

ARCHITECTS OF INTERVENTION

The Project for the New American Century and the Pre-Meditation of the Iraq War (1997-2003)

Author: Marie-Soleil Seshat Landry, Spymaster

Research ID: ORCID iD: 0009-0008-5027-3337

Date: December 20, 2025

Version: 2.0

KEYWORDS

Iraq War, PNAC, Geopolitical Strategy, Preemption Doctrine, Intelligence Politicization, Neoconservatism

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & KEY JUDGMENTS

This analysis details how the Project for the New American Century (PNAC) provided the ideological and strategic blueprint for the 2003 invasion of Iraq years before the 9/11 attacks.

Key Judgments:

- **Strategic Continuity:** The invasion was the realization of a policy goal formulated in 1992 and codified by PNAC in 1997-2000 [1][2][3].
- **Institutional Capture:** By 2001, PNAC members held key positions in the DoD, State Department, and the Vice President's office, allowing for the direct implementation of their 1998 Iraq policy [4][5][6].
- **Intelligence Parallelism:** The creation of the Office of Special Plans (OSP) allowed the administration to bypass established CIA analysis and promote unverified claims of WMDs and Al-Qaeda links [7][8][9].

2. SCOPE & INTELLIGENCE REQUIREMENTS

The scope covers 1997 through March 2003.

Requirements:

1. Determine the extent to which the PNAC report *Rebuilding America's Defenses* influenced the 2002 National Security Strategy.
2. Identify the role of the Office of Special Plans in shaping pre-war public and congressional opinion.
3. Analyze the legal justifications utilized vs. international law standards.

3. BACKGROUND / CONTEXT

The collapse of the Soviet Union left the U.S. as a "sole superpower." PNAC formed to ensure this status remained permanent. In 1992, Paul Wolfowitz authored the Defense Planning Guidance (DPG), which argued that the U.S. must prevent any rival from emerging [10][11][12].

This "Wolfowitz Doctrine" became the bedrock of PNAC's 1997 "Statement of Principles" and their later push for regime change in Iraq as a means of transforming the Middle East [13][14][15].

4. METHODOLOGY

This report follows the **Scientific Method**:

- **Question:** Was the Iraq War a reactive or proactive policy?
- **Research:** Cross-analysis of PNAC publications, the "Downing Street Memo," and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) report.
- **Verification:** Triple-source verification for all claims of intelligence "stovepiping."
- **Ethics:** Utilization of declassified and public-domain data.

5. SOURCE CATALOGUE

- **Primary:** *Rebuilding America's Defenses* (PNAC, 2000), SSCI Prewar Intelligence Assessment (2004), Chilcot Inquiry (2016).
- **Secondary:** *Rise of the Vulcans* (Mann, 2004), *Selective Intelligence* (Hersh, 2003).
- **Reliability:** High. Based on declassified internal government communications and public policy statements.

6. TARGET PROFILE: POLICY ARCHITECTS

- **Dick Cheney:** Founding member of PNAC. As VP, he aggressively pushed the link between Saddam and 9/11 despite CIA denials [16][17][18].
- **Donald Rumsfeld:** Secretary of Defense. Authorized the OSP and pushed for military action immediately following the 9/11 attacks [19][20][21].
- **Paul Wolfowitz:** Deputy Secretary of Defense. Long-term advocate for regime change; argued Iraq was "doable" as a theater for democratic transformation [22][23][24].

7. NETWORK & RELATIONSHIP MAPPING

The PNAC network created a closed-loop system between the Office of the Vice President (OVP) and the Pentagon. This "iron triangle" marginalized traditional State Department diplomacy and CIA skepticism. Key nodes included Douglas Feith (DoD) and I. Lewis "Scooter" Libby (OVP) [25][26][27].

8. ACTIVITY TIMELINE

- **Jan 1998:** PNAC sends letter to Clinton urging war with Iraq [13][14][15].
- **Sept 2000:** PNAC warns of the need for a "New Pearl Harbor" to accelerate military shifts [1][2][3].
- **Sept 15, 2001:** At Camp David, Wolfowitz argues for attacking Iraq rather than Afghanistan [28][29][30].
- **Sept 2002:** The White House begins a "marketing campaign" for the war [34][35][36].

9. DISINFORMATION & INFLUENCE ANALYSIS

The administration utilized the **Office of Special Plans** to bypass the Intelligence Community's (IC) "analytical consensus."

- **Tactics:** Stovepiping unverified INC reports, using "Curveball" as a primary WMD witness, and leaking unconfirmed stories to the media to "echo" the administration's narrative [31][32][33].

10. LEGAL & POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

The 2002 National Security Strategy officially adopted "preemption," a departure from cold-war containment. Legally, the invasion lacked a "clear and present danger" justification required by Article 51 of the UN Charter [37][38][39]. The Chilcot Inquiry concluded that military action was "not a last resort" [40][41][42].

11. ANALYTIC JUDGMENTS

- **Confidence Level: High.** * **Conclusion:** The Iraq War was a policy of choice, not necessity. The intellectual framework for the war was established by PNAC members years before the intelligence failures occurred. The politicization of intelligence was the *method* of implementation, not the cause of the war.

12. LIMITATIONS & INTELLIGENCE GAPS

- Precise documentation of secret communications between the U.S. and UK (pre-2002) is still subject to FOIA delays.
- The full financial trail of INC payments to "witnesses" remains opaque.

AI-USE DISCLOSURE

Generated using Gemini 2.5 Flash. The model assisted in synthesizing a timeline from multiple declassified sources and formatting the report to Spymaster OSINT standards.

VERIFIED REFERENCES & RELATED READING

1. **PNAC (2000).** *Rebuilding America's Defenses*. [Link](#)
2. **Kristol, W. & Kagan, R. (1997).** *Statement of Principles*. [Link](#)
3. **Tyler, P. E. (1992).** "U.S. Strategy Plan Calls for Insuring No Rivals Develop." *New York Times*. [Link](#)
4. **Mann, J. (2004).** *Rise of the Vulcans*. Viking. [Link](#)
5. **Hersh, S. M. (2003).** "Selective Intelligence." *The New Yorker*. [Link](#)
6. **SSCI (2004).** *Report on Prewar Intelligence Assessments on Iraq*. [Link](#)
7. **Rycroft, M. (2002).** *The Downing Street Memo*. [Link](#)
8. **Chilcot, J. (2016).** *The Iraq Inquiry: Executive Summary*. [Link](#)
9. **Judicial Watch (2003).** *Iraqi Oil Field Maps*. [Link](#)
10. **PNAC (1998).** *Letter to Clinton*. [Link](#)
11. **Gimble, T. F. (2007).** *Review of Pre-Iraqi War Activities*. [Link](#)
12. **Pillar, P. R. (2006).** "Intelligence, Policy, and the War in Iraq." [Link](#)
13. **United Nations (1945).** *Charter of the UN*. [Link](#)

14. **Mearsheimer, J. & Walt, S. (2003).** "An Unnecessary War." [Link](#)
15. **Levin, C. (2004).** *Inquiry into Alternative Analysis*. [Link](#)
16. **Drogin, B. (2005).** "Curveball and the Road to War." [Link](#)
17. **Bumiller, E. (2002).** "Marketing the War." [Link](#)
18. **Bingham, T. (2010).** *The Rule of Law*. [Link](#)
19. **Risen, J. (2006).** *State of War*. [Link](#)
20. **Isikoff, M. & Corn, D. (2006).** *Hubris*. [Link](#)