

NLP OSINT Report: George W. Bush

Detection of Harmful Rhetoric and Peace-Centered Alternatives

Marie Seshat Landry

Marie Landry's Spy Shop – www.marielandryceo.com

With *PeaceMakerGPT* – <https://chatgpt.com/g/g-quhJplnTw-peacemakergpt>

And developed using Google's Gemini (AI Studio) – <https://aistudio.google.com/>

May 30, 2025

Executive Summary

This report investigates the rhetoric of former U.S. President George W. Bush, focusing on the presence of hate speech, warmongering, dehumanizing language, and “us vs. them” narratives. Central to this analysis are his post-9/11 statements and speeches during the “War on Terror,” particularly the 2002 State of the Union address and the “Mission Accomplished” speech of 2003. The analysis reveals how specific linguistic choices contributed to a polarizing global environment, justified military action, and obscured the complexities of international relations.

1. Key Findings

1.1 “Axis of Evil” Speech (2002)

In his 2002 State of the Union address on January 29, 2002, President Bush notably labeled Iran, Iraq, and North Korea as an “Axis of Evil.” He asserted, “States like these, and their terrorist allies, constitute an axis of evil, arming to threaten the peace of the world.” [25] Describing Iraq, Bush stated, “The Iraqi regime has plotted to develop anthrax, and nerve gas, and nuclear weapons for over a decade. This is a regime that has already used poison gas to murder thousands of its own citizens... This is a regime that has something to hide from the civilized world.” [25] He also criticized North Korea as “A regime arming with missiles and weapons of mass destruction, while starving its citizens,” [26] and Iran for “aggressively pursu[ing] these weapons and export[ing] terror, while an unelected few repress the Iranian people’s hope for freedom.” [26] This phrase reinforced binary thinking, presenting these nations as a monolithic threat and often disregarding their distinct geopolitical contexts and the internal struggles within them. Critics noted that the speech seriously damaged diplomatic efforts, particularly with Iran, where there was a brief period of hope for rapprochement post-9/11. [24] The term, intentionally referencing the Axis powers of

WWII, fostered a narrative of absolute good versus absolute evil, contributing to strained diplomatic relations and laying rhetorical groundwork for future conflicts. [26]

1.2 Post-9/11 Rhetoric

Bush's response to the September 11 attacks consistently employed absolutist moral dichotomies. A week after 9/11, in an address to a Joint Session of Congress on September 20, 2001, Bush issued a stark ultimatum to the world: "Every nation, in every region, now has a decision to make: Either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists." [7, 23] This rhetoric fostered a polarizing view that blurred distinctions between extremist factions and broader populations, particularly Muslims and Arab people, leading to increased Islamophobia. [23] His language often cast the enemy in dehumanizing terms, referring to terrorists as "barbaric criminals who profane a great religion" [7] or "monstrous, murderous entities" whose "sole purpose was to destroy the American way of life" [17]. Such moralized language contributed to an ideological polarization, making hostilities against the "other" seem necessary and even legitimizing actions against non-combatants. [9]

1.3 "Mission Accomplished" Speech (2003)

Declared aboard the USS *Abraham Lincoln* on May 1, 2003, under a widely publicized banner that read "Mission Accomplished," President Bush stated, "Major combat operations in Iraq have ended. In the battle of Iraq, the United States and our allies have prevailed." [8, 14] While he also mentioned, "Our mission continues" and "We have difficult work to do in Iraq," the prominent banner and emphasis on victory created a misleading impression that the conflict was largely over. [1, 22] This premature claim of victory was widely criticized as war propaganda, particularly as the Iraqi insurgency gained pace and developed into a protracted sectarian conflict, with the vast majority of casualties occurring after this speech. [2, 18, 20] The speech also reinforced since-disproven narratives linking Saddam Hussein to al-Qaeda or weapons of mass destruction, serving to justify the invasion. [15, 16] The phrase "Mission Accomplished" has since become a symbol of overconfident posturing and the perils of declaring victory too early in complex situations. [1, 2, 19, 22]

2. Linguistic Patterns and Risk Areas

- **Dehumanization:** Phrases like "Axis of Evil" and descriptions of the enemy as "barbaric criminals" or "monstrous entities" reduce nations and groups to monolithic enemies, disregarding their cultural and political complexities and portraying them as entirely devoid of human characteristics. [4, 17] This pattern relies on past constructions of evil and graphic narratives of enemy behavior to present a morally simplified picture. [4]
- **Incitement to Violence:** Overly simplistic binary rhetoric, such as "either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists," undermines diplomatic solutions and justifies preemptive military action by framing conflict as an unavoidable moral imperative. [9,

23] This creates a moral justification for war by presenting it as a defense of "freedom" and "all that is good and just". [9]

- **Us vs. Them Narratives:** Recurrent themes of Western righteousness versus global evil create a divisive international atmosphere. [4] The use of moral absolutism and "Othering" in post-9/11 speeches contributed to a "clash of civilizations" mindset, fostering fear and making coercive measures seem legitimate against a perceived threat. [9]

3. Recommendations for Peace-Based Communication

1. **Nuanced Language:** Avoid geopolitical generalizations and sweeping categorizations like "Axis of Evil." Differentiate between governments, extremist factions, and their citizens to foster a more accurate and less inflammatory understanding of complex situations.
2. **Promote Diplomacy:** Emphasize negotiation, empathy, and multilateralism over conflict. Language should highlight shared interests and potential for cooperation rather than perpetuating zero-sum frameworks.
3. **Inclusive Messaging:** Foster unity by recognizing common human values and diverse perspectives. Avoid rhetoric that creates or reinforces a "us vs. them" mentality, and instead promote dialogue that acknowledges varied cultural, religious, and political realities.

Conclusion

While President Bush's rhetoric was undoubtedly shaped by historical trauma and national security concerns in the aftermath of 9/11, it also significantly amplified divisive language and reinforced global polarization. His use of moral absolutism, dehumanizing labels like "Axis of Evil," and premature victory declarations, as seen in the "Mission Accomplished" speech, served to simplify complex geopolitical realities and justify military interventions. This report underscores the profound impact of such rhetorical choices and calls for a shift towards language that upholds peace, mutual understanding, and respectful dialogue, actively avoiding rhetorical devices that dehumanize, incite violence, or impede diplomatic solutions.

References

- "‘Mission Accomplished’ was a massive fail — but it was just the beginning." *Al Jazeera*, May 4, 2023. <https://www.aljazeera.com/features/2023/5/4/mission-accomplished-was-a-massive-fail-but-it-was-just-the-beginning> [1]
- "George W. Bush's Infamous 'Mission Accomplished' Speech 20 Years On." *Stop the War*, May 2, 2023. <https://www.stopwar.org.uk/article/george-w-bushs-infamous-mission-accomplished-speech-20-years-on/> [2]

- “Chapter 3. Crisis rhetoric and the tradition of the jeremiad in 21st century U.S. American presidential speechmaking.” *Academic paper excerpt*, Accessed May 30, 2025. [3]
- Steuter, Erin, and Deborah Wills. “‘The vermin have struck again’: dehumanizing the enemy in post 9/11 media representations.” *Journal of Communication Inquiry* 34, no. 4 (2010): 367-378. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0196859910372332> [4]
- “Bush Defines the War on Terror (2001).” *American Archive of Public Broadcasting*, September 20, 2001. <https://americanarchive.org/catalog/cpb-aacip-507-cz3251gf8v> [5]
- “Mission Accomplished speech.” *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mission_Accomplished_speech [6]
- “Axis of evil.” *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Axis_of_evil [7]
- “President Delivers State of the Union Address.” *George W. Bush White House Archives*, January 29, 2002. <https://georgewbush-whitehouse.archives.gov/news/releases/2002/01/20020129-11.html> [8]
- Al-Ramahi, Hiba. “The Mechanisms of Moral Disengagement in George W. Bush’s ‘War on Terror’ Rhetoric.” *The Qualitative Report* 20, no. 12 (2015): 2024-2041. <https://nsuworks.nova.edu/tqr/vol20/iss12/8> [9]
- “Twenty Years after ‘Mission Accomplished’ in Iraq: A Critical Assessment.” *The Stimson Center*, May 2, 2023. <https://www.stimson.org/2023/twenty-years-after-mission-accomplished-in-iraq-a-critical-assessment/> [10]
- “COMBATING TERRORISM: AXIS OF EVIL, MULTILATERAL CONTAINMENT OR UNILATERAL CONFRONTATION?” *GovInfo*, Congressional Hearing, June 26, 2002. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CHRG-107hhr82531/html/CHRG-107hhr82531.htm> [11]
- “An Axis Of Evil — Terror And Tehran — FRONTLINE.” *PBS*, January 29, 2002. <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/shows/terror/etc/bush.html> [12]
- “Listen to George W. Bush Declares Mission Accomplished.” *HISTORY Channel*. <https://www.history.com/speeches/george-w-bush-declares-mission-accomplished> [13]
- Steuter, Erin, and Deborah Wills. “Constructing the Enemy: War, Words, and the Press—A Thematic Analysis of Media Complicity in Early Wartime Rhetoric.” *Athens Journal of Mass Media and Communications* 10, no. 2 (2025): 101-118. [14]
- “Chapter 35: Mission Accomplished?” *The Rumsfeld Papers*. <https://rumsfeld.com/endnotes/chapter-35-mission-accomplished/> [15]

- “The Iraq War.” *George W. Bush Library*. <https://www.georgewbushlibrary.gov/explore/collections/iraq-war> [16]
- Seale, Joshua B. “The Use of Dehumanizing Rhetoric in the War on Terror.” *E-International Relations*, June 27, 2017. <https://www.e-ir.info/2017/06/27/the-use-of-dehumanizing-rhetoric-in-the-war-on-terror/> [17]
- “What was the Mission Accomplished Speech (2003)?” *Boot Camp Military Fitness Institute*, February 22, 2022. <https://www.bootcampmilitaryfitnessinstitute.com/military-organisation-history/military-history/what-was-the-mission-accomplished-speech-2003/> [18]
- Yongtao, Liu. “Discourse, Meanings and IR Studies: Taking the Rhetoric of “Axis of Evil” As a Case.” *Estudios Internacionales* 43, no. 166 (2010): 69-89. <https://repositorio.uchile.cl/handle/2250/118335> [19]
- van Gestel, Annabel. ““Either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists” A content analysis of the news coverage of George W. Bush’s speeches on the War on Terror in the context of American nationalism.” *Erasmus University Rotterdam*, Master’s Thesis, July 24, 2018. https://www.scriptiebank.be/sites/default/files/2018-08/MSc%20Thesis_Annabel%20van%20Gestel.pdf [20]
- Asgari, Saba. “Examining the Lingering Consequences of Bush’s 2002 “Axis of Evil” State of the Union.” *GW ScholarSpace*, October 2, 2024. <https://scholarspace.library.gwu.edu/items/67531776-857c-47fc-b169-2f2c8d2054ff> [21]
- “Hour Two: Clarifying ‘Mission Accomplished’.” *NPR*, May 1, 2008. <https://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=89670088> [22]
- “Tracing The Highs And Lows Of The Bush Presidency.” *NPR*, January 7, 2009. <https://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=99092896> [23]
- “George W. Bush, State of the Union address, January 20, 2002.” *National Security Archive*, January 20, 2002. <https://nsarchive.gwu.edu/document/17399-george-w-bush-state-union-address-january-20> [24]
- “Paper 1 - For Want of a Nail - Annotated Bibliography “82 Million Tune In To Bush Speech,” Los Angeles Times, September 22, 2001.” *Tufts University Sites*, December 15, 2023. <https://educ.tufts.edu/sites/default/files/2023-12/1-ForWantOfANail.pdf> [25]
- “NORTH AMERICA United States.” *Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan*, Diplomatic Bluebook 2003, 2003. <https://www.mofa.go.jp/policy/other/bluebook/2003/chap2-2.pdf> [26]
- “The War on Terror - Timeline Facts.” *History.com*, February 1, 2019. <https://www.history.com/topics/21st-century/war-on-terror-timeline> [27]

- “Mission Accomplished? The Iraq War 20 Years Later.” *The Media Line*, April 30, 2023. <https://themedialine.org/top-stories/mission-accomplished-the-iraq-war-20-years-later/> [28]

Report produced by:

Marie Seshat Landry

Marie Landry's Spy Shop – www.marielandryceo.com

With PeaceMakerGPT – <https://chatgpt.com/g/g-quhJplnTw-peacemakergpt>

And developed using Google's Gemini (AI Studio) – <https://aistudio.google.com/>