

The Afghanistan War (2001–2021): An Open-Source Intelligence Forensic Investigation and Policy Report

Division I: Pre-2001 Geopolitical Landscape and the Roots of Insurgency

The contemporary conflict in Afghanistan cannot be analyzed in isolation from the deep-seated geopolitical rivalries that transformed the country into an arena for proxy warfare during the late twentieth century. The Soviet invasion of December 1979 initiated a decade of intense militarization, prompting a trilateral response from the United States, Pakistan, and Saudi Arabia. Under the covert coordination of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and Pakistan's Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI), billions of dollars in military hardware and financial aid were channeled to the Mujahideen resistance factions. This massive external sponsorship generated a highly militarized, factionalized landscape, attracting thousands of foreign radical fighters, including the wealthy Saudi national Osama bin Laden, who established his initial logistical networks under the patronage of regional commanders.

Following the Soviet withdrawal in 1989 and the subsequent collapse of the communist government in 1992, the country descended into a devastating civil war. Competing Mujahideen factions, notably Gulbuddin Hekmatyar's Hezb-e-Islami (heavily sponsored by Pakistan) and Abdul Ali Mazari's Hezbe Wahdat (backed by Iran), engaged in indiscriminate urban warfare that destroyed half of Kabul and decimated the state's baseline infrastructure. This structural chaos and pervasive lawlessness fostered deep social exhaustion, creating the precise conditions for the rise of a new political force.

In 1994, Mullah Mohammed Omar, a veteran of the anti-Soviet resistance, founded the Taliban ("students") movement in the religious schools of Kandahar. The ideological foundation of the movement was rooted in a conservative, fundamentalist interpretation of Deobandi Islam, heavily influenced by Grand Mufti Rashid Ahmed Ludhianvi and modeled structurally on the political principles of Abul A'la Maududi's Jamaat-e-Islami. This religious orthodoxy was structurally synthesized with *Pashtunwali*, the traditional pre-Islamic cultural tribal code of the Pashtuns. This code emphasized the preservation of honor (*nang*), restorative justice or revenge (*badal*), and the sacred obligation of providing sanctuary to those seeking refuge (*nanawatai*)—principles that would subsequently dictate the Taliban's refusal to surrender foreign fugitives.

The Taliban expanded rapidly, capturing Kandahar in 1994, entering Kabul in September 1996, and establishing the first Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan. By the summer of 2001, the regime controlled over 90% of the country's territory, leaving only a small northern enclave under the command of Ahmad Shah Massoud's Northern Alliance. The regime's rapid consolidation of power was systematically enabled by Pakistan's ISI, which sought to establish "strategic depth" to counter Indian regional influence, and by wealthy private benefactors in Saudi Arabia who sought to export Salafi-Wahhabi theological orthodoxy. Concurrently, Iran maintained a highly hostile posture, backing Shia militias, while the Russian Federation and China observed the

expansion of Taliban-sponsored extremist networks near their central Asian borders with deep apprehension.

This geostrategic environment provided a highly secure sanctuary for al-Qaeda. Expelled from Sudan in 1996, Osama bin Laden was welcomed to Afghanistan by Mullah Omar, who granted the terrorist network a secure base of operations to construct global training camps. The structural integration of the two groups was consolidated by al-Qaeda's elite "055 Brigade," an integrated unit of foreign Arab fighters that fought alongside Taliban forces against the Northern Alliance. On September 9, 2001, al-Qaeda operatives successfully executed the targeted assassination of Ahmad Shah Massoud in Takhar Province, effectively decapitating the political leadership of the Northern Alliance just forty-eight hours before launching the most catastrophic terrorist attacks in modern history.

Division II: The Catalyst of Global Conflict: September 11 and NATO Mobilization

The synchronized hijacking of four commercial airliners on September 11, 2001, by nineteen al-Qaeda operatives—resulting in the destruction of the World Trade Center towers in New York, a devastating strike on the Pentagon, and the crash of United Airlines Flight 93 in Pennsylvania—fundamentally transformed the global security architecture. These attacks resulted in the immediate deaths of nearly 3,000 individuals, signaling a catastrophic failure of the Western intelligence and security apparatus. Prior to the attacks, the United Nations Security Council had recognized the rising threat of the Taliban-al-Qaeda nexus, adopting Resolution 1267 in October 1999 to freeze the assets of the entities and restrict their global travel. However, these diplomatic and financial measures proved wholly insufficient to disrupt the operational execution of the plot.

The international response to the September 11 attacks was unprecedented in speed and scope. On September 12, 2001, NATO formally invoked Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty for the first and only time in the alliance's history, declaring the terrorist strike on the United States to be an attack against all member states. Concurrently, the United Nations General Assembly and Security Council adopted sweeping resolutions condemning the attacks and establishing a robust international legal framework to combat terrorist financing and operations. In the United States, Congress passed the 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force (AUMF) with near-unanimous consent, granting the President expansive executive authority to employ all necessary and appropriate military force against those who planned, authorized, committed, or aided the attacks.

Government Department/Agency	Pre-9/11 Structural Status	Post-9/11 Restructured Status
Department of Homeland Security (DHS)	Dispersed among 22 independent federal agencies	Consolidated cabinet-level department managing borders, airports, and critical infrastructure
Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI)	Non-existent; CIA Director acted as titular IC leader	Centralized oversight body coordinating all 17 intelligence agencies
National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC)	Dispersed, siloed counterterrorism units	Centralized hub for joint-agency intelligence sharing and

Government Department/Agency	Pre-9/11 Structural Status	Post-9/11 Restructured Status
		strategic threat analysis
National Security Agency (NSA)	Restricted, warrant-based target domestic collection	Mass, warrantless metadata and signals collection under Section 215 of the USA PATRIOT Act

Table 1: Post-9/11 Structural Reorganization of the United States Security State

To secure domestic consensus and build the legal foundations for a global offensive, the Bush administration undertook a comprehensive structural reorganization of the federal government. In November 2002, the cabinet-level Department of Homeland Security (DHS) was established, consolidating twenty-two separate federal agencies to coordinate the protection of national borders and critical infrastructure. Just forty-five days after the attacks, Congress passed the USA PATRIOT Act, which dramatically expanded the surveillance capabilities of law enforcement and intelligence agencies. This legislation authorized the use of secret search warrants and enabled the NSA's mass collection of domestic telecommunications metadata under Section 215, establishing a permanent national security apparatus with unprecedented executive power.

Concurrently, a parallel public relations and diplomatic campaign was launched to build international consent for preemptive military action. This campaign was heavily shaped by neoconservative policy institutes, primarily the Project for the New American Century (PNAC). In September 2000, PNAC had published its seminal report, *Rebuilding America's Defenses*, which noted that the radical transformation of the American global military posture would be a prolonged process "absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event—like a new Pearl Harbor". Following the September 11 attacks, PNAC sent a formal letter to President Bush on September 20, 2001, demanding that the global war on terror encompass the immediate eradication of Saddam Hussein's regime in Iraq, regardless of whether any evidence linked Iraq to the 9/11 attacks. This strategic pivot would ultimately divert critical military, intelligence, and financial assets away from the stabilization of Afghanistan.

Division III: Operation Enduring Freedom and the Fall of Kabul (2001)

The kinetic offensive against the Taliban regime, designated Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF), began publicly on October 7, 2001. The campaign was initiated covertly on September 26, 2001, with the insertion of the CIA's seven-man "Jawbreaker" team into the Panjshir Valley to establish operational contact with the Northern Alliance. The strategy relied on a highly lethal, asymmetrical combination of overwhelming coalition airpower and indigenous anti-Taliban ground forces, directed by U.S. Army Special Forces and CIA paramilitary officers. The air campaign utilized a mix of land-based B-1, B-2, and B-52 bombers, carrier-based F-14 and F/A-18 strike fighters, and sea-launched Tomahawk cruise missiles to systematic effect, dismantling the Taliban's military command infrastructure and routing their defensive lines. The Taliban forces, unable to withstand the precision of the coalition air strikes, retreated from major urban centers with stunning speed. Mazar-e-Sharif fell to Northern Alliance forces on November 9, 2001, opening critical logistical corridors to Central Asia. Defying coalition diplomatic preferences for a negotiated, multi-ethnic entry, Northern Alliance forces marched

into Kabul on November 13, 2001, as the Taliban forces executed a tactical retreat southward. Kandahar, the largest city in southern Afghanistan and the Taliban's spiritual home, collapsed on December 6, 2001, marking the formal termination of the first Taliban regime.

However, the initial success of the invasion was compromised by a critical operational failure during the Battle of Tora Bora in December 2001. Osama bin Laden and approximately one thousand al-Qaeda fighters had established a fortified defensive redoubt within the complex cave networks of the mountainous Tora Bora region along the Pakistani border. Rather than deploying a robust American infantry cordon to secure the border passes, the Department of Defense relied on uncoordinated local Afghan militias, supported by limited U.S. Special Forces and air strikes, to execute the ground assault. Consequently, bin Laden and key members of his command staff successfully slipped across the border into Pakistan's federally administered tribal areas, establishing a highly secure, long-term sanctuary.

Following the collapse of the regime, the international community convened the Bonn Conference in December 2001 to establish a transitional political framework. This conference resulted in the Bonn Agreement, which created an interim administration led by Hamid Karzai, a prominent Pashtun tribal leader. To maintain immediate stability, the agreement systematically integrated powerful regional warlords and ethnic factional commanders into the formal ministries of the state, establishing a fragile patronage-based governance model that would structurally undermine the domestic legitimacy and long-term viability of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan.

Division IV: Coalition Force Footprints, Financials, and Casualty Databases

The stabilization of the country was subsequently transferred to the NATO-led International Security Assistance Force (ISAF), which assumed command in 2003 under a mandate from the United Nations. The coalition eventually encompassed fifty-one participating nations, with peak troop strength exceeding 130,000 personnel under ISAF command in 2012.

The United States provided the overwhelming majority of combat power and financial resources, maintaining a peak presence of over 100,000 troops during the 2010–2011 surge. The primary European allies assumed responsibility for highly volatile regional commands. The United Kingdom deployed thousands of troops to Helmand Province, establishing Task Force Helmand to secure the volatile southern agricultural belt. British forces engaged in continuous, high-intensity combat operations, sustaining 457 military fatalities. Concurrently, Canadian forces assumed command of Kandahar Province in 2006. Canadian troops faced severe, daily insurgent ambushes and IED attacks, sustaining 158 fatalities before withdrawing from active combat operations in 2011.

Other coalition partners operated under highly restrictive national caveats that severely limited their combat exposure. Germany deployed to the relatively stable northern region, maintaining a force that peaked at approximately 5,000 troops and sustaining 62 fatalities. France deployed Task Force Lafayette to Kapisa and Surobi Provinces, sustaining 90 fatalities before executing an early withdrawal in 2012. Italy commanded the western region from its base in Herat, deploying approximately 2,000 troops and sustaining 53 fatalities. Poland assumed responsibility for Ghazni Province under Task Force White Eagle, deploying up to 2,500 soldiers and sustaining 44 fatalities. Denmark and Estonia deployed high-density combat units to Helmand Province under British command, with Danish forces suffering the highest per-capita casualty rate of any coalition partner.

The cumulative financial and human outlays of this multi-decade intervention are detailed in the following forensic databases:

Global Power Node	Operational Code Names	Peak Troop Deployment	Total War Expenditures (USD)	Confirmed Fatalities
United States	Operation Enduring Freedom; Freedom's Sentinel	100,000	2.261 trillion	2,456
United Kingdom	Operation Herrick; Operation Toral	9,500	37.0 billion	457
Canada	Operation Athena; Operation Attention	3,000	18.5 billion	158
Germany	ISAF Stabilization Command	5,350	12.1 billion	62
France	Task Force Lafayette; Pamir	4,000	10.5 billion	90
Italy	Regional Command West	4,250	8.4 billion	53
Poland	Task Force White Eagle	2,600	3.2 billion	44
Australia	Operation Slipper	1,550	7.5 billion	41
Denmark	Dancon Helmand	750	2.4 billion	43
Netherlands	Task Force Uruzgan	2,000	2.1 billion	25

Table 2: Operational Footprints and Key Resource Outlays of Primary Coalition Partners

Direct Casualty Cohort	Total Documented Fatalities (Region)	Structural Source & Verification Index
Afghan National Army (ANA)	66,000 to 69,000	Watson Institute Costs of War Project
Afghan National Police (ANP)	22,000 to 25,000	Ministry of Interior Internal Records
U.S. Military Personnel	2,456	Defense Casualty Analysis System (DCAS)
U.S. Private Defense Contractors	3,923	SIGAR Audit Registry; Department of Labor
Non-U.S. Allied NATO Coalition Troops	1,144	NATO Resolute Support Joint Registry
Afghan Civilians (Direct Violence)	47,245	UNAMA Human Rights Database
Opposition Insurgent Fighters	51,191 to 80,000	Ministry of Defense Combined Intelligence
Humanitarian Aid Workers	444	Humanitarian Outcomes Aid Worker Security Database

Direct Casualty Cohort	Total Documented Fatalities (Region)	Structural Source & Verification Index
Journalists and Media Personnel	72	Committee to Protect Journalists Registry
Indirect Regional Casualties	360,000 to 3,800,000	Reverberating Health Impact Study (Watson Institute)

Table 3: Cumulative Direct and Indirect Regional Fatalities (2001–2021)

Division V: The Islamic Republic of Afghanistan: Governance, Systemic Corruption, and Intelligence Architectures

The governance structure established under the Hamid Karzai (2001–2014) and Ashraf Ghani (2014–2021) administrations suffered from structural deficits that permanently hollowed out the legitimacy of the state. Rather than establishing a rationalized, bureaucratic governance model, the central state operated as a centralized rentier apparatus that redistributed international aid and military funding through localized patronage networks. Regional warlords, integrated into the formal ministries and provincial governorships, diverted state assets to consolidate their private security structures.

This systemic corruption was institutionalized across key state organs, including the Afghan National Army and the Afghan National Police. Local police commanders routinely operated as regional monopolists, extorting the population through illegal road checkpoints and selling state-procured weapons and fuel to black market networks—and occasionally back to the Taliban insurgency.

The primary example of this criminalized state structure was the 2010 Kabul Bank scandal. Kabul Bank, which by early 2010 controlled exactly 34% of all assets in the Afghan commercial banking system, had secured a highly lucrative contract to manage the payroll of approximately 80% of all Afghan government employees, utilizing international funding funneled through the Afghan Reconstruction Trust Fund.

A subsequent forensic audit revealed that the bank was operating as a massive Ponzi scheme, diverting between \$910 million and \$980 million in fraudulent, interest-free "ghost loans" to bank insiders, cabinet ministers, and powerful political figures close to President Karzai. This scheme culminated in a definitive fraud case of \$935 million prosecuted by the Supreme Court of Afghanistan.

To secure political immunity, the bank's founders provided multi-million-dollar shares and cash gifts to Mahmood Karzai and Abdul Haseen Fahim, the brothers of former President Hamid Karzai and former First Vice President Mohammad Qasim Fahim. Mahmoud Karzai used an interest-free \$22 million ghost loan to purchase his shares in the bank itself.

The bank maintained two separate ledgers: a falsified set in Kabul for international auditors and the central bank, and the authentic record held at the Shaheen Exchange—a hawala network established by Farnood in Dubai. The fraudulent funds were transferred to Dubai through these hawala channels, where they were utilized to purchase thirty-five luxury villas on the Palm Jumeirah and fund highly speculative off-plan real estate developments in Dubai's Business Bay.

The systemic consequences of the Kabul Bank collapse in late 2010 were profound. The missing funds represented approximately 8% of Afghanistan's entire gross domestic product

(GDP) at the time. Although Ghani ordered the reopening of the case upon taking office in 2014, leading to ten-year prison sentences for Farnood and Fruzi, the vast majority of the stolen capital was never recovered, permanently eroding the domestic legitimacy of the Islamic Republic and driving ordinary citizens to view the state as an predatory structure.

Intelligence Agency	Primary Functional Mandate	Systemic Operational Tradeecraft	Core Structural Failures
National Directorate of Security (NDS)	Domestic Counterterrorism and Liaison Counterintelligence	Human Intelligence (HUMINT); Proxy Paramilitary Night Raids	Internal ethnic factionalism; systemic leakage of classified data to hostile states
Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)	Over-the-Horizon Kinetic Targeting and Covert Action	Paramilitary Operations (SAD); Drone Warfare; Liaison Oversight	Over-reliance on corrupt regional power brokers; creation of unaccountable proxy militias
National Security Agency (NSA)	Signals and Communications Intelligence	Mass Signals Intelligence (SIGINT); Metadata Exploitation	Inability to track low-technology, courier-based insurgent communications
Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)	Document Collection and Legal Evidence Formulation	Forensic Exploitation; Document Recovery; Court Filing Systems	Lack of operational jurisdiction; stove-piping of tactical threat intelligence
Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI)	Regional Power Projection and Strategic Influence	Asymmetric Proxy Warfare; Sanctuary Management; Direct Financing	Dual-track policy; systemic facilitation of Taliban and Haqqani Network insurgencies
Secret Intelligence Service (MI6)	Human Foreign Intelligence and Security Liaison	Regional HUMINT Networks; Strategic Diplomacy Negotiations	Ineffective coordination with local tribal actors in volatile southern provinces

Table 4: Key Structural Actors in the Regional Intelligence Architecture

The intelligence collection effort in Afghanistan was heavily compromised by stove-piping and a systematic failure to share actionable data among coalition agencies. The domestic National Directorate of Security (NDS), reconstructed in 2002 from the remnants of the Soviet-era KHAD, was funded and trained by the CIA on controversial streaks. The NDS continued to suffer from key intelligence capability gaps, particularly an inability to gather technical intelligence in remote mountainous areas, leaving it highly vulnerable to surprise insurgent offensives.

Furthermore, the NDS was divided between competing ethnic and political factions, with agents frequently reporting to their tribal masters rather than the central government. This systemic leakage resulted in highly classified tactical reports falling directly into the hands of the Taliban and regional intelligence networks, such as Pakistan's ISI, which maintained a dual-track policy of formally supporting the coalition while covertly training, funding, and arming the Taliban insurgency on its soil.

Division VI: Insurgent Architecture: Taliban, Haqqani

Network, and Transnational Terrorist Alliances

The organizational resilience of the Taliban was directly enabled by its highly structured, decentralized command hierarchy. At the apex of the movement stood the Supreme Leader (Amir al-Mu'minin), who issued binding fatwas that functioned as both civil law and military instruction. This centralized authority was coordinated by the Quetta Shura, a senior leadership council established in May 2002 in Balochistan, Pakistan. The council directed specialized commissions managing military affairs, finance, political negotiations, culture, and shadow judicial systems.

The Taliban successfully integrated regional commands to manage local combat operations, recruiting from marginalized Pashtun populations by exploiting grievances over central government corruption and coalition-inflicted civilian casualties.

The Taliban's insurgency was funded by a highly sophisticated, diversified war economy. The primary domestic engine of this economy was the illicit opium trade, which generated hundreds of millions of dollars in annual revenue. The Taliban implemented a systematic taxation structure across the entire drug supply chain:

$$\text{Total Insurgent Drug Revenue} = \text{Ushr} \{ \text{farmgate} \} (10\%) + \text{Zakat} \{ \text{refining} \} (1\% - 3\%) + \text{Transit Fees} + \text{Protection Levies}$$

1. **Ushr (Agricultural Tax):** The Taliban levied a traditional 10% tithe, known as *ushr*, on the farm-gate value of raw opium harvests in southern and western provinces, yielding between \$60 million and \$113 million in annual revenue.
2. **Zakat (Refining Tax):** Mobile processing laboratories, concentrated in remote areas of Helmand and Farah provinces, were assessed a 1% to 3% processing tax, supplemented by mandatory "gifts" (*zakat*) paid to local Taliban commanders.
3. **Transit Fees:** Smuggling convoys transporting processed heroin across the Southern Route to Pakistan and the Balkan Route to Iran and Europe paid fixed protection tariffs.
4. **Protection Levies:** The Taliban systematically taxed all construction projects, telecommunications companies, and mining operations (such as talc and marble) within its geographic reach, extracting rents directly from Western-funded development projects.

Insurgent Organization	Core Ideological Foundation	Strategic Alignment Nodes	Operational Area	Primary Financial Conduit
Taliban	Deobandi Sunni Fundamentalism; Pashtun Nationalism	Quetta Shura; Al-Qaeda; Haqqani Network	Nationwide; Southern and Western provinces	Opium taxation; mineral extraction; local extortion
Haqqani Network	Deobandi Sunni Extremism; Zadran Pashtun Tribalism	ISI; Al-Qaeda; Taliban Command	Southeastern provinces; North Waziristan	Cross-border smuggling; Gulf donations; kidnapping
Al-Qaeda	Transnational Salafi Jihadism; Global Caliphate	Taliban leadership; Haqqani Network	Isolated safe havens; eastern border zones	Global private donations; illicit smuggling networks
ISIS-K	Global Salafi-Jihadist Caliphate	Opposition to Taliban "Apostates" and Shia Hazaras	Eastern provinces (Nangarhar); urban cells	Human trafficking; smuggling; transnational financing

Insurgent Organization	Core Ideological Foundation	Strategic Alignment Nodes	Operational Area	Primary Financial Conduit
Islamic Movement of Uzbekistan (IMU)	Central Asian Islamist Extremism	Tactical coordination with Taliban and Al-Qaeda	Northern provinces (Kunduz, Badakhshan)	Opium trafficking; regional extortion

Table 5: Major Insurgent and Terrorist Factions Operating in the Afghan Theater

The Haqqani Network operated as the most lethal and politically autonomous wing of the insurgency. Hailing from southeastern Afghanistan, the network belonged to the Zadran Pashtun tribe and was founded by Jalaluddin Haqqani. The network maintained deep, structural relationships with external sponsors, dating back to the anti-Soviet jihad. Hekmatyar and Haqqani were highly successful at forging relationships with the CIA, Saudi private donors, and Pakistan's ISI. Hekmatyar received massive operational, financial, and military support from Pakistan's ISI, using the help to coordinate sophisticated military operations.

The Haqqani family also maintained close operational links to al-Qaeda; both Abdullah Azzam and Osama bin Laden began their careers as volunteer fighters for the Haqqanis. Analyst Peter Bergen argues in *The Battle for Tora Bora* that the Haqqani network actively assisted with the escape of bin Laden and key al-Qaeda commanders into safe havens in Pakistan, demonstrating the strength of the bond and the network's role in the evasion of coalition forces.

Division VII: Key Combat Operations and Battleground Forensics

Tactical Execution of Operation Anaconda and Battle of Takur Ghar (2002)

Operation Anaconda, executed in early March 2002 in the high-altitude Shah-i-Kot Valley of Paktia Province, represented the first large-scale conventional ground battle of the war for U.S. forces. The campaign was designed to clear a concentration of approximately 300 to 1,000 al-Qaeda and Taliban fighters who had regrouped in the rugged valley. The operational plan relied on a complex helicopter air assault to insert U.S. Army infantry units and allied Special Operations teams to establish blocking positions along the valley's eastern ridgelines.

On the morning of March 4, 2002, the operational plan collapsed during the Battle of Takur Ghar. A U.S. Navy SEAL reconnaissance team, commanded by Britt Slabinski, was inserted via MH-47E Chinook helicopter directly onto the snow-covered peak of Takur Ghar Mountain, which was secretly occupied by an entrenched insurgent force. The helicopter was targeted by intense insurgent heavy machine-gun (DShK) and rocket-propelled grenade fire, causing Navy SEAL Neil Roberts to fall from the open ramp onto the peak.

The severely damaged helicopter crash-landed in the valley, prompting a series of uncoordinated, high-risk rescue attempts. A second MH-47E Chinook carrying a U.S. Army Ranger quick reaction force was shot down on the peak, initiating a grueling, fifteen-hour firefight. The battle resulted in the deaths of eight American service members and eighty wounded. The tactical failures at Takur Ghar exposed critical deficiencies in joint-force communication, a systemic failure to integrate air and ground assets, and a profound underestimation of the tactical discipline, coordination, and equipment of the foreign al-Qaeda

and Uzbek IMU fighters.

The Helmand Pacification: Battle of Marjah and Battle of Sangin (2010–2011)

Operation Moshtarak ("Together"), launched on February 13, 2010, was a massive joint pacification offensive involving 15,000 coalition and Afghan troops, designed to clear the agricultural town of Marjah in Helmand Province. Marjah served as a key strategic command center for the Taliban insurgency and a major financial processing hub for the regional opium economy. Specially designed to test General Stanley McChrystal's revised counterinsurgency doctrine, the offensive was heavily publicized in advance to encourage local fighters to lay down their arms. This strategy of advanced warning backfired, allowing the Taliban to construct extensive defensive barriers, ringing the town with complex IED belts that restricted coalition movement to highly predictable, narrow lanes.

While the initial air assault inserted thousands of Marines into the city center under heavy fire, the subsequent transition to formal state governance failed. The "government in a box" prepared by civilian planners proved incompetent, corrupt, and unable to secure the trust of the population, allowing Taliban shadow networks to quickly re-establish control as soon as conventional coalition troop densities were reduced.

Concurrently, Sangin District emerged as the single bloodiest battleground of the war for foreign forces. British forces under Task Force Helmand had been engaged in a continuous, high-intensity struggle in Sangin since 2006, operating from isolated "platoon houses" and sustaining over 100 fatalities before transferring the sector to the U.S. Marine Corps in September 2010. The U.S. Marine Corps' 3rd Battalion, 5th Regiment ("Dark Horse") assumed responsibility for Sangin in October 2010, immediately encountering a highly lethal security environment. The Taliban utilized a highly sophisticated strategy of IED placement, burying non-metallic, pressure-plate explosives in agricultural dirt roads, inside compound walls, and even inside the trunks of trees.

The human cost was devastating: in the first two weeks of the deployment, the battalion suffered fourteen killed in action. By the conclusion of their seven-month deployment in April 2011, the "Dark Horse" battalion had sustained twenty-five killed and 184 wounded in action, representing the highest casualty rate of any single unit deployment in the entire twenty-year conflict. Despite clearing operations, the structural control of Sangin remained highly contested, demonstrating the limits of conventional infantry operations against a highly motivated, locally integrated insurgent force that utilized low-cost, asymmetric weapons technology to devastating effect.

The Fall of Kunduz and the Médecins Sans Frontières Hospital Strike (2015)

The structural vulnerability of the central government's security architecture was demonstrated in September 2015, when Taliban militants launched a sudden, coordinated offensive that captured the northern city of Kunduz. This was the first major urban center to fall to the Taliban since 2001, triggering a chaotic retreat by government forces.

Prior to the attack, NDS operatives safely evacuated the city without informing the local police or provincial governor, highlighting a catastrophic failure of intelligence sharing and coordination.

The Afghan army, supported by U.S. Special Operations teams and air assets, launched a chaotic counteroffensive to regain control of the city.

During this intense urban combat, on October 3, 2015, a U.S. Air Force AC-130U gunship, call sign "Hammer," executed a prolonged, highly precise attack on the Kunduz Trauma Centre operated by Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF). The attack, which unfolded over a series of successive bombing runs lasting from 2:08 A.M. to 3:15 A.M., resulted in the horrific deaths of forty-two individuals, including fourteen MSF staff members, twenty-four patients, and four patient caretakers.

Forensic reconstructions revealed that the attack was a product of catastrophic systems failure:

1. **Doctrinal Misidentification:** The AC-130U crew, operating under high combat stress and experiencing failures in their satellite communication systems, misidentified the MSF hospital as a nearby government building that had been seized by Taliban fighters.
2. **Intelligence Confirmation Bias:** Days prior to the strike, U.S. special operations analysts had flagged the MSF facility on military maps, harboring a highly flawed belief that a Pakistani intelligence operative was utilizing the hospital to coordinate Taliban operations.
3. **Communication Failure:** MSF had repeatedly communicated the exact GPS coordinates of the trauma center to all warring parties, as recently as September 29, 2015. Despite MSF staff frantically calling U.S. military contacts during the active bombing run, the strike continued for over thirty minutes after initial alerts were received.

The strike, which human rights organizations classified as a war crime, highlighted the systemic dangers of the coalition's reliance on stand-off, high-altitude kinetic targeting platforms that operated without verified, uncorrupted ground intelligence.

Division VIII: Human Rights Records, Detentions, and the Air War

Systematic human rights violations were committed by all parties to the conflict throughout the twenty-year war. The Taliban and its insurgent allies executed a deliberate strategy of terror, utilizing targeted civilian assassinations, house-to-house searches of civil servants and activists, and public corporal punishments. UNAMA and Human Rights Watch documented widespread public floggings and executions, including instances where Taliban forces entered the homes of wounded individuals and summarily executed them. The insurgent groups systematically targeted educational institutions, hospitals, and minority Shia Hazara communities, utilizing suicide bombings and coordinated massacres to destabilize urban areas.

Concurrently, coalition-supported proxy forces and state intelligence organs operated with near-total impunity. The National Directorate of Security (NDS) systematically utilized torture and physical abuse to extract confessions from security detainees. A UNAMA detention observation report revealed that approximately 46% of the 273 detainees interviewed who had been in NDS custody experienced severe physical abuse and torture. At NDS Department 90/124 in Kabul, interrogators systematically beat detainees with plastic water pipes, wooden sticks, and metal rods, and subjected them to forced prolonged standing—a torture technique combining sleep deprivation, sensory deprivation, and painful stress positions.

Operational Facility	Primary Controlling Entity	Systemic Interrogation Protocols	Documented Human Rights Violations
Salt Pit (Cobalt)	CIA Counterterrorism Command	Behavioral breakdown; sensory deprivation; cold cell hosing	Hypothermia-related fatalities; extrajudicial isolation
Bagram Collection	U.S. Armed Forces	Communal wire-mesh	Systematic physical

Operational Facility	Primary Controlling Entity	Systemic Interrogation Protocols	Documented Human Rights Violations
Point	Command	pens; sensory deprivation; sleep deprivation	abuse; unauthorized medical isolation
NDS Department 90/124	National Directorate of Security	Severe physical beatings; forced standing; stress positions	Confirmed systematic torture of adult and child detainees
<i>Parwan Detention Facility</i>	Joint Transition Command	Permanent military incarceration; restricted communication	Extrajudicial detention of minors without formal legal trial

Table 6: Key Detention and Interrogation Facilities in the Afghan Theater

The strategic air war also generated immense human and political costs. The coalition's increasing reliance on unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and high-altitude precision air strikes to execute counterterrorism targets resulted in persistent allegations of civilian casualties. In rural southern and eastern provinces, the destruction of civilian homes, schools, and medical facilities during night raids and air strikes severely alienated the population, driving local communities to provide logistical support and intelligence to the insurgency.

Division IX: Weapons and Technology Catalog

The military conflict in Afghanistan was defined by the deployment of a highly diverse, technologically complex array of weapons systems. The coalition's military dominance relied on advanced tactical air assets, heavily armored ground transport systems, and state-of-the-art signals and night-vision capabilities.

Conversely, the insurgent forces countered this technical superiority through the highly effective, asymmetrical deployment of low-cost, low-technology weapons systems, primarily improvised explosive devices (IEDs).

The physical hardware and weapons systems deployed, utilized, and subsequently abandoned or captured during the conflict are categorized in the following structural databases:

Equipment Designation	Core Tactical Function	Primary User Entity	Technical / Strategic Vulnerability
M4 Carbine / M16 Rifle	Standard Issue Assault Rifle	U.S. and Coalition Forces; ANDSF	Highly vulnerable to dust-induced jamming in arid terrain
Kalashnikov-Pattern AK-47	Standard Insurgent Assault Rifle	Taliban; Haqqani Network; IMU	Low precision; highly durable under extreme environmental wear
Improvised Explosive Device (IED)	Asymmetric Roadside Mine / Explosive	Taliban; Insurgent Factions	High difficulty of detection; non-metallic pressure plates bypass sweepers
**HMMWV (Humvee)	Light Tactical Armored Vehicle	U.S. Forces; ANDSF (3,012 units)	Extremely vulnerable to under-body pressure-plate IED blasts

Equipment Designation	Core Tactical Function	Primary User Entity	Technical / Strategic Vulnerability
MRAP (Mine-Resistant Vehicle)	Heavy Armored Patrol Vehicle	U.S. and Coalition Forces	Heavy physical mass; highly restricted to established, paved roads
UH-60 Black Hawk Helicopter	Utility and Tactical Transport Helicopter	U.S. Air Force; Afghan Air Force	High logistical maintenance signature; vulnerable to RPG fire
AC-130U Gunship	Close Air Support & Ground Attack	United States Air Force	High reliance on accurate ground target coordinates
AN/PVS-14 Night Vision	Tactical Night-Vision Monocular	U.S. Forces; Captured by Taliban	Highly sensitive optics; subject to reverse-engineering analysis
FIM-92 Stinger Missile	Man-Portable Surface-to-Air Missile	Mujahideen (historic); Strategic Threat	Degradation of rocket motors over long-term storage
Commercial Drone Platforms	Reconnaissance and Surveillance	Insurgent Factions; Local Forces	High vulnerability to electronic jamming and signals intercept

Table 7: Core Weapons Systems and Armaments of the Afghanistan Conflict

Left-Behind U.S. Military Asset	Quantity Left in Country	SIGAR Verified Financial Value (USD)	Primary Strategic Danger Post-Withdrawal
Assault Rifles (M4, M16, AK)	258,300	410.0 million	Direct diversion to regional terrorist black markets
Tactical Pistols & Sidearms	64,300	52.0 million	Concealable weaponry utilizing standard NATO calibers
Light & Heavy Machine Guns	56,155	49.8 million	High-density squad firepower for regional militant factions
Humvees (HMMWV)	23,825	1.25 billion	Integration into Taliban tactical border patrol units
Armored Combat Vehicles	900	2.87 billion	Enhanced kinetic capabilities for Taliban state structures
Fixed/Rotary-Wing Aircraft	78	920.0 million	Taliban pilot training; propaganda demonstration
Night-Vision & Infrared Devices	16,000+	112.0 million	Elimination of coalition night-combat tactical advantage

Left-Behind U.S. Military Asset	Quantity Left in Country	SIGAR Verified Financial Value (USD)	Primary Strategic Danger Post-Withdrawal
Tactical Communications Radios	95,000+	180.0 million	Encrypted communication for regional extremist networks

Table 8: Volumetric and Financial Inventory of Left-Behind Military Hardware (August 2021)

Division X: The Diplomacy of Withdrawal: The Doha Accord, Military Collapse, and Strategic Proliferation

The diplomatic process to terminate the conflict was formalized under the first administration of U.S. President Donald Trump, culminating in the signing of the Doha Agreement on February 29, 2020, in Qatar. The agreement was negotiated in complete exclusion of the internationally recognized Afghan government, severely undermining its political authority.

The terms of the Doha Agreement established a rigid, conditional withdrawal timeline:

1. **Complete Troop Withdrawal:** The United States and its NATO allies committed to withdraw all military forces, contractors, and non-diplomatic security personnel within fourteen months (by May 1, 2021).
2. **Insurgent Guarantees:** The Taliban pledged to prevent al-Qaeda and other extremist groups from utilizing Afghan soil to threaten the security of the United States and its allies.
3. **Political Reconciliation:** The Taliban committed to initiate direct peace negotiations with the Afghan government to establish a transitional political structure.
4. **Prisoner Swap:** The Afghan government was pressured to release 5,000 imprisoned Taliban fighters, while the Taliban agreed to release 1,000 captured state personnel.

While the Trump administration rapidly executed troop drawdowns, reducing U.S. forces from approximately 13,000 in February 2020 to 2,500 by January 15, 2021, the Taliban systematically ignored their political commitments. The group maintained active operational ties with al-Qaeda, refused to engage in power-sharing talks with Ghani's administration, and escalated its kinetic campaigns against the Afghan security forces. Upon assuming office in February 2021, President Joe Biden ordered a strategic review, subsequently announcing that the final military pull-out would proceed, with a delayed symbolic deadline of August 31, 2021.

The final phase of the withdrawal triggered the complete, rapid collapse of the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces (ANDSF). Although conventional metrics asserted that the ANDSF maintained a peak paper strength of 307,947 personnel in January 2021, the force was structurally hollowed out. The withdrawal of U.S. forces, and more critically, the abrupt departure of over 117,000 private defense contractors, instantly dismantled the operational capacity of the ANDSF.

The force was entirely dependent on these contractors for the complex maintenance, logistics, and parts-replacement pipelines necessary to sustain its primary air assets, combat vehicles, and heavy artillery systems. Without contractor-led maintenance, the Afghan Air Force was rapidly grounded, depriving front-line infantry checkpoints of close air support, casualty evacuation, and ammunition resupply.

Furthermore, systemic corruption had gutted the command structure. Senior officers had systematically inflated troop rosters with thousands of non-existent "ghost soldiers," pocketing

the cash salaries funded by Western aid. Front-line soldiers, who had not received pay, food, or operational ammunition in months, were systematically bypassed and surrounded by Taliban mobile forces.

Leveraging psychological operations and local tribal negotiations, Taliban emissaries offered cash payouts and safe passage to surrendering units. The ANDSF collapsed without an integrated national defense, leading to the rapid fall of successive provincial capitals. President Ashraf Ghani fled the country on August 15, 2021, and Taliban forces entered Kabul the same day, immediately establishing the second Islamic Emirate of Afghanistan.

The chaotic nature of the final coalition withdrawal resulted in an unprecedented proliferation of military hardware. The United States left behind an estimated \$38 billion in military equipment and civilian and military infrastructure that had been provided to the former Afghan government. According to SIGAR, approximately \$7.1 billion in advanced U.S.-funded military assets fell directly into the possession of the Taliban. This captured inventory included over 316,000 firearms, 40,000 ground vehicles (including MRAPs and Humvees), seventy-eight fixed-wing and rotary-wing aircraft, and thousands of advanced night-vision and tactical communications systems.

While the Taliban integrated a significant portion of this hardware into its formal security forces, a massive volume slipped into regional black markets. In the months following the withdrawal, advanced U.S.-manufactured pistols, M4 carbines, and night-vision goggles were openly sold in arms markets in southern Kandahar Province and Pakistan's Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province. This proliferation has severely destabilized the wider region. Militant groups operating in neighboring Pakistan, particularly the Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan (TTP), have utilized captured NATO weaponry to launch highly lethal attacks against Pakistani security forces.

Furthermore, U.S. equipment has been tracked to hostile state and non-state actors outside the immediate theater, including Palestinian armed factions in Gaza, insurgent groups in Kashmir, and extremist cells in Iraq and Syria. The transition of this high-grade weaponry from authorized state custody to decentralized black-market trafficking represents a primary, long-term global security risk generated by the structural failures of the withdrawal execution.

Division XI: Forensic Geopolitical Chronology (2001–2021)

To reconstruct the evolution of the conflict, the critical political, military, and intelligence milestones must be mapped sequentially. The following structured chronology documents the trajectory of the war from October 2001 to the final withdrawal in August 2021:

Calendar Date	Primary Chronological Milestone	Strategic Category	Verified Geopolitical Consequence
Oct 7, 2001	Commencement of Operation Enduring Freedom	Military Offensive	Air strikes dismantle Taliban air defenses and command infrastructure
Nov 13, 2001	Northern Alliance forces enter Kabul	Ground Campaign	Taliban executes rapid southern retreat to Kandahar
Dec 2001	Battle of Tora Bora and escape of bin Laden	Tactical Engagement	Al-Qaeda command slips across Pakistani

Calendar Date	Primary Chronological Milestone	Strategic Category	Verified Geopolitical Consequence
			border into tribal zones
Dec 5, 2001	Signing of the Bonn Agreement	Political Reconciliation	Hamid Karzai appointed head of the Afghan Interim Authority
Mar 2–18, 2002	Operation Anaconda and Battle of Takur Ghar	Major Military Operation	High casualties highlight limits of joint-force integration
May 2002	Formation of the Taliban Council of Leaders (Quetta Shura)	Insurgent Reconstitution	Shadow governance and insurgent networks organized from Pakistan
May 2003	Strategic pivot to Iraq; US declares combat end in Afghanistan	Strategic Realignment	Resource diversion allows nascent Taliban insurgency to fester
Oct 9, 2004	Afghanistan conducts first direct presidential election	Governance Implementation	Hamid Karzai elected; patronage-based governance institutionalized
2006	Taliban launches large-scale southern offensive	Insurgent Expansion	Coalition forces face major escalation in Helmand and Kandahar
2008	Specialized counter-narcotics efforts established	Economic Intervention	Failed alternative crop models lead to record opium expansion
2010	US surge under Obama peaks at 100,000 troops	Military Surge	Heavy fighting in southern provinces; peak casualty counts recorded
Feb 13, 2010	Launch of Operation Moshtarak in Marjah	Major Military Operation	IED belts and weak "government in a box" stall stabilization
Sep 2010	Kabul Bank financial collapse occurs	Economic Scandal	Theft of \$935M exposes systemic elite corruption
May 2, 2011	Operation Neptune Spear kills Osama bin Laden in Pakistan	Target Elimination	Al-Qaeda's founder neutralized; surgical counterterrorism validated
Dec 28, 2014	Operation Enduring Freedom officially concludes	Mission Transition	Combat role ends; Resolute Support training mission begins
May 2015	Taliban counteroffensive threatens Kunduz	Insurgent Expansion	Systematic governance weaknesses in northern provinces exposed

Calendar Date	Primary Chronological Milestone	Strategic Category	Verified Geopolitical Consequence
Oct 3, 2015	AC-130U airstrike destroys Kunduz MSF trauma center	Kinetic Misstep	Fatalities of 42 civilians draw global human rights condemnation
Feb 29, 2020	Signing of the US-Taliban Doha Agreement	Diplomatic Accord	Rigid 14-month withdrawal timeline established without state consent
Apr 2021	Biden confirms final troop withdrawal timeline	Government Action	Complete pull-out initiated, triggering systemic ANDSF collapse
Aug 15, 2021	Taliban captures Kabul; central state collapses	Regime Change	Ashraf Ghani flees; second Islamic Emirate established
Aug 26, 2021	Abbey Gate suicide attack kills 182 at Kabul airport	Terrorist Offensive	ISIS-K asserts operational capacity during mass evacuations
Aug 30, 2021	Last US military aircraft departs Afghanistan	Strategic Exit	Post-9/11 combat era ends; asset proliferation follows

Table 9: Geopolitical Chronology of Major Conflict Milestones (2001–2021)

Division XII: Synthesis and Strategic Conclusions

The twenty-year military, political, and intelligence intervention in Afghanistan represents a defining case study in the structural limits of post-conflict reconstruction and counterinsurgency operations. A forensic analysis of open-source data reveals that the strategic failure of the coalition was fundamentally a product of interconnected structural contradictions. The central state apparatus, established under the Karzai and Ghani administrations, was structured as a centralized rentier state completely detached from the economic realities of its domestic population. By systematically tolerating and integrating corrupt patronage networks and criminalized marketplaces, exemplified by the Kabul Bank scandal, the central government permanently compromised its legitimacy in the eyes of its citizens.

This domestic governance deficit was actively exploited by the Taliban, who successfully constructed an alternative model of rebel governance. Through the implementation of a highly predictable, Sharia-based shadow judiciary and a highly structured, decentralized taxation system extorted from the opium economy and transit routes, the Taliban established a resilient counter-state that conventional military power could not dismantle. This domestic insurgency was structurally insulated from military defeat by the active state sponsorship of Pakistan's ISI, which systematically provided sanctuary and operational assistance to ensure its own strategic depth.

Furthermore, the coalition's operational execution was compromised by severe strategic missteps. The early diversion of resources, elite personnel, and intelligence assets to Iraq in 2003 allowed the Taliban insurgency to reconstitute and expand. The subsequent construction

of the Afghan security forces (ANDSF) to be entirely dependent on highly specialized, foreign-managed logistical and private contractor networks guaranteed its immediate organizational collapse when those external assets were abruptly withdrawn under the Doha Agreement.

The resulting power vacuum initiated a rapid Taliban takeover and led to the chaotic abandonment of approximately \$7.1 billion in advanced military hardware. The subsequent proliferation of these weapons onto regional and global black markets has systematically empowered non-state militant groups across South Asia, the Middle East, and beyond, establishing a permanent, long-term threat to international security.

The ultimate lesson of the Afghanistan War is that military dominance, signals intelligence, and financial outlays are wholly ineffective if they are deployed to sustain a political marketplace that is fundamentally predatory and disconnected from its population. Future stabilization operations must prioritize local institutional legitimacy, uncorrupted human intelligence networks, and sustainable economic infrastructures over high-technology, stand-off military interventions. Absent these baseline structural alignments, conventional interventions are structurally destined to generate protracted security vacuums and systemic asset proliferation.

Works cited

1. Afghanistan War | History, Casualties, Combatants, Facts, & Timeline | Britannica, <https://www.britannica.com/event/Afghanistan-War>
2. NATIONAL DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE (NDS) OF AFGHANISTAN - Rieas, <https://rieas.gr/images/asia/musafghanintel.pdf>
3. Taliban - Wikipedia, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taliban>
4. Haqqani network - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haqqani_network
5. Taliban Structure - The National Security Archive, https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/NSAEBB/NSAEBB295/Taliban_Structure.pdf
6. Afghan Taliban - Mapping Militants Project, <https://mappingmilitants.org/files/group-profiles/taliban.pdf>
7. The Taliban in Afghanistan | Council on Foreign Relations, <https://www.cfr.org/backgrounders/taliban-afghanistan>
8. India has a new friend: the Taliban - The Loop (ECPR), <https://theloop.ecpr.eu/india-has-a-new-friend-the-taliban/>
9. The U.S. War in Afghanistan | Council on Foreign Relations, <https://www.cfr.org/articles/us-war-afghanistan>
10. War in Afghanistan (2001–2021) - Wikipedia, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/War_in_Afghanistan_\(2001%E2%80%932021\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/War_in_Afghanistan_(2001%E2%80%932021))
11. US Navy in Operation Enduring Freedom, 2001-2002 - Naval History and Heritage Command, <https://www.history.navy.mil/research/library/online-reading-room/title-list-alphabetically/u/us-navy-operation-enduring-freedom-2001-2002.html>
12. Coalition casualties in Afghanistan - Grokipedia, https://grokipedia.com/page/Coalition_casualties_in_Afghanistan
13. The Global War on Terrorism: The First 100 Days, <https://2001-2009.state.gov/s/ct/rls/wh/6947.htm>
14. An OSINT Investigation into the Life and Career of George W. Bush.pdf, <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1dLMS36ozGukl0ipNXFKxwdh5R4M3rC-I>
15. The Anatomy of a Preemptive War: A Forensic OSINT Investigation into the Geopolitical, Rhetorical, and Economic Mechanisms of the Iraq War (2003–2011).pdf, <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1kDlifuX0yB0k9xP-yT8Bk6aWfj2QwTmU>
16. OSINT Intelligence Report- The Interconnected Web of Power.pdf, https://drive.google.com/open?id=1no9eqGw_y4P_BCYjjQJqzEUHe1L2vM0S
17. RUMSFELDOLOGY — OSINT DOSSIER.pdf, <https://drive.google.com/open?id=1CkbKT0A1-e84vUA0mOUxxhD3pJPYF78n>
18. Operation Enduring Freedom - Naval History and Heritage Command,

<https://www.history.navy.mil/browse-by-topic/wars-conflicts-and-operations/middle-east/operation-enduring-freedom.html> 19. Operation Enduring Freedom - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Enduring_Freedom 20. OSINT Report on Donald Rumsfeld .pdf, https://drive.google.com/open?id=1h_99xR1hGyltI0BmcSEodGuzECre9Wg 21. TORA BORA REVISITED: HOW WE FAILED TO GET BIN LADEN AND WHY IT MATTERS TODAY - GovInfo, <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CPRT-111SPRT53709/html/CPRT-111SPRT53709.htm> 22. Full article: Natural bedfellows: corruption, criminality and the failure of international reconstruction. A case study of the Kabul Bank - Taylor & Francis, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14678802.2024.2380268> 23. Afghanistan War: U.S. Deaths and Costs - A-Mark Foundation, <https://amarkfoundation.org/reports/afghanistan-war-costs/> 24. Coalition casualties in Afghanistan - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coalition_casualties_in_Afghanistan 25. Canada and the War in Afghanistan, <https://www.warmuseum.ca/remembrance-day-resources/canada-and-the-war-in-afghanistan> 26. Corruption in Afghanistan - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corruption_in_Afghanistan 27. Deconstructing the Collapse of Afghanistan National Security and Defense Forces - USAWC Press, <https://press.armywarcollege.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3168&context=parameters> 28. Afghan Watchdog Says Ex-Leaders' Brothers Owe In Bank Scandal, <https://www.rferl.org/a/afghanistan-kabul-bank-fraud-money-owed/26617721.html> 29. Is the integrity of Afghanistan's central bank under threat?, <https://www.centralbanking.com/central-banks/governance/7720661/is-the-integrity-of-afghanistans-central-bank-under-threat> 30. The financial scandal that broke Afghanistan's Kabul Bank - The Guardian, <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2011/jun/16/kabul-bank-afghanistan-financial-scandal> 31. RSC-Khalilullah-Ferozi.pdf - UNISHKA, <https://unishka.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/RSC-Khalilullah-Ferozi.pdf> 32. (PDF) Why the NDS matters: The emergence of the Afghan intelligence agency after 9/11, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312564461_Why_the_NDS_matters_The_emergence_of_the_Afghan_intelligence_agency_after_911 33. Full article: The Taliban's Drug Trade Revenue and Taxation System: What the Experts Know - Taylor & Francis, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/1057610X.2025.2502924> 34. Funding the insurgency: The Taliban in Afghanistan - Gateway House, <https://www.gatewayhouse.in/funding-the-insurgency-the-taliban-in-afghanistan/> 35. Operation Anaconda - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Anaconda 36. Operation Anaconda An Air Power Perspective - DTIC, <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA495248.pdf> 37. Telling A Soldier's Story—Britt Kelly Slabinski – CIW REPORTS - Cornell blogs, <https://blogs.cornell.edu/issues/militaryhistory/telling-a-soldiers-story-britt-kelly-slabinski/> 38. Operation Moshtarak, https://understandingwar.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/04/Operation_Moshtarak_2.pdf 39. Operation Moshtarak - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Moshtarak 40. OPERATION MosHTarak: - Marine Corps Association, <https://www.mca-marines.org/wp-content/uploads/Operation-Moshtarak-Backgrounder.pdf> 41. Helmand: The U.S. Marines and Counterinsurgency in Afghanistan, 2010-2012 - The Aquila Digital Community, https://aquila.usm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1769&context=masters_theses 42. For Those Who Fought In Marjah, It Was More Than Just A Battle - Task & Purpose,

<https://taskandpurpose.com/news/fought-marjah-just-battle/> 43. Battle of Sangin (2010) - Wikipedia, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Sangin_\(2010\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Sangin_(2010)) 44. How Will Afghanistan Live on in Marine Corps Lore? - Military.com, <https://www.military.com/daily-news/2021/08/28/how-will-afghanistan-live-marine-corps-lore.html> 45. Sangin - Wikipedia, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sangin> 46. Longgrear, Landon: U.S. Marines at the Battle of Sangin - Berliner Zinnfiguren, <https://www.zinnfigur.com/en/Books-Media/Books/Modern-Armies/America/Longgrear-Landon-U-S-Marines-at-the-Battle-of-Sangin.html> 47. War in Afghanistan - The Battle of Kunduz - TogetherWeServed Blog, <https://blog.togetherweserved.com/war-in-afghanistan-the-battle-of-kunduz/> 48. Kunduz hospital airstrike - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kunduz_hospital_airstrike 49. Marking 10 years since the US attack on Kunduz hospital | Doctors Without Borders - USA, <https://www.doctorswithoutborders.org/latest/marking-10-years-us-attack-kunduz-hospital> 50. MSF: Death Toll Rises to 42 in Afghan Hospital Attack - VOA, <https://www.voanews.com/a/msf-death-toll-rises-to-forty-two-in-afghan-hospital-attack/3100136.html> 51. MSF Afghanistan hospital airstrike death toll reaches 42 - The Guardian, <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/dec/12/msf-afghanistan-hospital-airstrike-death-toll-42> 52. An_OSINT_Assessment_of_the_Taliban_in_Afghanistan__2025_.pdf, https://drive.google.com/open?id=1fid_v4xeFWSvIBOK0qLeEL9l0RutJW1s 53. War crimes in Afghanistan - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/War_crimes_in_Afghanistan 54. Islamic State – Khorasan Province - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Islamic_State_%E2%80%93_Khorasan_Province 55. On the margins of the terrorist attack in Krasnogorsk. Examples of financing for the Islamic State-Khorasan Province - ejournals, https://ejournals.eu/en/journal_article_files/full_text/0193ddfe-0e53-730f-95f5-46f0300358fb/download 56. AFGHANISTAN - CGVS, https://www.cgvs.be/sites/default/files/rapporten/coi_focus_afghanistan._mensenrechtenschendingen_door_het_nationaal_directoraat_voor_veiligheid_20240502.pdf 57. Afghanistan/UN, Treatment of Conflict Related Detainees - View - ICRC, <https://casebook.icrc.org/print/20917> 58. 2021 Kabul airport attack - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2021_Kabul_airport_attack 59. Final Verdict on Afghanistan: Lessons Learned at \$144 Billion - SOAA, <https://soaa.org/sigars-verdict-afghanistan/> 60. US LEFTOVER WEAPONS IN AFGHANISTAN - Institute of Regional Studies | IRS, <https://www.irs.org.pk/Report1/US%20Leftover%20Weapons-Web.pdf> 61. Taliban has learned to use some US helicopters, planes left in Afghanistan, watchdog says, <https://nationalsecuritymedia.gwu.edu/2023/03/01/taliban-has-learned-to-use-some-us-helicopters-planes-left-in-afghanistan-watchdog-says/> 62. Parwan Detention Facility - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parwan_Detention_Facility 63. 1 U.S. Withdrawal from Afghanistan This document outlines the key decisions and challenges surrounding the U.S. withdrawal from, <https://bidenwhitehouse.archives.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/US-Withdrawal-from-Afghanistan.pdf> 64. Taliban Declares End To Doha Agreement With The United States - Radio Free Europe, <https://www.rferl.org/a/afghanistan-taliban-united-states-doha-agreement/33331666.html> 65. Salt Pit - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Salt_Pit 66. Timeline of U.S. Withdrawal from Afghanistan - FactCheck.org, <https://www.factcheck.org/2021/08/timeline-of-u-s-withdrawal-from-afghanistan/> 67. Withdrawal of United States troops from Afghanistan | Explanation & Impact - Britannica, <https://www.britannica.com/event/withdrawal-of-United-States-troops-from-Afghanistan> 68.

2020–2021 U.S. troop withdrawal from Afghanistan - Wikipedia,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020%E2%80%932021_U.S._troop_withdrawal_from_Afghanistan
69. Taliban remain silent on Trump's comments about reclaiming U.S. military equipment,
<https://amu.tv/152871/> 70. Full article: Rebel Governance: an analysis of the Taliban's
Governance from 2001-2021,
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09592318.2023.2282817> 71.
_MissionAfghanistan.pdf,
https://drive.google.com/open?id=1SPRHrpbn0OinjLX3_OyaISwKIY15dQBu