peaceful, dignified information picket or a well-timed op-ed can be remarkably effective.
- ◆ **Legislative pressure.** Use the public attention to advocate for government policies that create and fund national repatriation mechanisms. Frame repatriation not as museum policy, but as national reconciliation and human rights.

This escalating, multi-pronged approach ensures an institution cannot simply ignore a repatriation claim. It raises the cost of inaction until returning the stolen heritage becomes the most logical and least painful course of action.

PART THREE

III

The Campaign

Coalition architecture, model legislation, narrative warfare, and the transition from a legal victory to durable Indigenous governance.

OPERATION: ROB THE KING • UNCLASSIFIED // PRIVATE

PART III • THE CAMPAIGN

CHAPTER 07

The Alliance

A single voice can be ignored; a single lawsuit can be buried in appeals. A coordinated global alliance cannot be dismissed. This operation is a network, not a hierarchy.

Its power comes from the breadth and strength of its coalition. This chapter lays out the architecture for building that alliance — a structure designed for resilience, agility, and collective power.

The Central Council (The Strategic Core)

This is the operation's strategic nerve centre. It is not an external body that directs Indigenous nations; it is a council *of* those nations. The fundamental rule is that the Central Council must hold a clear majority of seats for representatives from partner Indigenous Nations. This structural guarantee ensures that every priority, campaign, and strategic decision is made under Indigenous leadership. The Council sets overall direction, approves major campaigns, allocates resources from the central fund, and ensures every action adheres to the ethical code.

Advisory Panels (Specialized Expertise)

- ◆ **Legal Advisory.** A brain trust of the sharpest legal minds: constitutional lawyers, international human rights experts, and counsel directly involved in precedent-setting cases like Tsilhqot'in and Mabo. They vet strategies, advise on jurisdiction, and war-game the Crown's arguments.
- ◆ **Technical Advisory.** The OSINT and forensics engine room: forensic accountants, GIS specialists, university archivists, and digital security experts who build the dossiers and secure the data.
- ◆ **Elders Council.** The ethical and cultural heart. Respected Elders and knowledge keepers provide cultural guidance, oversee all protocols for sacred knowledge and oral histories, and keep the operation spiritually grounded and accountable to ancestors and future generations. Their wisdom is not merely advisory; it is foundational.

The Partners (The Global Network)

- ◆ **Indigenous Legal Teams & Networks (The Vanguard).** The primary actors on the front lines — organizations like the Assembly of First Nations, the National Native Title Council in Australia, and the in-house legal teams of individual Tribal Nations — who ultimately lead specific claims. Our role is to provide resources, intelligence, and a coordinated global platform.

- ◆ **NGOs & International Bodies (The Amplifiers).** Partners like IWGIA, Cultural Survival, and Amnesty International — our megaphones, with the global reach to turn a local land claim into an international human rights issue and submit shadow reports to UN bodies.
- ◆ **Academic Partners (The Researchers).** Formal partnerships with law faculties, history departments, data science programs, and cartography labs — research support, database access, and a pipeline of expert witnesses.
- ◆ **Funders & Allies (The Enablers).** Philanthropic foundations seeding the Land-Back and Restitution Fund, plus allies in the investment world — pension fund managers, endowment officers, and shareholder advocacy groups — who execute economic pressure campaigns.

Building this alliance requires methodical, respectful outreach. We begin by forging formal Memoranda of Understanding with initial partners, clearly defining roles, responsibilities, and protocols for data sharing and decision-making. This is not a loose association; it is a disciplined, global network built for a long-term campaign.

PART III • THE CAMPAIGN

CHAPTER 08

The Lawmaker

*Court cases establish groundbreaking precedents, but they are an imperfect tool for systemic change.
The ultimate goal is to change the system itself — to write new, just laws.*

A single lawsuit can take more than a decade and cost millions. Winning justice one case at a time is too slow and too expensive. This chapter provides a model law and a political strategy for getting it passed.

The Model Bill: Indigenous Land, Rights & Restitution Act

This model bill (full schematic in Appendix B) is a comprehensive legislative template designed for a federal jurisdiction like Canada. It is a principled framework, not a final draft, adaptable to any settler state. Its key features remedy the most significant flaws in current land-claims and restitution processes.

- ◆ **Preamble that tells the truth.** It formally recognizes UNDRIP as the framework for reconciliation and explicitly acknowledges that historical dispossession was a profound injustice and a breach of the Crown's fiduciary duty.
- ◆ **The Indigenous Land Review Commission.** A new, independent, non-adversarial body to hear and facilitate land claims, with a majority of Indigenous commissioners appointed with the consent of Indigenous nations. It moves the process out of the colonial court system and into a forum of expert peers.
- ◆ **A statutory transfer mechanism.** The enforcement clause — a legal obligation for the government to act on the Commission's recommendations, requiring the responsible Minister to initiate a formal transfer within a set timeframe (e.g. 90 days).
- ◆ **An automatic moratorium on new development.** The moment a claim is accepted for review, an automatic moratorium halts all new industrial and extractive permits in that territory — stopping the "race to the bottom" where corporations rush to extract before a claim resolves.
- ◆ **Mandatory provenance audits for museums.** All federally funded institutions must conduct a comprehensive, publicly available provenance audit of their Indigenous collections within 24 months, piercing the veil of institutional secrecy.
- ◆ **The Indigenous Land-Back and Restitution Fund.** A dedicated, permanent federal fund to finance claims research (levelling the playing field with the state) and transitional governance capacity for returned lands.

The Advocacy Strategy: The Inside/Outside Game

The Inside Game

- ◆ **Find a political champion** — a respected MP or Senator to sponsor the bill.
- ◆ **Build a cross-party caucus** — frame the bill as fundamental human rights and national reconciliation, not a partisan issue, insulating it from attack.
- ◆ **Provide expert testimony** — ensure committees hear evidence-based testimony from Indigenous leaders, constitutional lawyers, and human rights experts.

The Outside Game

- ◆ **Launch a public campaign** — petitions, letter-writing, and town halls in strategic electoral districts.
- ◆ **Secure endorsements** — from trade unions, religious organizations, human rights groups, and prominent public figures.
- ◆ **Media pressure** — consistent, positive coverage of the bill's purpose and progress.

This dual strategy is a pincer movement: the inside game makes it easy for politicians to do the right thing, while the outside game makes it very difficult for them to do the wrong thing.

PART III • THE CAMPAIGN

CHAPTER 09

The Megaphone

An unassailable legal case and a mountain of evidence are not sufficient to win. We operate in a contested information environment, and we must win the battle of the story.

Our opponents have vast resources to shape opinion, frame restitution as radical, and dismiss our claims as historical grievances with no place in the modern world. This chapter details the strategy for a high-impact campaign that seizes and holds the narrative high ground.

The Core Message: Framing the Narrative

Every successful campaign rests on a simple, powerful, morally resonant message, disciplined and repeated across all platforms.

MESSAGE DISCIPLINE

TWO FRAMES

"This is not a handout; it is a refund." This counters the attack that portrays reparations and land-back as welfare or special treatment. It reframes the issue as economic justice: a debt is owed for centuries of stolen land and extracted wealth, and we are here, with the receipts, to collect.

"This is not theft; it is the return of stolen property." This co-opts the provocative language of the operation's title and turns it back on our opponents, establishing moral authority and positioning the Crown and museums as the ones in possession of stolen goods.

The Toolkit: The Arsenal of Persuasion

- ◆ **The Press Release.** The foundational tool for major announcements — short, powerful, with a compelling quote from leadership, for immediate distribution to a targeted journalist list.
- ◆ **The Launch Video.** A 90-second, high-impact piece — archival footage, modern graphics, and a powerful voiceover telling the whole story in a format designed to be shared.
- ◆ **The Fact Sheet & Infographics.** In a complex debate, the side with the clearest facts wins. A one-page fact sheet and striking infographics arm supporters with the ammunition to make our case online and in their communities.

The 6-Week Launch Plan

PHASED COMMUNICATIONS OFFENSIVE

Week	Phase	Action
Week 0	The Teaser	Build anticipation with cryptic social posts and a countdown timer.
Week 1	The Launch	Coordinated drop of press release and launch video; leadership available for interviews; pinned mission thread with citations.
Week 2	The Evidence	Release the public summary of the first OSINT dossier with interactive maps of territory and resource permits.
Week 3	The Policy	Publish a clear summary of the model legislation; tag supportive politicians to call for committee hearings.
Week 4	The Culture	Focus on a single compelling repatriation claim; launch a public petition and tag the holding museum.
Week 5	The Coalition	Host a public webinar showcasing Indigenous leaders, legal experts, and international allies.
Week 6	The Ask	Mobilize the engaged audience: donate to the Land-Back Fund, write to representatives, join targeted institutional campaigns.

Advanced Operations: Economic Levers

- ◆ **Shareholder Activism.** For any publicly traded corporation operating on contested land without consent, work with allied shareholder groups. By purchasing a small number of shares, you gain the legal right to file shareholder proposals voted on at the annual general meeting — demanding policies respecting Indigenous rights and FPIC, forcing a debate inside the boardroom.
- ◆ **Divestment Campaigns.** Identify major investors — public pension funds, university endowments, banks — and argue that investing in companies that violate Indigenous rights is unethical and a material risk, given future litigation and operational disruption. Make it more expensive to continue complicity than to change behaviour.

PART III • THE CAMPAIGN

CHAPTER 10

The Transition

A victory in court or a signed land-back agreement is not the finish line. It is the firing of the starting pistol for the next, more complex phase of the work.

For generations, colonial policy was designed not only to steal land, but to systematically dismantle Indigenous governance structures. Restitution must therefore be about more than the return of a property title; it must restore the capacity for self-governance.

The Key Challenges of Transition

- ◆ **Jurisdictional complexity.** Transferring legal authority over a territory — land use planning, environmental regulation, policing, emergency services, taxation — cannot happen overnight.
- ◆ **Capacity building.** After centuries of the Indian Act and dependency-fostering policies, many nations need significant resources to rebuild the administrative and technical institutions required to manage a modern land base.
- ◆ **Economic sustainability.** The goal is not a territory dependent on government transfers, but a vibrant, sustainable economic base aligned with cultural values and ecological principles.

A Blueprint for Sovereignty

- 01 Negotiate a phased transfer agreement.** After victory, re-enter negotiation with the Crown not as a claimant but as a recognized government. Negotiate a multi-year Transition Agreement: in Years 1–2 the Nation might assume authority over environmental monitoring and cultural heritage; in Years 3–5, land use planning and resource management — each phase linked to a clear transfer of funding.
- 02 Develop co-management as an interim step.** Where helpful, create a Co-Management Board with equal representation from the Nation and the Crown, allowing a gradual assumption of control and transfer of knowledge while essential services continue uninterrupted.
- 03 Establish a Land Code and modern governance.** With support from the Land-Back and Restitution Fund, draft and ratify a Land Code — the Nation's own law for how its lands are managed, protected, and used — and staff the bodies to implement it: a land registry, an environmental agency, a resource management authority.
- 04 Promote Indigenous-led conservation and stewardship economies.**
 - ◆ **Indigenous Protected and Conserved Areas (IPCAs)** — securing funding to establish and manage IPCAs that create jobs in stewardship, monitoring, and eco-tourism while protecting biodiversity.

- ◆ **Cultural Tourism** — world-class, community-owned experiences that share the Nation's culture on its own terms.
- ◆ **Sustainable Resource Management** — community-owned sustainable forestry, run-of-river micro-hydro, or ethical fisheries under the Nation's own environmental laws.

The ultimate objective is to ensure that land-back is not a symbolic act of returning a piece of paper, but a real, tangible transfer of power that leads to a healthier environment, a stronger culture, and a self-determining future.

PART FOUR

IV

The Arsenal

Field-ready case studies, a decade-long roadmap with public KPIs, and the non-negotiable ethical and security code that governs the whole operation.

OPERATION: ROB THE KING • UNCLASSIFIED // PRIVATE

PART IV · THE ARSENAL

CHAPTER 11

Case Studies & Templates

Theory and strategy are essential, but victory is achieved through execution. This chapter is the operational arsenal of the entire playbook.

The documents in the appendices represent a complete starter kit for a restitution campaign: legal templates for the courts and legislatures, communications templates for the public narrative, and outreach templates for building alliances. Use them not as rigid formulas, but as adaptable frameworks for the unique legal, political, and cultural context of your specific struggle.

Case Study A — A Hypothetical Land Title Claim

The River First Nation & the Clearwater Watershed

Illustrative scenario. The River First Nation's ancestral territory includes the Clearwater Watershed, a pristine valley now targeted for a proposed open-pit copper mine. For two years, the Nation works with technical advisors to build an OSINT dossier, layering Elders' oral-history maps of hunting grounds and sacred sites over the company's proposed mine plan. Using the forensic accounting method, they calculate that the province has already unjustly earned over \$200 million (present-day value) from logging in the watershed without consent.

With the dossier complete, they file a Statement of Claim seeking a declaration of Aboriginal title and an immediate injunction halting exploratory drilling. Simultaneously, they launch a public campaign — releasing the executive summary and a powerful video of an Elder speaking about the sacred importance of the river. "Save the Clearwater" goes viral. Facing a major lawsuit and immense public pressure, the company's investors grow nervous. The Nation uses its shareholder status to file a proposal demanding the company respect FPIC. The provincial government, facing a legal and political crisis, is forced to the negotiating table. The lesson: integrate legal action with a sophisticated public campaign.

Case Study B — A Real-World Repatriation Victory

The Ni'isjoohl Memorial Pole & the Nisga'a Nation

This analyzes a real, successful campaign: the return of the Ni'isjoohl Memorial Pole to the Nisga'a Nation from the National Museum of Scotland. The pole was taken in 1929 by an ethnographer. For decades, the Nisga'a Nation worked to secure its return, integrating several strategies from this playbook: meticulous provenance research proving the pole's origin and the history of its removal; years of patient, determined diplomacy directly with the museum; and, when that stalled, elevation of the issue and the securing of governmental support. They framed it not as a request but as a matter of justice and reconciliation. In 2023, after years of pressure, the museum's board agreed to return the pole — demonstrating how persistence, clear evidence, and strategic alliances can achieve a victory once thought impossible.

ARSENAL INDEX

SEE APPENDICES A–E

Legal: the model bill (Appendix B) and a sample Statement of Claim for an Aboriginal title action (Appendix C). **Communications:** a complete press kit — press release, video script, and fact sheet (Appendix D). **Outreach:** sample emails for contacting Indigenous Nations, NGOs, and museums (Appendix E). **Evidence:** the OSINT Evidence Brief template (Appendix A).

PART IV · THE ARSENAL

CHAPTER 12

The Roadmap

This is not a short-term project; it is a multigenerational struggle that requires a long-term vision and a disciplined plan with Key Performance Indicators.

This roadmap is divided into three distinct phases, each with clear goals and KPIs. All KPIs are tracked on a live, public-facing dashboard — a tool of radical transparency that shows successes and setbacks in real time, lets supporters see the impact of their contributions, and serves as a constant source of pressure on our opponents.

Phase 1 · Foundation & Activation

YEARS 1–3

Goals. Establish the Central Council and coalition. Complete the first 5–10 pilot OSINT dossiers. Launch the public-facing campaign. File the first precedent-setting lawsuits. Table the model legislation in at least one jurisdiction.

20

formal coalition partners

\$5M

seed funding for the Land-Back Fund

100M

global media impressions at launch

10

repatriation requests submitted

Phase 2 · Escalation & Systemic Impact

YEARS 3–7

Goals. Secure a major court victory affirming or expanding the Tsilhqot'in precedent. See model legislation passed into law in one jurisdiction. Achieve the repatriation of at least one major collection. Scale the dossier program to support dozens of Nations.

5M ha

land under active, funded litigation

500

artifacts & ancestral remains repatriated

\$1B

institutional funds committed to divestment

\$10M

annual operating budget of the Fund

Phase 3 • Institutionalization & Sustainability

YEARS 7–10

Goals. See the structures of the model bill become permanent, independent institutions. Formalize the global coalition into a self-sustaining alliance. Shift from adversarial campaigns to supporting the governance and stewardship of returned lands.

1M ha

land fully returned to Indigenous jurisdiction

\$500M

financial reparations negotiated

10

IPCA's established on returned lands

50%

reduction in average claim resolution time

This roadmap is ambitious, but achievable. It provides a clear, measurable, strategic path from our current reality of dispossession to a future of justice, restitution, and self-determination.

PART IV · THE ARSENAL

CHAPTER 13

The Code

This work is not a game. It deals with sacred cultural information, the trauma of entire communities, and powerful opponents. Our operation must be governed by a strict, non-negotiable ethical and security code.

Adherence to this code is not optional. It is the ethical bedrock of our entire mission. It is what separates us from our opponents. It is the source of our moral authority and our ultimate strength.

The Core Principle: Self-Determination & Consent

Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC). No action is ever taken, no dossier compiled, no campaign launched, and no statement made without the free, prior, and informed consent of the partner Indigenous Nation whose rights are at the centre of the issue. This is not a box-ticking exercise. We provide tools and expertise; the Nation directs the strategy. They own their data. They own their story. They own their fight. Without their explicit leadership, we do not act — to do otherwise would replicate the very colonial model of acting "on behalf of" Indigenous peoples without their consent.

Cultural Safety & Protocol

- ◆ **Cultural competency.** All non-Indigenous researchers, lawyers, and partners must undergo rigorous training in cultural safety and the history of the specific nations they work with. Humility and a willingness to learn are mandatory.
- ◆ **The authority of Elders.** The guidance of the Elders Council is paramount in all matters involving sacred knowledge, oral history, ceremonies, and ancestral remains. Their wisdom is a directive, not a suggestion.
- ◆ **Respect for protocol.** Every community has its own protocols for handling sensitive information. These must be learned, documented, and respected at all times.

Digital Security & Information Protection

Our opponents have significant surveillance capabilities. We must operate with the discipline of a professional intelligence agency.

- ◆ **Secure communications.** All sensitive internal communications take place on end-to-end encrypted platforms like Signal; avoid sensitive details over regular email or phone.
- ◆ **Data encryption and storage.** All research data, legal documents, financial records, and witness testimony are stored in a secure, encrypted database with multi-factor authentication and strict, need-to-know access controls.

- ◆ **Anonymity & source protection.** Maintain the technical and legal means to protect community members, researchers, or whistleblowers vulnerable to professional or personal backlash.

Risk Management & Contingency Planning

- ◆ **Legal defense.** Maintain a dedicated legal defense fund and a network of pro-bono lawyers to defend against predictable attacks, such as SLAPP suits designed to silence through costly litigation.
- ◆ **Psychological & spiritual support.** This work can be deeply re-traumatizing for community members recounting stories of dispossession and violence. Ensure access to culturally appropriate mental health, wellness, and spiritual support throughout.
- ◆ **Contingency planning for backlash.** Prepare rapid-response communications plans to counter predictable negative coverage, political attacks, and corporate misinformation.

THE SHIELD

This code is our shield. It ensures that we conduct this vital work with the honour, integrity, and moral clarity necessary to achieve a just and lasting victory. It ensures that the way we win is as important as the win itself.

APPENDICES

A—E

The Field Kit

Ready-to-adapt templates: the OSINT evidence brief, the model bill, the litigation package, the press kit, and the coalition outreach scripts.

APPENDIX A • EVIDENCE

The OSINT Evidence Brief Template

A standardized structure for litigation-grade intelligence products.

OSINT DOSSIER – [SUBJECT, e.g. CROWN LAND PARCEL X • ANCESTRAL TITLE CLAIM OF THE ABC NATION]

DATE: [date of finalization] CLASSIFICATION: [Confidential / For Public Release]

Section	Contents
A • Cover & Summary	One-paragraph summary of the claim and the requested remedy (1 page).
B • Executive Summary	Key findings, map snapshots, estimated economic valuation, recommended next actions (1–2 pages).
C • Provenance & Legal History	Chronological chain of title and use, pre-contact to present, citing all archival sources.
D • OSINT Datasets	Annexes: geospatial files, georeferenced historical maps, public registry extracts, corporate records, museum accession logs.
E • Witness & Oral History	Confidential annex — summaries of recorded Elder testimony, with consent forms and cultural protocol notes.
F • Financial Forensic Summary	Conservative present-value calculation of resource revenues derived from the parcel.
G • Legal Theories & Remedies	Clear statement of legal routes, citing precedents and UNDRIP standards.
H • Recommended Next Steps	Operational plan for litigation, negotiation, and/or public advocacy.

APPENDIX B • LEGISLATION

Model Bill — Indigenous Land, Rights & Restitution Act

An Act to implement UNDRIP and establish a national framework for the restitution of Indigenous lands and the repatriation of cultural property.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS the Government of [Country] recognizes the inherent rights of Indigenous Peoples; AND WHEREAS [Country] is a signatory to UNDRIP; AND WHEREAS historical colonial practices have resulted in the widespread dispossession of Indigenous Peoples; NOW THEREFORE, [Head of State], by and with the advice and consent of the [Legislative Bodies], enacts as follows.

Part 1 — General Provisions

- ◆ **1.1 Short Title.** This Act may be cited as the Indigenous Land, Rights, and Restitution Act.
- ◆ **1.2 Purpose.** To provide a statutory framework for the transparent and efficient return of Crown lands, the repatriation of Indigenous cultural property, and a just, non-adversarial process for recognizing Indigenous title and rights. Defines "Crown land," "cultural property," and "Indigenous Nation."

Part 2 — Land Restitution & Title Recognition

- ◆ **2.1 Indigenous Land Review Commission.** An independent Commission, composed of a majority of Indigenous Commissioners, with power to receive claims, issue recommendations, and mediate disputes.
- ◆ **2.2 Statutory Transfer Mechanism.** Where the Commission recommends transfer, the Minister shall, within ninety (90) days, initiate a formal process to transfer beneficial ownership and jurisdiction.
- ◆ **2.3 Moratorium on Crown Land Activity.** A moratorium on all new industrial and extractive permits on Crown lands subject to an active claim before the Commission or a court.

Part 3 — Cultural Property Repatriation

- ◆ **3.1 Mandatory Provenance Audits.** All federally funded museums, archives, and universities shall, within 24 months, conduct a comprehensive, publicly available provenance audit of all Indigenous cultural property.
- ◆ **3.2 Repatriation Mechanism.** Upon completion of an audit, an institution must initiate good-faith negotiations; where negotiations fail, the Nation may apply to the Commission for a binding order of restitution.

Parts 4 & 5 — Funding, Compliance & Oversight

- ◆ **4.1 Land-Back and Restitution Fund.** A special account, administered by a board with a majority of Indigenous members, providing financial support for legal costs, transitional governance, and environmental stewardship.
- ◆ **5.1 Public Reporting.** The Minister shall table an annual report detailing hectares transferred, properties repatriated, and funds allocated.
- ◆ **5.2 No-Derogation Clause.** Nothing in this Act shall abrogate or derogate from any existing or future Aboriginal, treaty, or other rights of Indigenous Peoples.

APPENDIX C • LITIGATION

The Litigation Package

A strategic timeline and a schematic Statement of Claim for an Aboriginal title action.

Strategic Litigation Timeline (Canada)

A LAND TITLE CLAIM CAN TAKE MORE THAN A DECADE

Stage	Activity
1 • Research & Pre-Filing (6–18 months)	Compile litigation-grade dossiers; secure community consent and mandate.
2 • Commencement (1 month)	File the Statement of Claim; serve federal and provincial governments.
3 • Pleadings & Motions (3–6 months)	Governments file Statements of Defence; interlocutory motions (e.g. injunctions).
4 • Discovery (2–4 years)	Document production; examinations for discovery; exchange of expert reports.
5 • Trial (6–18 months)	Hearing of evidence (Elders, historians, experts); closing arguments.
6 • Judgment & Appeals (2–4+ years)	Written ruling; potential appeals to the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court of Canada.
7 • Negotiation & Implementation (ongoing)	If title is granted, negotiate jurisdiction, revenue sharing, and land management.

Sample Statement of Claim (Schematic · BC Supreme Court)

[INDIGENOUS NATION NAME] (PLAINTIFF) v. HER MAJESTY THE KING IN RIGHT OF CANADA & THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA (DEFENDANTS)

- 01 The Plaintiff.** The [Nation] is a sovereign Indigenous Nation asserting Aboriginal title to and rights over its traditional territory (the "Claim Area").
- 02 Legal Basis.** Founded on the common law of Aboriginal title as articulated in *Delgamuukw* and *Tsilhqot'in*. Continuous and exclusive occupation from time immemorial establishes title.
- 03 Breach of Fiduciary Duty.** The Crown breached its duty by granting land, timber, and mineral rights to third parties within the Claim Area without consent.
- 04 Unjust Enrichment.** The Defendants were unjustly enriched by profiting from the Claim Area without consent and without compensation.
- 05 Relief Sought.** (a) a declaration of Aboriginal title; (b) a declaration of breach of fiduciary duty; (c) an injunction against new resource-extraction permits; (d) equitable compensation for unjust enrichment; (e) an accounting of all revenues generated from the Claim Area; (f) costs.

APPENDIX D • COMMUNICATIONS

The Communications Package

A ready-to-adapt press kit: release, launch-video script, and fact sheet.

Press Release Template

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Marie Seshat Landry launches "Operation: Rob The King" — a lawful global campaign to secure land-back and reparations for Indigenous Nations.

MONCTON / [Date] — Marie Seshat Landry, founder of MarieLandrySpyShop.com, today announces Operation: Rob The King, an evidence-driven, Indigenous-led campaign to expose historic and ongoing dispossession and to pursue lawful restitution of land and cultural property.

"Rob the King means strip away the Crown's moral and legal justification for dispossession — using the law, evidence, and public pressure," said Landry. "This is not theft. It's restitution, accountability, and the implementation of Indigenous rights under international law."

The Operation will publish dossiers, model legislation, and toolkits for Indigenous Nations and allied partners, and will launch strategic campaigns targeting institutional custodians of contested cultural property.

Media contact: [Name, Email, Phone] • **Web:** marielandryspyshop.com/RobTheKing

Launch Video Script (90 seconds)

Time	Visual	Voiceover
0–10s	Archival maps unrolling over modern satellite images.	"For centuries, colonial maps have been used to erase what was there before. To turn ancestral territories into Crown land."
10–30s	Quick cuts: museum artifact behind glass, mining operation, courtroom gavel.	"This was done with laws. With ledgers. With force. The result? 96% of private agricultural land in the US is owned by white people. This is not history. It's the present."
30–50s	Digital interface: satellite maps, data streams, document archives being scanned.	"But the same tools used to dispossess can be used to restore. We use modern intelligence methods to build litigation-grade dossiers. We document the theft."
50–70s	Animation: gavel striking, artifact being crated, bill being signed.	"We use the law. We cite the precedents. Tsilhqot'in. Mabo. We push for new laws based on UNDRIP."
70–90s	Diverse group collaborating; final shot of Marie Seshat Landry.	"This is Operation: Rob The King. A lawful, non-violent plan to strip colonial legitimacy. To restore what was stolen. The work is led by Indigenous Nations. The goal is justice. Join us."

One-Page Fact Sheet

- ◆ **Mission.** A lawful, Indigenous-led global campaign to document and remedy the dispossession of Indigenous peoples through legal, political, and financial pressure.
- ◆ **The problem in numbers.** Key statistics on land, wealth, and cultural property disparities (see Chapter 2).
- ◆ **Our strategy (lawful & non-violent).** 1 · Forensic OSINT. 2 · Strategic Litigation. 3 · Legislative Reform. 4 · Cultural Repatriation. 5 · Economic Pressure.
- ◆ **Legal & ethical foundation.** Grounded in UNDRIP, landmark court rulings, and the principle of Free, Prior, and Informed Consent.

APPENDIX E • OUTREACH

The Coalition Outreach Package

Prioritized targets and adaptable email scripts for building the alliance.

Prioritized Target List

- ◆ **Indigenous Legal.** Tsilhqot'in National Government; Assembly of First Nations (AFN); National Native Title Council (Australia).
- ◆ **NGO Partners.** International Work Group for Indigenous Affairs (IWGIA); Cultural Survival.
- ◆ **Museum Targets.** British Museum; Canadian Museum of History.
- ◆ **Political Allies.** [MP/Senator known for Indigenous rights advocacy].
- ◆ **Funders/Allies.** [Major philanthropic foundation focused on social justice].

Template A — Indigenous Nations & Legal Partners

SUBJECT: INVITATION TO PARTNER — OPERATION: ROB THE KING

Dear [Chief/Counsel Name], my name is Marie Seshat Landry, writing on behalf of Operation: Rob The King, an Indigenous-led initiative providing legal, research, and strategic tools to Nations seeking restitution and the affirmation of their rights. Our work is grounded in precedents your Nation courageously established. This is not an external project; it is a suite of resources and a collaborative strategy designed to be directed by and serve Indigenous Nations. Would you be available for a confidential introductory call next week? In solidarity, Marie Seshat Landry.

Template B — NGOs & Academic Allies

SUBJECT: INTRODUCING OPERATION: ROB THE KING — A CAMPAIGN FOR INDIGENOUS RESTITUTION

Dear [Contact Name], I am writing to introduce a new global campaign focused on securing land-back, cultural repatriation, and reparations through lawful, evidence-driven means. Given [Organization Name]'s work in [area of mutual interest], I believe our missions are aligned. Our operation synthesizes forensic research (OSINT), strategic litigation, and legislative advocacy into a unified playbook grounded in UNDRIP and Indigenous self-determination. I would welcome the opportunity to speak about how we might support each other's work. Sincerely, Marie Seshat Landry.

Template C — Museums (Formal Notice of Inquiry)

SUBJECT: FORMAL REQUEST FOR PROVENANCE INFORMATION REGARDING [SPECIFY COLLECTION]

Dear [Director/Curator Name], I am writing on behalf of Operation: Rob The King, which supports Indigenous Nations in the lawful restitution of their lands and cultural property. Our research partners, representing the [Indigenous Nation], have identified items of significant cultural heritage in the [Museum Name]'s collection acquired under circumstances that lack evidence of free, prior, and informed consent. Pursuant to the ICOM Code of Ethics, we formally request complete, unredacted provenance records for the following items: [List 1–3 specific accession numbers]. We look forward to your prompt response and to opening a constructive dialogue. Sincerely, Marie Seshat Landry.

REFERENCE • VERIFIED SOURCES

Master Reference List

Primary legal, governmental, and institutional sources underpinning the case. Volatile facts were live-verified prior to publication.

- 1 Amnesty International (2009). *Pushed to the Edge: The Land Rights of Indigenous Peoples in Canada*. Summarizing findings from the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples (RCAP).
- 2 Assembly of First Nations (n.d.). *Dismantling the Doctrine of Discovery*.
- 3 Block, S., Galabuzi, G.-E., & Tranjan, R. (2018). *Canada's Colour-Coded Income Inequality*. Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives.
- 4 Dicasteries for Culture and Education and for Promoting Integral Human Development (2023). *Joint Statement on the "Doctrine of Discovery,"* 30 March 2023. Vatican. <https://press.vatican.va/content/salastampa/en/bollettino/pubblico/2023/03/30/230330b.html>
- 5 Economic Research Service (1999). *Who Owns the Land? Agricultural Land Ownership by Race/Ethnicity*. U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- 6 High Court of Australia (1992). *Mabo v Queensland (No 2)* [1992] HCA 23; (1992) 175 CLR 1.
- 7 International Council of Museums (ICOM) (2017). *ICOM Code of Ethics for Museums*.
- 8 Interpol (2021). *Assessing Crimes Against Cultural Property 2020*.
- 9 National Museums Scotland / Nisga'a Lisims Government (2022–2023). Transfer of the House of Ni'isjoohl Memorial Pole (Board decision 1 December 2022; return to the Nass Valley, September 2023).
- 10 Natural Resources Canada / Statistics Canada (2021). *Land and Freshwater Areas, by Province and Territory*.
- 11 Supreme Court of Canada (1997). *Delgamuukw v. British Columbia*, [1997] 3 S.C.R. 1010.
- 12 Supreme Court of Canada (2014). *Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia*, 2014 SCC 44, [2014] 2 S.C.R. 257.
- 13 United Nations General Assembly (2007). *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples*, Resolution A/RES/61/295.
- 14 U.S. Census Bureau (2024). *Wealth by Race of Householder: 2021*.
- 15 U.S. Supreme Court (1823). *Johnson v. M'Intosh*, 21 U.S. (8 Wheat.) 543.

REFERENCE • FOUNDATIONS

Further Readings

For the theoretical, legal, and philosophical foundations of Indigenous sovereignty and decolonization.

On Indigenous Law, Sovereignty & Resurgence

- ◆ Coulthard, Glen Sean. *Red Skin, White Masks: Rejecting the Colonial Politics of Recognition*. University of Minnesota Press, 2014.
- ◆ Simpson, Leanne Betasamosake. *As We Have Always Done: Indigenous Freedom through Radical Resistance*. University of Minnesota Press, 2017.
- ◆ Borrows, John. *Canada's Indigenous Constitution*. University of Toronto Press, 2010.
- ◆ Alfred, Taiaiake. *Peace, Power, Righteousness: An Indigenous Manifesto*. Oxford University Press, 2009.

On the History & Legacy of Dispossession

- ◆ Deloria Jr., Vine. *Custer Died for Your Sins: An Indian Manifesto*. University of Oklahoma Press, 1988.
- ◆ Dunbar-Ortiz, Roxanne. *An Indigenous Peoples' History of the United States*. Beacon Press, 2014.
- ◆ King, Thomas. *The Inconvenient Indian: A Curious Account of Native People in North America*. Anchor Canada, 2013.

On Decolonial Theory & Practice

- ◆ Fanon, Frantz. *The Wretched of the Earth*. Grove Press, 1963.
- ◆ Tuck, Eve, and K. Wayne Yang. "Decolonization is not a metaphor." *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society* 1, no. 1 (2012).
- ◆ Smith, Linda Tuhiwai. *Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples*. Zed Books, 2012.

CLOSING

A Final Note

On the scale of the undertaking — and why it is nonetheless possible.

The work outlined in this playbook is monumental. It is a plan to re-order the very foundations of the settler state, to challenge centuries of established power, and to demand an accounting for injustices so vast they are often mistaken for the natural state of the world. It is, by any measure, an audacious undertaking.

But it is not impossible. The strategies herein are not based on wishful thinking, but on a clear-eyed assessment of the legal and political landscape. They are grounded in the victories that have already been won through the immense sacrifice and tenacity of Indigenous peoples. They leverage the tools of the modern world not to replicate colonial power, but to dismantle it.

This manual is a living document, a starting point. It will be improved upon, adapted, and refined by the communities who put it into practice. Its success will not be measured in the publication of this book, but in the hectares of land returned, the sacred treasures brought home, the just laws passed, and the sovereign futures secured.

THE WORK BEGINS NOW

The path is long, and the opposition is powerful. But the evidence is on our side, the arc of history is bending, and the demand for justice will not be silenced. The work begins now.

COLOPHON

About the Author

Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry is an independent OSINT investigator, intelligence researcher, and prolific publisher based in Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada. She is the CEO and Founder of Landry Industries Inc. and operates Marie Landry's Spy Shop (marielandryspyshop.com), an ethics-first open-source intelligence practice.

Her work spans ethical OSINT investigation, AI governance, post-petroleum materials science, and human rights advocacy, published across Zenodo, Scribd, and GitHub. Her foundational analytical frameworks include the Landry Hallucination-Free Protocol (LHFP) and the FC/NV epistemic architecture, which prioritize explicit claim verification and the separation of validated fact from projected hypothesis. *Operation: Rob The King* applies that same discipline to the cause of global Indigenous restitution.

marielandryspyshop.com · landryindustries.ca · ORCID 0009-0008-5027-3337

OPERATION: ROB THE KING · UNCLASSIFIED // PRIVATE · © 2026 LANDRY INDUSTRIES INC.
END OF DOSSIER