

The New World Order 2030: A Global Architecture for Structural Peace through Decentralized AI-Driven OSINT Espionage and Ethical Intelligence Frameworks

The global security environment is currently defined by a transition from traditional kinetic warfare to a multi-vector information war where the primary catalysts for conflict are ideological conditioning and the weaponization of identity.¹ This evolution necessitates a fundamental reconfiguration of the international peace architecture. The traditional state monopoly on intelligence is no longer sufficient to address the decentralized nature of modern threats, which often manifest as coded hate speech and warmongering propaganda on social media platforms long before the first shot is fired.² To achieve a meaningful and lasting global peace, the international community must pivot toward a plan grounded in decentralized Open Source Intelligence (OSINT) Espionage, powered by advanced Large Language Models (LLMs) like PeaceMakerGPT.⁵ This strategy proposes a massive global investment in "private eyes"—independent analysts and spymasters operating within a professionalized and legalized framework—to serve as a planetary early warning system.⁶ By leveraging the "democratization of intelligence," this framework seeks to identify and mitigate the linguistic and psychological triggers of war through the Global Peace Accountability Scoreboard (GPAS) and the professional certification of the Certified Organic Intelligence Analyst (COIA).⁶

Technical Foundations of Linguistic De-escalation and AI Oversight

The precursor to modern military aggression is almost universally a sustained campaign of dehumanization. Authoritarian regimes and extremist movements utilize public rhetoric to frame domestic social policies or marginalized groups as "existential threats," creating a moral justification, or *casus belli*, for war.¹ The primary technical challenge in preventing these conflicts lies in the detection of "coded hate speech" and "dog whistles"—language that carries a hidden, harmful meaning to a target audience while appearing benign to outsiders and traditional keyword-based moderation tools.⁹

Large Language Models as Disambiguation Engines

Research conducted in 2024 and 2025 demonstrates that general-purpose LLMs have begun to surpass human capability in identifying these coded signals. While human analysts typically achieve a 66.8% accuracy in detecting the presence of a dog whistle, state-of-the-art models such as GPT-4 and Gemini have demonstrated accuracies as high as 85%.⁹ This disparity is even more pronounced in "identification accuracy"—the ability to correctly name the specific coded term—where humans score approximately 49% compared to 69% for specialized AI configurations.⁹

Agent / Model	Presence Detection	Identification Accuracy	Definition Accuracy

Human Analyst	66.8%	49.0%	47.3%
GPT-3.5-Turbo	80.0%	58.0%	52.0%
GPT-4o	85.0%	59.8%	54.6%
Gemini-3-Pro	81.0%	69.0%	67.0%
Mixtral-7B	68.0%	59.0%	58.0%

Comparative performance metrics for coded language detection and word-sense disambiguation.⁹ The efficacy of these models in detecting warmongering is further enhanced by their ability to generate "rationales"—explicit analytical explanations for why a specific piece of content is flagged.¹¹ This transition from "black-box" binary classification to "explainable content moderation" is vital for the legal framework of private espionage. It allows independent spymasters to present evidence that is traceable, credible, and corroborated, meeting the requirements for digital evidence established by the Berkeley Protocol.¹¹

Overcoming Inconsistency and Model-Dependent Moderation

Despite their high accuracy, LLMs exhibit a phenomenon known as "model-dependent moderation," where different systems display considerable variance in their judgment of identical content.² A comprehensive analysis of over 1.3 million synthetic sentences revealed that while systems like GPT-4o and Google’s Perspective API are more measured and consistent, others exhibit internal "tipping points"—decision boundaries that vary based on the demographic group being targeted.² For instance, a sentence pairing a slur with positive language might be flagged by one model due to the presence of the slur, while another model might deem it acceptable based on the overall positive sentiment.² To address this, the global peace plan utilizes a "Model Coordination Network" (MCN) architecture.¹⁶ This decentralized approach synthesizes contributions from a diverse ensemble of models, weighting their predictions in real-time based on current performance and context.¹⁶ By coordinating models developed by different parties, the system achieves a "collective intelligence" that surpasses the capabilities of any single, proprietary model and minimizes the risk of embedded corporate or political bias.¹⁶

PeaceMakerGPT: The Autonomous Agent for World Peace

At the center of this plan is PeaceMakerGPT, an autonomous AI system designed for Natural Language Processing (NLP), OSINT analysis, and the promotion of nonviolent communication.⁵ Unlike traditional intelligence tools that focus on "threat detection" for the purpose of kinetic response, PeaceMakerGPT is oriented toward "mitigation"—the active de-escalation of tensions through the identification of warmongering rhetoric and the generation of diplomatic alternatives.¹

Multimodal Analysis and the Global Peace Index

PeaceMakerGPT operates as a multimodal agent, integrating textual transcripts, visual frames, and audio features to identify implicit hate signals that might be missed in a single-modality analysis.²⁰ By employing supervised contrastive learning, the model can separate "implicitly toxic" content from benign material with high precision.²⁰ This data is used to populate the "Peace Index"—a real-time scoring system for public figures that measures their contribution to global stability versus their incitement to conflict.¹

The technical implementation of PeaceMakerGPT focuses on four primary functions:

- 1. **Monitoring:** Continuous surveillance of political rhetoric, press releases, and social media

- streams for signs of warmongering.⁶
2. **Detection:** Identifying the weaponization of identity and dehumanizing tropes used as a precursor to war.¹
3. **Scoring:** Generating the Global Peace Accountability Scoreboard (GPAS) to provide public transparency regarding leader behavior.⁶
4. **Mitigation:** Proposing strategic nonviolent actions and pathways for nations to align their policies with the Universal Declaration of Peace (UDP).¹

The GPAS and Public Accountability

The Global Peace Accountability Scoreboard (GPAS) serves as the public interface of the PeaceMakerGPT system. It is designed to empower citizens, journalists, and international organizations by providing universal access to "ethical intelligence".⁶ By highlighting incitement to conflict in real-time, the GPAS increases the political cost of warmongering, creating a "bottom-up" mechanism for peace.⁶

GPAS Metric Category	Indicators Measured	Impact Objective
Rhetorical Stability	Use of "Us vs. Them" narratives, dehumanization, and calls for violence.	Deterrence of inflammatory public speech.
Policy Alignment	Adherence to UN SDGs, environmental diplomacy, and human rights charters.	Verification of state-level peaceful intent.
Narrative Transparency	Prevalence of disinformation, orchestrated leaks, and propaganda.	Reduction of "fog of war" manipulation.
Peace Contribution	Successful mediation efforts, land restoration, and humanitarian support.	Incentivization of constructive leadership.

GPAS scoring criteria for public figures and governing bodies.¹

Legalizing Private Espionage: The COIA and Organic Intelligence

A central pillar of the proposed peace plan is the legalization and professionalization of "private espionage" through the framework of OSINT.⁷ This involves a global investment in "private eyes"—independent analysts who can conduct sophisticated surveillance that was previously the sole domain of nation-states.⁸ The goal is to move beyond the "Secrecy Bias" of traditional intelligence and establish a "New Craft of Intelligence" that is open-source, global, and distributed.²⁵

The Doctrine of Organic Intelligence (OI)

Organic Intelligence (OI) is defined as a human-centered, peaceful, and transparent model of intelligence collection and analysis.⁷ It posits that the "new kind of spy" must prioritize planetary well-being and human dignity over traditional power dynamics.²⁴ Organic Intelligence is built on three streams: Organic OSINT (digital and physical tapestry), Organic Direct Observation (human and animal intelligence), and Ethical AI Integration (the intelligent assistant).²⁴ The "Right Intent" of Organic Intelligence is to serve the public interest and informed accountability—rejecting the motives of control, coercion, or corporate profit.⁷ This framework establishes a mandatory

baseline of "Right Method"—lawful, non-invasive, and transparent methods that prohibit deception and covert data harvesting.⁷

The Certified Organic Intelligence Analyst (COIA)

To ensure high-quality, ethical work, the plan introduces the COIA credential—a professional designation for ethical OSINT practitioners.⁷ The COIA serves as the "human-in-the-loop" who reviews all AI-generated insights before they trigger operational decisions.⁷ This is a critical mitigation strategy against "automation bias"—the human tendency to uncritically follow suggestions from automated systems.²⁷ The COIA curriculum focuses on five core competencies:

1. **Evidence Validation:** Adhering to the Berkeley Protocol for digital verification and chain of custody.⁷
2. **Privacy Compliance:** Navigating regional laws like the GDPR, CCPA, and PIPEDA while conducting investigations.⁷
3. **Bias Mitigation:** Identifying and correcting for cognitive and algorithmic biases.⁷
4. **Security Awareness:** Managing digital trails and protecting the psychosocial safety of investigators and witnesses.³⁰
5. **Impact Brokering:** Identifying the stakeholders who can best use intelligence to create a positive peace outcome.³³

Establishing a Legal Framework for Independent Spymasters

The legalization of private espionage requires a "derivative liberty right to spy".³⁴ This legal theory asserts that intelligence gathering is a necessary prerequisite for the functioning of the broader international legal system, including the enforcement of human rights and humanitarian law.³⁴ By identifying only two legitimate justifications for espionage—promoting national security and increasing international stability—the framework can delimit and prosecute "abusive spying" conducted for personal, corporate, or post-colonial interests.³⁵

A "Peaceful Intelligence Oversight Board" must be established to govern this new sector. Composed of legal experts, ethicists, and civil society representatives, the board would publish quarterly reports and maintain a grievance mechanism for individuals or organizations flagged by the GPAS.⁷ This oversight ensures that decentralized OSINT remains a tool for knowledge and accountability rather than a weapon for harassment.³⁶

Decentralized Infrastructure and the "PeaceForce"

The execution of a global peace plan requires an infrastructure that is both resilient to state interference and aligned with ecological principles. This is achieved through the integration of blockchain technology and organic materials.⁶

Blockchain-Governed Intelligence and Reparations

Decentralized AI (DAI) utilizes blockchain to store model parameters locally, while smart contracts facilitate secure, autonomous aggregation of model updates without central oversight.¹⁷ This architecture ensures "data sovereignty"—raw data remains on local devices, reducing privacy risks and preventing the centralization of intelligence power.¹⁷

Furthermore, blockchain-governed frameworks can incorporate "algorithmic reparations" mechanisms. For instance, a blockchain-governed AI used to monitor conflict minerals has achieved 99.2% material traceability and used smart contracts to autonomously enforce decisions and manage reparations pools for human rights violations.³⁸ This model can be scaled to the global level, where incitement to war

results in immediate financial or diplomatic consequences mediated by decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs).³⁸

Secure Organic Infrastructure (SOI)

The NWO2030 initiative proposes the development of "Secure Organic Infrastructure" to host the PeaceMakerGPT ecosystem. This includes hemp-based computing cases and data centers constructed from carbon-negative "Seshat's Composites".⁶ The shift to organic, hemp-derived materials is a strategic peace move: by replacing the reliance on foreign oil and rare-earth minerals—the traditional drivers of geopolitical friction—with versatile, locally grown hemp-based technologies, nations can achieve "Green Sovereignty".¹

Component	Traditional Technology	Organic Alternative (SOI)	Strategic Peace Benefit
Computing Chassis	Plastics / Conflict Minerals.	Hemp-based bionanocomposites.	Reduced reliance on extractive supply chains.
Energy Source	Fossil fuels / Petrostate dependence.	Hemp-derived biofuels / Local energy.	Dismantling of petrostate power structures.
Material Strength	Metals / Synthetic Epoxies.	Seshat's Bones / Hempoxies.	Sustainable, lightweight defense materials.
Data Centers	High energy, carbon-positive.	Carbon-negative, biodegradable SOI.	Alignment of tech with environmental peace.

Comparison of traditional and Secure Organic Infrastructure (SOI) components.¹

Investing for Peace: Financing a Quantum Leap in Stability

The current funding model for global security is profoundly inefficient. Donors continue to invest three to five times less in conflict prevention than in acute crisis response.⁴⁰ Every dollar invested in early warning and peacebuilding saves an estimated \$16 in future humanitarian and military costs.⁴² To realize a decentralized OSINT peace plan, a new investment paradigm is required—one that bridges the gap between private capital and peacebuilding objectives.⁴¹

Blended Finance and the I4P Initiative

The "Investing for Peace" (I4P) initiative, a coalition between Germany, the UN Peacebuilding Support Office (PBSO), and the UN Capital Development Fund (UNCDF), serves as a model for this new investment strategy.⁴¹ I4P utilizes catalytic donor funding to "de-risk" private capital from Development Finance Institutions (DFIs) and impact investors, enabling them to channel funds into fragile markets that would otherwise be considered too high-risk.⁴³ The I4P framework includes:

- **Peace-Positive Specialized Vehicle:** A dedicated investment institution with Euro 12 million in start-up funding to pioneer peace-positive investments in fragile states.⁴¹
- **Peace Impact Framework:** A four-step process involving conflict analysis, explicit theories of change, transaction diligence, and post-investment accountability to ensure that capital does not inadvertently aggravate fragility.⁴¹
- **Local Leadership:** Allocating funding directly to primary and lowest-level local actors to ensure

long-term sustainability and legitimacy.⁴⁰

Peace Bonds and the Democratization of Defense Financing

Historically, war has been financed through debt securities like "Liberty Bonds" or "Victory Bonds," which borrow from citizens to fund military operations.⁴⁷ The global peace plan proposes a reversal of this model through "Peace Bonds"—debt securities geared toward conflict prevention and stabilization.⁴⁷ These bonds allow the public to invest directly in the decentralized OSINT network and the mitigation of warmongering.⁴⁷

Additionally, the development of specialized digital banks, such as Shield Bank and Erebor, provides the financial infrastructure for the "New Craft of Intelligence".⁴⁷ These entities aim to fill the void in financing for AI, defense technology, and stablecoin transactions, operating as the "most regulated" facilitators of the decentralized intelligence economy.⁴⁷

Geopolitical Strategy: Mitigation through Strategic Autonomy

The application of this decentralized peace plan can be seen in its approach to autocratic resurgence. By identifying the root causes of conflict—often tied to resource scarcity and ideological "Holy Wars"—the system proposes actionable, non-kinetic strategies for de-escalation.¹

#MissionSOFIA and Green Sovereignty

A primary case study in this approach is the #MissionSOFIA initiative, which leverages Ukrainian-developed industrial hemp (the 'Sofia' strain) as a strategic resource for "Green Sovereignty".¹ By transforming a conflict zone into a hub for sustainable, carbon-negative technology, the plan addresses both the economic recovery of the region and the underlying energy dependencies that drive geopolitical competition.¹ This concept is extended globally as a strategic pathway for nations to align with the Universal Declaration of Peace.¹

Countering the Weaponization of Identity

The OSINT monitoring of authoritarian regimes has revealed a consistent strategy of elevating anti-LGBTQ+ and anti-transgender rhetoric to justify military aggression.¹ These "Traditional Family Guy" tactics frame Western liberalism as an "existential, Satanic threat," creating a psychological *casus belli* for invasions like the one in Ukraine.¹

PeaceMakerGPT mitigates this by:

1. **Exposing the Roster:** Maintaining a roster of the "Top 20 Warmongering Figures" and analyzing their rhetorical patterns.¹
2. **Dismantling Narratives:** Utilizing AI-powered analysis to deconstruct propaganda "fictions" that simplify complex global relations into "Us vs. Them" binaries.¹
3. **Diplomatic Engagement:** Offering tailored mitigation strategies focused on diplomatic engagement and the protection of at-risk populations in conflict zones.¹

The Warning-Response Gap and the Future of Early Warning

One of the greatest challenges in conflict prevention is the "warning-response gap"—the failure of decision-makers to act upon high-confidence intelligence.²⁷ AI systems have already demonstrated the ability to predict conflicts, such as the invasion of Ukraine or insurgent attacks in Afghanistan, with accuracy comparable to or exceeding human analysts.⁵¹ However, these warnings often fail to translate

into preventive diplomacy because they remain trapped within centralized state institutions.²⁷

Solving Gatekeeping through Decentralization

The decentralized OSINT framework solves this gap by bypass government and traditional information gatekeepers.⁸ By making verified, high-confidence intelligence publicly available through the GPAS and independent OSINT agencies, the plan creates an "Information Asymmetry" where state actors can no longer hide behind plausible deniability.⁸ This transparency pressure fundamentally changes the risk calculations of warmongers, as their operations face substantial attribution risks through OSINT methods regardless of their traditional tradecraft quality.⁸

Feature	Centralized Intelligence (Traditional)	Decentralized OSINT (New Plan)
Data Access	Classified / Compartmentalized.	Public / Commercially Available.
Cost Efficiency	Massive state investment required.	~2% of traditional agency costs. ⁸
Verification	Internal / Subjective.	Berkeley Protocol / Publicly Verifiable.
Response	State-dependent / Reactive.	Community-driven / Proactive.
Resilience	Single points of failure.	Distributed / Networked. ¹⁶

Strategic advantages of the decentralized intelligence paradigm.⁸

Implementation Roadmap: Phased Rollout 2025–2030

The transition to this global peace plan is structured into a five-year phased implementation strategy under the NWO2030 framework.⁶

Phase 1: Foundation and Certification (2025)

The initial phase centers on the launch of the PeaceMakerGPT Minimum Viable Product (MVP) and the commencement of training for the first cohort of COIAs.⁶ This year focuses on establishing the "Oversight Board" and securing start-up funding through the I4P vehicle.⁷

Phase 2: Visibility and Transparency (2026)

The Global Peace Accountability Scoreboard (GPAS) enters its beta launch, allowing for the public indexing of the rhetoric and policies of world leaders.⁶ The network begins to integrate multimodal AI tools to monitor for "dog whistles" and coded hate speech on a global scale.⁶

Phase 3: Network Full-Scale (2027)

The full-scale decentralized OSINT network becomes operational, with independent "spymasters" and spyshops established in key geopolitical regions.⁶ The "Decentralized PeaceForce" of human analysts begins verifying AI alerts with peer-reviewed human oversight.⁶

Phase 4: Infrastructure and Recognition (2028–2029)

The integration of "Secure Organic Infrastructure"—hemp-based data centers and AI hosting—ensures the resilience of the network.⁶ The initiative pursues formal recognition from the United Nations and other multilateral bodies, seeking to establish NWO2030 as a global standard for ethical governance and

conflict prevention.⁶

Phase 5: Global Accountability Standard (2030)

By 2030, the framework is established as the universal baseline for ethical intelligence and accountability. The "Second Enlightenment" is realized through the successful combination of AI ethics, organic innovation, and grassroots OSINT systems to rewrite the future of global governance.⁶

Risk Mitigation and the Precautionary Principle

The deployment of a global AI surveillance network must be governed by the "precautionary principle" to avoid unintended harms such as privacy breaches or "automation bias".²⁷ Member states and the peacebuilding community must work together to trial these systems in "safe environments," such as the UN Futures Lab, before wider deployment.²⁷

Protecting Individual Sovereignty and Privacy

The plan strictly differentiates between "Public Figures" and "Private Citizens." OSINT efforts must target systems of unaccountable power—monitoring public figures in positions of influence and investigating institutions—rather than targeting vulnerable private individuals.⁷ Compliance with the GDPR, CCPA, and the Berkeley Protocol is mandatory to ensure that the "Right to Know" does not violate the "Right to Privacy".⁷

Mitigating Bias in Global Monitoring

To ensure cultural and political inclusivity, AI models must undergo continuous bias analysis and correction to avoid reinforcing harmful stereotypes or misinformation.⁷ The reliance on a "Model Coordination Network" ensures that no single cultural perspective—such as the "Western liberal" or "Authoritarian nationalist"—dominates the system's decision boundaries.²

Synthesis of the Global Peace Plan

The proposed global plan for world peace represents a transformative shift from a defensive, reactive posture to a proactive, "Structural Peace" architecture.²² By investing in decentralized OSINT espionage and the PeaceMakerGPT ecosystem, the international community can identify the linguistic and psychological precursors to conflict before they manifest as kinetic violence.⁵

The plan reclaims the phrase "New World Order" as a framework for transparency, sustainability, and ethical AI oversight.⁶ It empowers a new class of "independent spymasters" through the COIA credential, providing them with the legal and technical tools to challenge state-sponsored propaganda and warmongering.⁷ Supported by innovative financing instruments like Peace Bonds and the I4P initiative, this decentralized PeaceForce creates a global standard for accountability that is immune to the gatekeeping of centralized power.⁶

In the 21st century, peace is no longer merely the absence of war; it is the presence of verified truth and the systematic mitigation of hate.⁵ By grounding world peace in the principles of Organic Intelligence and the technical rigor of the Berkeley Protocol, the international community can build a future that is not only secure but also just, sustainable, and transparent.⁶

Works cited

1. E-mail - Osint Release - Vladimir Putin & Russia, December 9, 2025 ..., accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/963613983/E-mail-Osint-Release->

Vladimir-Putin-Russia-December-9-2025

2. AI hate speech detectors show major inconsistencies, new study ..., accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.psypost.org/ai-hate-speech-detectors-show-major-inconsistencies-new-study-reveals/>
3. Utilising Artificial intelligence (AI) and open source intelligence (OSINT) for Conflict Prevention and Peacebuilding Discussion - GPPAC, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.gppac.net/files/2024-10/Utilising%20Artificial%20intelligence%20%28AI%29%20and%20open%20source%20intelligence%20%28OSINT%29%20for%20Conflict%20Prevention%20and%20Peacebuilding%20Discussion%20Note.pdf>
4. Culture war games: the sword and shield of surveillance - Fuyoh!, accessed on December 18, 2025, <http://www.fuyoh.net/2021/12/05/culture-war-games-the-sword-and-shield-of-surveillance/>
5. Capabilities and Capacities of PeaceMakerGPT | PDF - Scribd, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/840344541/Capabilities-and-Capacities-of-PeaceMakerGPT>
6. NWO2030 | PDF | Governance | Artificial Intelligence - Scribd, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/862247160/NWO2030>
7. Charter On Peaceful, Ethical and Legal OSINT Espionage | PDF ..., accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/880299441/Charter-on-Peaceful-Ethical-and-Legal-OSINT-Espionage>
8. Democratized Intelligence: How Open-Source Intelligence is Reshaping Asymmetric Advantage - Irregular Warfare Initiative, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://irregularwarfare.org/articles/democratized-intelligence-how-open-source-intelligence-is-reshaping-asymmetric-advantage/>
9. Silent Signals, Loud Impact: LLMs for Word-Sense Disambiguation of Coded Dog Whistles, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://chatpaper.com/paper/49494>
10. Algorithms of Exclusion - DAHRD, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://dahrd.org/wp-content/uploads/Algorithms-of-Exclusion-DAHRD.pdf>
11. TARGE: large language model-powered explainable hate speech detection - PMC - NIH, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12192871/>
12. Berkeley Protocol on Digital Open Source Investigations :, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/3973652?ln=es>
13. Evaluating digital open source imagery: A guide for judges and fact-finders - UC Berkeley Human Rights Center, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://humanrights.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/Guide-for-judges.pdf>
14. AI platforms display considerable variance in judging hate speech, Annenberg School study finds - The Daily Pennsylvanian, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.thedp.com/article/2025/09/penn-language-models-hate-speech-annenberg>
15. Model-Dependent Moderation: Inconsistencies in Hate Speech Detection Across LLM-based Systems - Center on Media, Technology and Democracy, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://infodem.upenn.edu/model-dependent-moderation-inconsistencies-in-hate-speech-detection-across-llm-based-systems/>
16. Allora Network: A Comprehensive Analysis of a Self-Improving Decentralized AI Protocol, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://medium.com/@gwrx2005/allora-network-a-comprehensive-analysis-of-a-self-improving-decentralized-ai-protocol-5047e9fc7c00>
17. Toward Decentralized Intelligence: A Systematic Literature Review of Blockchain-Enabled AI Systems - MDPI, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/16/9/765>
18. Marie Landry's Spy Shop - Poe, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://poe.com/marielandryceo>
19. Capabilities and Applications of PeaceMakerGPT | PDF | Artificial Intelligence - Scribd, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/830406970/Capabilities-and-Applications-of->

PeaceMakerGPT

20. Multimodal Hate Content Detection using Deep Learning - IDR@IIT Indore, accessed on December 18, 2025, https://dspace.iiti.ac.in/bitstream/123456789/17343/1/MT_350_Anukriti_Bhatnagar_2302101007.pdf
21. Corso di Laurea Magistrale in: - UniRe, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://unire.unige.it/bitstream/handle/123456789/11427/tesi32429714.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y&group=an>
22. New Brunswick Quad-Nation & Multicultural Peace: Master Document | PDF - Scribd, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/924434840/New-Brunswick-Quad-Nation-Multicultural-Peace-Master-Documents-A-Comprehensive-Open-Source-Intelligence-OSINT-Report-for-Structural-Peace-and-I>
23. Full article: Commercially sourced intelligence: friend or foe?, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02684527.2024.2437955>
24. The Organic Spymaster | PDF | Artificial Intelligence - Scribd, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/894024074/The-Organic-Spymaster>
25. OSINT Best Practices for Criminal Investigations | Blog | Social Links, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://blog.sociallinks.io/osint-in-criminal-investigations-methodologies-and-best-practices/>
26. New Craft of IntelligenceTHE NEW CRAFT OF INTELLIGENCE | PDF - Scribd, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/126024909/New-Craft-of-IntelligenceTHE-NEW-CRAFT-OF-INTELLIGENCE>
27. Predictive Technologies in Conflict Prevention: Practical and Policy ..., accessed on December 18, 2025, https://unu.edu/sites/default/files/2023-09/predictive_technologies_conflict_prevention_.pdf
28. The Legal Implications of Using OSINT (Open Source Intelligence), accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://ernestgoodmanlawfirm.com/the-legal-implications-of-using-osint-open-source-intelligence/>
29. Exploring the Intersection of OSINT and Data Privacy in the Digital World - New America, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.newamerica.org/future-security/reports/preserving-privacy-an-impact-framework/exploring-the-intersection-of-osint-and-data-privacy-in-the-digital-world/>
30. Launch of the Berkeley Protocol on Digital Open-Source Investigations - Berkeley University, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.ohchr.org/en/statements-and-speeches/2020/12/launch-berkeley-protocol-digital-open-source-investigations>
31. Berkeley Protocol on Digital Open Source Investigations - Leigh Day, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.leighday.co.uk/news/blog/2020-blogs/berkeley-protocol-on-digital-open-source-investigations/>
32. Ethical Considerations for Open-Source Investigations into International Crimes | American Journal of International Law - Cambridge University Press, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/american-journal-of-international-law/article/ethical-considerations-for-opensource-investigations-into-international-crimes/FE78D42FD4D344F6B1EE98413C20B5DF>
33. Flipping Gems - The Art and Science of Open-Source Intelligence For Impact - Scribd, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/922844314/Flipping-Gems-The-Art-and-Science-of-Open-Source-Intelligence-for-Impact>
34. The International Law of Intelligence: The World of Spycraft and the Law of Nations - Yale Law School Open Scholarship Repository, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://openyls.law.yale.edu/bitstreams/39fc7dc9-203f-4cad-b3b0-05c35581faf0/download>
35. The Liberty to Spy - Harvard Law School Journals, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://journals.law.harvard.edu/ilj/wp-content/uploads/sites/84/61.1-Lubin.pdf>
36. Universal Declaration of Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT) | PDF | Privacy | Social Ethics, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/845426292/Universal-Declaration-of-Open-Source-Intelligence-OSINT>

37. The influence of decentralized AI architectures on distributed data governance - International Journal of Science Engineering and Technology, accessed on December 18, 2025, https://www.ijset.in/wp-content/uploads/IJSET_V8_issue6_374.pdf
38. Frontiers in Emerging Technology Blockchain-Governed AI for Ethical Supply Chains: A Framework for Transparency and Accountability - apecpublisher.com, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://apecpublisher.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/FET-21-05-10.pdf>
39. A Multi-Paradigm Ethical Framework for Hybrid Intelligence in Blockchain Technology and Cryptocurrency Systems Governance - MDPI, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/2674-1032/4/3/34>
40. Peace & Security Aid in Crisis: Rethinking Civilian Investment and Local Leadership - GPPI, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://gppl.net/2025/07/09/peace-security-aid-in-crisis-rethinking-civilian-investment-and-local-leadership>
41. Investing for Peace (I4P) Initiative, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://investingforpeace.org/>
42. Status Quo No More: How the New Administration Can Prevent and Resolve Conflict - Alliance for Peacebuilding, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://allianceforpeacebuilding.squarespace.com/s/AfP-Transition-Memo-Final-Complete-Version.pdf>
43. Investing for Peace (I4P) A new approach to support lasting peace and security in fragile and conflict-affected regions by stre - the United Nations, accessed on December 18, 2025, https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/sites/www.un.org.peacebuilding/files/documents/i4p_2_pager_5.pdf
44. Unlocking peace dividends: How blended finance can catalyse stability and growth, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.uncdf.org/article/8939/unlocking-peace-dividends>
45. Establishment of a New Institution towards Investing for Peace - UN Capital Development Fund (UNCDF), accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.uncdf.org/article/8767/establishment-of-a-new-institution-towards-investing-for-peace>
46. Capabilities of Commercial Satellite Earth Observation Systems and Applications for Nuclear Verification and Monitoring - Science & Global Security, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://scienceandglobalsecurity.org/archive/sgs30moric.pdf>
47. Revolut: Ambitions, Strategies, and the Future of Digital Identity by Follow The Money [Anti] Money Laundering Show - Spotify for Creators, accessed on December 18, 2025, https://creators.spotify.com/pod/profile/metastate/episodes/Revolut-Ambitions--Strategies--and-the-Future-of-Digital-Identity-e2q1ti3?%24web_only=true&_branch_match_id=link-1488574585275412826
48. The Terrorism Acts in 2021 - report of the Independent Reviewer of Terrorism Legislation (accessible) - GOV.UK, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-terrorism-acts-in-2021/the-terrorism-acts-in-2021-report-of-the-independent-reviewer-of-terrorism-legislation-accessible>
49. Innovative Finance to Sustain Peace - NYU Center on International Cooperation, accessed on December 18, 2025, https://cic.nyu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/kantowitz_innovative_financing_for_peace_final_jan_2019_web_0.pdf
50. Candidates | PDF - Scribd, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/document/838292374/Candidates>
51. AI and Global Security: From Early Warning to AI ... - Cadmus (EUI), accessed on December 18, 2025, https://cadmus.eui.eu/bitstream/handle/1814/78275/CIGI_PB_2025_GM.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
52. Berkeley Protocol - Stop Rape Now, accessed on December 18, 2025, https://www.stoprapenow.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/OHCHR_BerkeleyProtocol-

[1.pdf](#)

53. National Training: Enhancing Counter-Terrorism Early Warning Networks with Open Source Intelligence (OSINT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) - the United Nations, accessed on December 18, 2025, <https://www.un.org/counterterrorism/en/events/national-training-ashgabat-counter-terrorism-early-warning-networks-osint-ai>